# SANTA CRUZ COUNTY REDEVELOPMENT SUCCESSOR AGENCY OVERSIGHT BOARD AGENDA Governmental Center Building 

701 Ocean Street, Room 525, Santa Cruz, CA

Tuesday, December 18, 2012
9:00 a.m.

1. Call to Order/Roll Call
2. Consideration of Late Additions to the Agenda; additions and deletions to Consent and Regular Agendas
3. Action on the Consent Agenda
4. ORAL COMMUNICATIONS - Opportunity for members of the public to address the Board on matters which are within the jurisdiction of the Board but not on today's agenda.

## CONSENT AGENDA

5. Adopt resolution approving the minutes of October 11, 2012

## REGULAR AGENDA

6. Receive public comment on the proposed adoption of a resolution approving the Due Diligence Review of all other funds, excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund, and take related actions
7. Consider adoption of a resolution approving a revised meeting schedule for 2012-2013

## ADJOURNMENT

Written Correspondence between the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency and State Agencies received during the period October 3, 2012 through December 3, 2012 on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

# BEFORE THE SANTA CRUZ COUNTY REDEVELOPMENT SUCCESSOR AGENCY OVERSIGHT BOARD RESOLUTION NO. <br> $\qquad$ 

On the motion of $\qquad$ duly seconded by $\qquad$ the following resolution is adopted:

## RESOLUTION APPROVING MEETING MINUTES OF THE OVERSIGHT BOARD

WHEREAS, the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Oversight Board ("Oversight Board") has been established to direct the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency ("Successor Agency") to take certain actions to wind down the affairs of the former Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Agency ("Agency") in accordance with the requirements of Assembly Bill 26 ("ABx1 26"), also known as chapter 5, Statutes 2011, First Extraordinary Session, which added Part 1.8 and Part 1.85 of Division 24 of the California Health and Safety Code, and Assembly Bill 1484, also known as chapter 26, Statutes of 2012, which made certain revisions to the statutes added by $A B \times 1$ 26; and

WHEREAS, Health and Safety Code Section 34179 (e) requires that all actions taken by the Oversight Board shall be adopted by resolution; and

WHEREAS, the Oversight Board conducted a public meeting on October 11, 2012, the minutes of which are attached as Exhibit 1; and

WHEREAS, these meeting minutes reflect the actions of the Oversight Board;
NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED AND ORDERED by the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Oversight Board as follows:

SECTION 1. The above Recitals are true and correct.
SECTION 2. The meeting minutes of the Oversight Board meeting on October 11, 2012 are hereby approved.

PASSED, APPROVED and ADOPTED by the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Oversight Board, this __t day of ___, 2012 by the following vote:

AYES:
NOES:
ABSENT:

[^0]
## ATTEST:

Clerk of the Oversight Board

Approved as to form:


Distribution:
County Counsel
Successor Agency
CAD
State Department of Finance Auditor-Controller

# PROCEEDINGS OF THE <br> SANTA CRUZ COUNTY <br> REDEVELOPMENT SUCCESSOR AGENCY OVERSIGHT BOARD 

VOLUME 2012, NUMBER 6
October 11, 2012

## ACTION SUMMARY MINUTES

> VOTING KEY:

C = Coonerty
D = Deming
G = Geisreiter
L = Leopold
M = Maxwell
Ro $=$ Rozario
$\mathrm{Re}=$ Reece

First Initial indicates maker of motion, second initial indicates the "second"; upper case letter = "yes" vote; lower case letter = "no" vote; ( ) = abstain; $/ /=$ absent

1. Call to Order/Roll Call - Meeting called to order at 9:00 a.m. Members present: Coonerty, Deming, Geisreiter, Maxwell, Rozario, Leopold. Absent: Reece.
2. Consideration of Late Additions to the Agenda; additions and deletions to Consent and Regular Agendas

## 3. Action on the Consent Agenda

4. Oral Communications - no one addressed the Board.

## CONSENT AGENDA

MGDRoL /C/ /Re/
5. ADOPTED RESOLUTION NO. 23-2012OB approving the meeting minutes of October 2, 2012

## REGULAR AGENDA

6. ADOPTED RESOLUTION NO. 24-2012OB approving a revised meeting schedule for 2012-2013

GRoMDL /C/ /Re/
7. ADOPTED RESOLUTION NO. 25-2012OB approving the due diligence review of the low and moderate income housing fund

## GReRoDM/C/L

Approved:
Chair, Oversight Board

Attest:
Secretary of the Oversight Board

NOTE: This set of Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Oversight Board minutes is scheduled to be approved December 12, 2012

## County of Santa Cruz

## SANTA CRUZ COUNTY REDEVELOPMENT SUCCESSOR AGENCY

701 OCEAN STREET, ROOM 510, SANTA CRUZ, CA 95060-4073
(831) 454-2280 FAX: (831) 454-3420 TDD: (831) 454-2123

December 12, 2012
Agenda: December 18, 2012

Oversight Board<br>Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency<br>701 Ocean Street<br>Santa Cruz, CA 95062

## Due Diligence Review of all other funds, excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund and Public Comment Session

Dear Board Members:
As you know, the Governor recently signed Assembly Bill 1484 relating to the close out of redevelopment agencies in California. Health and Safety Code Section 34179.5 and 34179.6 were added. Section 34179.5 requires the Successor Agency to employ a licensed accountant to conduct a due diligence review ("DDR") to determine the unobligated balances of the Redevelopment Successor Agency that are available for transfer to the taxing entities. The Successor Agency has engaged Marcum, LLP, Certified Public Accountants, ("Marcum") to perform the necessary work. Marcum has completed the DDR of all other funds, excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund ("LMIH") and determined that, including the required return of funds to the Successor Agency, $\$ 479,399$ is available for disbursement to the taxing entities, and $\$ 31,218,353$ is authorized for retention (copy attached). On December 11, 2012, the County of Santa Cruz Board of Supervisors took action to return $\$ 7,172,000$ to the Redevelopment Successor Agency (see attached).

Code Section 34179.6 sets December 15, 2012 as the deadline to provide the DDR of all other funds, excluding the LMIH, to the Oversight Board, the county auditor-controller, the California State Controller and the California Department of Finance, sets January 15, 2013 as the deadline to transmit the Oversight Board approved DDR of all other funds, excluding the LMIH, to the California Department of Finance and the county auditor-controller, and directs your Board to conduct a public comment session at least five business days before your Board holds the approval vote on the DDR for the LMIH.

The Successor Agency has provided the DDR of all other funds, excluding the LMIH, to your Board and the other statutory recipients by the deadline. The approval vote is scheduled for January 10, 2013.

It is therefore recommended that your Board take the following actions:

Oversight Board
September 25, 2012
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1. Conduct a public comment session; and
2. Approve the attached resolution accepting the Due Diligence Review of all other funds, excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund.


## RECOMMENDED:

Susan A. Maniells KN
Susan A. Mauriello
County Administrative Officer
Attachments
Resolution
Cc: Successor Agency
CAO
County Counsel
Public Works - Real Property
Public Works - Parks
Auditor-Controller
Department of Finance

# BEFORE THE SANTA CRUZ COUNTY <br> REDEVELOPMENT SUCCESSOR AGENCY OVERSIGHT BOARD RESOLUTION NO. <br> $\qquad$ 

On the motion of $\qquad$ duly seconded by $\qquad$ the following resolution is adopted:

## RESOLUTION TO ACCEPT THE DUE DILIGENCE REVIEW OF ALL OTHER FUNDS, EXCLUDING THE LOW AND MODERATE INCOME HOUSING FUND

WHEREAS, the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Oversight Board ("Oversight Board") has been established to direct the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency ("Successor Agency") to take certain actions to wind down the affairs of the former Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Agency ("Agency") in accordance with the requirements of Assembly Bill 26 ("ABx1 26"), also known as chapter 5, Statutes 2011, First Extraordinary Session, which added Part 1.8 and Part 1.85 of Division 24 of the California Health and Safety Code, and Assembly Bill 1484, also known as chapter 26, Statutes of 2012, which made certain revisions to the statutes added by ABx1 26; and

WHEREAS, Health and Safety Code Section 34179.5 requires the Successor Agency to employ a licensed accountant to conduct a due diligence review ("DDR") to determine the unobligated balances available for transfer to the taxing entities; and

WHEREAS Health and Safety Code Section 34179.6 requires that the DDR be submitted to the Oversight Board for review; and

WHEREAS, Health and Safety Code Section 34179.6(a) requires that the Successor Agency provide the DDR for all other funds, excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund to the Oversight Board, Santa Cruz County Auditor-Controller, California State Controller, and the California Department of Finance by December 15, 2012; and

WHEREAS, the Oversight Board has convened a public comment session at least five business days before the scheduled approval vote on the DDR on January 10, 2013 per Health and Safety Code Section 34179.6(b); and

WHEREAS, Health and Safety Code Section 34179.6(c) requires that the Oversight Board review, approve and transmit the DDR and the determination of the amount of cash and cash equivalents that are available for disbursement to the taxing entities for all other funds, excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund to the California Department of Finance and Santa Cruz County Auditor-Controller by January 15, 2013; and

WHEREAS, the Successor Agency has engaged Marcum, LLP, Certified Public Accountants, ("Marcum") to perform the DDR, with the approval of the Santa Cruz County Auditor-Controller, and Marcum has completed the DDR of all other funds, excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund (Exhibit A);

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED AND ORDERED by the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Oversight Board as follows:

SECTION 1. The above Recitals are true and correct.
SECTION 2. The Oversight Board hereby accepts the DDR of all other funds, excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund (Exhibit A).

PASSED, APPROVED and ADOPTED by the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Oversight Board, this $\qquad$ th day of $\qquad$ 2012 by the following vote:

AYES:
NOES:
ABSENT:

Chairperson of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Oversight Board

## ATTEST:

Clerk of the Oversight Board

Approved as to form:


Assistant County Counsel

Distribution:
County Counsel
Successor Agency
CAD
State Department of Finance
Auditor-Controller
Real Property

# County of Santa Cruz 

## DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

701 OCEAN STREET, ROOM 410, SANTA CRUZ, CA 95060-4070
(831) 454-2160 FAX (831) 454-2385 TDD (831) 454-2123

AGENDA: DECEMBER 11, 2012
December 6, 2012

SANTA CRUZ COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
701 Ocean Street
Santa Cruz, California 95060

## SUBJECT: FUNDING OF THE PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT WITH VANIR CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT, INC.

Members of the Board:
As your Board may recall, the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Agency (RDA) and the County of Santa Cruz entered into an Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement on February 15, 2011, for the design and construction of capital improvement and affordable housing projects and programs included in the RDA 2009 Five-Year Implementation Plan. In March 2011 a list of RDA funded capital improvement projects was approved, and funds were transferred from RDA to the County for implementation of the projects on that list. On June 28, 2011, your Board approved an agreement with Vanir Construction Management, Inc. (Vanir), in the amount of $\$ 7,172,000$ for three of those projects: Upper Porter Street Sidewalk Improvements, Heart of Soquel Park and Public Parking Improvements in Soquel Village, and the Twin Lakes Beachfront Improvement Project. The RDA source of funding for this agreement was tax allocation bond proceeds. In June 2012, Assembly Bill (AB) 1484, clean-up legislation regarding the dissolution of redevelopment agencies, was approved. Language included in AB 1484 has raised concerns regarding the funding of the Vanir agreement. The purpose of this letter is to provide your Board with an update on the implementation and status of each of the three projects, and recommend budget actions to complete the current phase of work in light of new requirements for the shutdown of redevelopment included in AB 1484.

## SANTA CRUZ COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
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## Project Status

Progress is being made on all three projects, with construction complete on the Upper Porter Street project.

The Upper Porter Street project includes the construction of a retaining wall, sidewalk, and an in-ground lighted crosswalk on the west side of Soquel San Jose Road, connecting Soquel Village with Soquel High School, Anna Jean Cummings Park, and neighborhoods to the north. The Vanir agreement designates $\$ 1,200,000$ for the Upper Porter Street project. Construction was completed in late August 2012 for a total project cost of approximately $\$ 840,000$.

The Heart of Soquel project includes pedestrian and vehicular circulation improvements, a small plaza for outdoor gatherings, a trail along Soquel Creek, and landscape and riparian habitat improvements. A Master Plan has been prepared and approved by your Board, structures have been demolished and land cleared, preliminary plans and technical studies necessary for development permits have been prepared, preliminary agreement has been reached with adjacent property owners, and a development permit application submitted to the Planning Department has been deemed complete. Public Works is working closely with Vanir and design consultants under contract to Vanir to manage this project. A Planning Commission hearing is anticipated in early 2013, which, barring any appeals, would complete the permit phase of work. The Vanir agreement designates $\$ 1,472,000$ for the Heart of Soquel project. The estimated cost to complete the permit phase, including costs to date, is $\$ 250,000$.

The Twin Lakes Beachfront Improvement project includes coastal public access, parking and road improvements, as well as a coastal protection structure along East Cliff Drive between 5th and 7th Avenues. A Master Plan has been prepared and approved by your Board, preliminary plans and technical studies necessary for coastal development permits have been prepared, and coastal development permits were approved by the Planning Commission on October 24, 2012. An application is now being prepared for submittal to the Coastal Commission for consideration in winter or spring 2013. Public Works is working closely with Vanir and design consultants under contract to Vanir to manage this project. The Vanir agreement designated $\$ 4,500,000$ for the Twin Lakes Beachfront project. The estimated cost to complete the permit phase, including costs to date, is $\$ 380,000$.

## AB 1484

In June 2012 the State adopted AB 1484, new clean-up legislation regarding the shut-down of redevelopment agencies. A due diligence review of low and moderate income housing funds and capital project funds is required as a result to identify unencumbered property taxes available for distribution to taxing entities. Under AB 1484, upon satisfactory completion of the due diligence review and true-up of property tax revenues, the State will issue a Finding of Completion after which the Redevelopment Successor Agency will be positioned to appropriate any remaining bond proceeds with approval by the Oversight Board. However, the State Department of Finance due diligence requirements lead staff to conclude that the State Department of Finance will disallow the June 28, 2011, Vanir agreement and order the return of the bond funds to the Redevelopment Successor Agency, prior to issuance of the Finding of Completion.

## SANTA CRUZ COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS <br> Page -3-

Staff has consulted with legal counsel and considered a number of options for completing the public improvement projects included in the Vanir agreement, including legal action, issuance of new bonds, an inter-agency loan, and replacement of funds. While staff believes that there is a legal argument for upholding the agreement date, staff has none the less concluded that the most expedient and cost effective approach would be to return all of the Vanir contract funds to the Redevelopment Successor Agency in order to complete the due diligence process and obtain the Finding of Completion in late spring 2013. With issuance of the Finding of Completion the unencumbered bond proceeds could then be appropriated for the completion of the Vanir projects and other road and park improvements in the Live Oak and Soquel areas that contributed to the substitute financing.

## Recommendations

Staff has discussed these actions with representatives of Vanir, and they are willing to proceed with completion of the permit phase of work for the Heart of Soquel and Twin Lakes Beachfront projects, and agree to then suspend further design and construction work until the suspended bond funds can be appropriated. In order to return the bond funds to the Redevelopment Successor Agency, a number of budget actions are required. Attachment 1 summarizes the expenditures to date for each project, the estimated cost to complete the permit phase of work, and the source of funding proposed to backfill the redevelopment bond proceeds for each. With these actions interruption to the progress on these important public improvement projects will be minimized, and the projects will be completed once the bond funds become available.

It is therefore recommended that the Board of Supervisors take the following actions:

1. Authorize the transfer of funds up to $\$ 840,000$ for the Upper Porter Street Improvements as shown on Attachment 1.
2. Authorize the transfer of funds up to $\$ 250,000$ for the Heart of Soquel Improvements as shown on Attachment 1.
3. Authorize the transfer of funds up to $\$ 380,000$ for the Twin Lakes Beachfront Improvements as shown on Attachment 1.
4. Authorize the Public Works Director, County Administrative Officer, and Auditor-Controller to take all necessary budget actions to complete the transfer of funds as proposed on Attachment 1.
5. Authorize the return of funds in the amount of $\$ 7,172,000$ to the Redevelopment Successor Agency.
```
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Page -4-
```

6. Direct staff to revise the 2012/2013 Final Capital Improvement program accordingly.
7. Direct staff to return with necessary changes to the Vanir Agreement to confirm the current plan for the project and to express the Board's appreciation to Vanir for their cooperation with these project revisions.

Yours truly,


## JJP:BAL:les

Attachments

RECOMMENDED FOR APPROVAL:


County Administrative Officer
Copy to: Redevelopment Successor Agency
County Administrative Office
Auditor-Controller
County Counsel
Public Works

## Attachment 1

##  <br> Estimated Total Project Costs <br> ..... \$ 840,000 <br> Replacement Funds <br> Soquel Traffic Impact Funds (defunding Main Street Improvements) <br> ..... \$ 231,967 <br> Soquel Roadside Improvement Funds (defunding Main Street Improvements) <br> ..... \$ 400,000 <br> Soquel Roadside Improvement Funds (defunding Disability Access Engineering) <br> ..... \$ 25,000 <br> Gas Tax Funds <br> \$ 183,033 <br> \$ 840,000 <br>  <br> Estimated Costs Through Permit Phase <br> ..... \$ 250,000 <br> Replacement Funds <br> Soquel Park Dedication Funds (unprogrammed) <br> ..... \$ 250,000 <br>  <br> Estimated Costs Through Permit Phase <br> ..... \$ 380,000 <br> Replacement Funds <br> Live Oak Traffic Improvement Funds (defunding Soquel/Chanticleer Avenues signal) Live Oak Roadside Improvement Funds - (defunding 30th Avenue, Commercial Way, HoweStreet/Winkle Avenue and Soquel Avenue sidewalks and bus stop) <br> \$ 263,716 <br> $\$ 116,284$ <br> \$ 380,000
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## Exhibits

Exhibit 1-01: Listing of all assets that were transferred from the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Agency (Dissolved Agency) to the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency on February 1, 2012

Exhibit 2A-01: Listing of transfers from Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Agency (Dissolved Agency) to the County of Santa Cruz for the period January 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012

Exhibit 2B-01: Listing of transfers from Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency to the County of Santa Cruz for the period February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012

Exhibit 4-01: Summary of the financial transactions of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Agency (Dissolved Agency) and the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency for the fiscal periods 2010 through 2012

Exhibit 5-01: Listing of all assets of All Other Funds Combined (Excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund) of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency as of June 30, 2012

Exhibit 6A-01: Listing of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Asset Balances Held on June 30, 2012 that are Restricted for the Purpose of Unspent Bond Proceeds

Exhibit 6C-01: Listing of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Asset Balances Held on June 30, 2012 that are Restricted for the Following Purposes: Other Assets Considered to be Legally Restricted

Exhibit 7-01: Listing of Assets of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency as of June 30, 2012 that are Not Liquid or Otherwise Available for Distribution

Exhibit 8A-01: Itemized Schedule of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Asset Balances (Resources) as of June 30, 2012 that are Dedicated or Restricted for Funding of Enforceable Obligations

Exhibit 9-01: Schedule of Cash Balances as of June 30, 2012 that Need to be Retained to Satisfy Obligations on the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) for the period of July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013

Exhibit 10-01: Summary of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Agency Balances Available for Allocation to Affected Taxing Entities

# INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES 

County of Santa Cruz<br>Santa Cruz County Auditor-Controller<br>Santa Cruz, California

Oversight Board<br>County of Santa Cruz<br>Santa Cruz, California

We have performed the agreed-upon procedures enumerated in Attachment A, which were agreed to by the California State Controller's Office, the California Department of Finance ("State Agencies"), and the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency solely to assist you in ensuring that the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency is complying with the statutory requirements of AB1484 with regard to All Other Funds Combined (Excluding Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund). Management of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency is responsible for the accounting records pertaining to statutory compliance pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 34179.5(c)(1) through 34179.5(c)(6). This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of those parties specified in the report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures identified below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

The scope of this engagement was limited to performing the agreed-upon procedures as set forth in Attachment A. Attachment A also identifies the findings noted as a result of the procedures performed.

We were not engaged to and did not conduct an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion as to the appropriateness of the results summarized in Attachment A. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency and applicable State Agencies, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. This restriction is not intended to limit distribution of this report, which is a matter of public record.

## Marcus LLP

Irvine, California
December 13, 2012

# Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency All Other Funds Combined (Excluding Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund) Agreed-Upon Procedures with Respect to AB1484 Attachment A 

## Citation:

Section 34179.5(c)(1)-The dollar value of assets transferred from the former redevelopment agency to the successor agency on or about February 1, 2012.

## Procedure 1:

Obtain from the Successor Agency a listing of all assets that were transferred from the former redevelopment agency to the Successor Agency on February 1, 2012. Agree the amounts on this listing to account balances established in the accounting records of the Successor Agency. Identify in the Agreed-Upon Procedures (AUP) report the amount of assets transferred to the Successor Agency as of that date.

## Results/Findings:

We obtained from the Successor Agency a listing of all assets that were transferred from the former redevelopment agency to the Successor Agency on February 1, 2012 attached at Exhibit 1-01. We agreed the amounts on this listing to the account balances established in the accounting records of the Successor Agency. In agreeing such amounts, we noted an exception in the amount of $\$ 10,413,090$, further detailed below, that was included on the listing, but not included in the account balances of the accounting records of the Successor Agency. The following table is the amount of assets transferred to the Successor Agency as of February 1, 2012:

| Exhibit 1-01 - Assets transferred to Successor Agency on February 1, 2012 | $\$ 62,492,315$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Accounting Records of Successor Agency on February 1, 2012 | $\$ 52,079,225$ |
| Difference | $\$ 10,413,090$ |

The following table provides the detail for the $\$ 10,413,090$ difference:

| Item \# per <br> Exhibit 1-01 | Asset Name | Exhibit 1-01 | Per Accounting <br> Records | Difference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $70-88$ | Grants | $\$ 10,367,491$ |  | -- |
| 96 | Cash in Trust | $\$ 29,290$ |  | $\$ 10,367,491$ |
| $97-98$ | Capital Assets | $\$ 16,309$ | - | $\$ 9,290$ |
|  | Total |  | -- | $\$ 16,309$ |

Per Successor Agency, Items 70-88 are unconditional grants that would only be paid to the County of Santa Cruz ("County") if the affordable housing project fails to remain an affordable housing project. The Successor Agency does not include these grants as assets on their January 31, 2012 Balance Sheet.

Per Successor Agency, Item 96 is money held in a trust, separate from the Successor Agency's accounting records, for maintenance and repair for the property at 522 Capitola Road.

Per Successor Agency, Items 97-98 are capital assets used in governmental activities that were not current financial resources. Therefore, per Successor Agency, they were not reported in the governmental funds accounting records, based upon the modified accrual basis of accounting.

We also noted transfers on Exhibit 1-01 that had unknown carrying values. Per Successor Agency, the reason for such presentation on the listing was as follows: 1) Items 1,22 and 23 are loans that have been paid off for which only recorded affordability restrictions remain. If the restrictions are violated the County has the right to purchase the property at a predetermined value 2 ) Items 2-21 are rights of first refusal for loans to individuals where the County has the right to buy back the property at a reduced price if the property is put up for sale.

## Citation:

Section $34179.5(c)(2)$-The dollar value of assets and cash and cash equivalents transferred after January 1, 2011, through June 30, 2012, by the redevelopment agency or the successor agency to the city, county, or city and county that formed the redevelopment agency and the purpose of each transfer. The review shall provide documentation of any enforceable obligation that required the transfer.

## Procedure 2:

If the State Controller's Office has completed its review of transfers required under both Sections 34167.5 and 34178.8 and issued its report regarding such review, attach a copy of that report as an exhibit to the AUP report. If this has not yet occurred, perform the following procedures:
A. Obtain a listing prepared by the Successor Agency of transfers (excluding payments for goods and services) from the former redevelopment agency to the city, county, or city and county that formed the redevelopment agency for the period from January 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012. For each transfer, the Successor Agency should describe the purpose of the transfer and describe in what sense the transfer was required by one of the Agency's enforceable obligations or other legal requirements. Provide this listing as an attachment to the AUP report.
B. Obtain a listing prepared by the Successor Agency of transfers (excluding payments for goods and services) from the Successor Agency to the city, county, or city and county that formed the redevelopment agency for the period from February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012. For each transfer, the Successor Agency should describe the purpose of the transfer and describe in what sense the transfer was required by one of the Agency's enforceable obligations or other legal requirements. Provide this listing as an attachment to the AUP report.
C. For each transfer, obtain the legal document that formed the basis for the enforceable obligation that required any transfer. Note in the AUP report the absence of any such legal document or the absence of language in the document that required the transfer.

## Results/Findings:

## Procedure 2A:

See Exhibit 2A-01 for the listing of transfers (excluding payments for goods and services) from the former redevelopment agency to the city, county, or city and county that formed the redevelopment agency for the period from January 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012.

## Procedure 2B-01:

See Exhibit 2B-01 for the listing of transfers (excluding payments for goods and services) from the Successor Agency to the city, county, or city and county that formed the redevelopment agency for the period from February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012.

## Procedure 2C:

For the transfers made for the period from January 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012 (Exhibit 2A-01) we noted:

For Items 1-11 and 13-17,19-23 and 31-35 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated $2 / 15 / 11$. Per Successor Agency the forgoing documents provide the legal basis for the enforceable obligation that required the transfer. Per Successor Agency this Real Property was returned to the Redevelopment Successor Agency. We were provided the noted quitclaim deed per Exhibit 2A-01.

For Items 12 and 27 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted assets was contractually committed by the County of Santa Cruz prior to the invalidation. We were also provided the Memorandum of Understanding for the Development of the Live Oak Resource Center, dated 3/30/07 and the Live Oak Resource Center Lease between the County of Santa Cruz and Live Oak Community Center and Opening Doors Fund Joint Venture, dated 3/8/11. Per Successor Agency the forgoing documents provide the legal basis for the enforceable obligation that required the transfer.

For Item 18 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated $2 / 15 / 11$. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz in a Contract of Sale. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Contract of Sale between the County of Santa Cruz and Soquel Creek Water District, dated 6/17/11.

For Item 24 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted assets was contractually committed by the County of Santa Cruz prior to the invalidation. We were also provided the Agreement Regarding Purchase of Portable Classroom for Use as Teen Center between Live Oak School District and Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Agency, dated 1/23/01. Per Successor Agency the forgoing documents provide the legal basis for the enforceable obligation that required the transfer.

For Items 25-26 and 28-30, we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated 2/1/12 by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. Per Successor Agency the forgoing documents provide the legal basis for the enforceable obligation that required the transfer.

For Item 36 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz for Funding of Specified Property Management and Development Costs, dated 3/8/11. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund a Purchase Order. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Purchase Order between County of Santa Cruz and Lewis Tree Service, dated 3/11/11 and renewed 7/19/11 for fiscal year 2011/12.

For Item 37 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz for Funding of Specified Property Management and Development Costs dated 3/8/11. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund a Purchase Order. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Purchase Order between County of Santa Cruz and Christopher D. Kerr, dated 3/11/11 and renewed 7/19/11 for fiscal year 2011/12.

For Item 38 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz for Funding of Specified Property Management and Development Costs dated 3/8/11. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund a Purchase Order. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Purchase Order between County of Santa Cruz and Mark Munz, dated $3 / 11 / 11$ and renewed $7 / 19 / 11$ for fiscal year 2011/12.

For Item 39 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz for Funding of Specified Property Management and Development Costs dated 3/8/11. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund a Purchase Order. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Purchase Order between County of Santa Cruz and Linda Norwood, dated 11/19/10 and renewed 7/18/11 for fiscal year 2011/12.

Item 40 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz for Funding of Specified Property Management and Development Costs dated 3/8/11. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26 . However, per the Successor Agency, a portion of the noted cash asset was expended for goods and services per the Cooperation Agreement prior to its invalidation and a portion of the noted cash asset was used by The County of Santa Cruz to pay an enforceable obligation per the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule of the Successor Agency for the period 1/1/12-6/30/12 (ROPS I) Page 3 of 3 , line 5 . We were provided by the Successor Agency the ROPS I schedule.

For Item 41 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz for Funding of Specified Property Management and Development Costs dated 3/8/11. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund a Purchase Order. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Purchase Order between the County of Santa Cruz and Chris Wilson Plumbing and Heating Repair, Inc., dated 6/16/11 and renewed 7/18/11 for fiscal year 2011/12.

For Item 42 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz for Funding of Specified Property Management and Development Costs dated 3/8/11. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26 . However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund a Purchase Order. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Purchase Order between the County of Santa Cruz and Chris Wilson Plumbing and Heating Repair, Inc., dated 6/16/11.

For Items $43-44$ we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz for Funding of Specified Property Management and Development Costs dated 3/8/11. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund an Independent Contractor Agreement. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Independent Contractor Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and Randazzo Enterprises, Inc., dated 6/14/11. We were also provided the County of Santa Cruz Request for Approval of Agreement, dated 6/14/11.

For Item 45-46 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz for Funding of Specified Property Management and Development Costs dated 3/8/11. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated 2/1/12 by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund an Independent Contractor Agreement. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Independent Contractor Agreement Between the County of Santa Cruz and Chris Wortman Excavation dated 6/14/11. We were also provided the County of Santa Cruz Request for Approval of Agreement dated 6/14/11.

For Items 47-48 and 52-53 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz, dated $2 / 15 / 12$, and the Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz for Funding of Specified Property Management and Development Costs dated 3/8/11. Per Successor Agency these Agreements were invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund an Independent Contractor Agreement. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Independent Contractor Agreement Between the County of Santa Cruz and Knowlton Construction, dated 6/14/11 and the Request for Approval of Agreement, dated 6/14/11.

For Item 49 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz for Funding of Specified Property Management and Development Costs dated 3/8/11. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per Successor Agency, a portion of the noted cash asset was expended for goods and services per the Property Management Cooperation Agreement prior to its invalidation, and a portion of the noted cash asset was returned to the Redevelopment Successor Agency. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Journal Entry J550475, dated 9/1/11. Per Successor Agency, the forgoing documents provide the legal basis for the enforceable obligation that required the transfer.

For Item 50 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per Successor Agency, a portion of the noted cash asset was expended for goods and services per the Cooperation Agreement prior to its invalidation, and a portion of the noted cash asset was returned to the Redevelopment Successor Agency. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Journal Entry J550475, dated 9/1/11. Per Successor Agency the forgoing documents provide the legal basis for the enforceable obligation that required the transfer.

For Item 51 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated $2 / 15 / 11$. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund an agreement. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Arana Gulch Path Improvement Agreement Between the County of Santa Cruz and the City of Santa Cruz, dated 6/16/11.

For Item 54 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated $2 / 15 / 11$. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, a portion of the noted cash asset was expended for goods and services per the Cooperation Agreement prior to its invalidation, a portion of the noted cash asset was returned to the Redevelopment Successor Agency, and a portion of the noted cash asset was used by The County of Santa Cruz to pay an enforceable obligation per the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule of the Successor Agency for the period 1/1/12-6/30/12 (ROPS I Page 3 of 3, line 2). We were provided by the Successor Agency the Journal Entry J550475, dated 9/1/11, and the ROPS I.

For Item 55 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated $2 / 15 / 11$. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund an Independent Contractor Agreement. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Independent Contractor Agreement Between the County of Santa Cruz and Smart Enclosure, LLC, dated 6/14/11.

For Item 56 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated $2 / 15 / 11$. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund an Independent Contractor Agreement. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Independent Contractor Agreement Between the County of Santa Cruz and Western Water Features, Inc., dated 6/14/11.

Item 57 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated $2 / 15 / 11$. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per Successor Agency, a portion of the noted cash asset was expended for goods and services per the Cooperation Agreement prior to its invalidation and a portion of the noted cash asset was returned to the RDA Successor Agency on $9 / 1 / 11$. We were provided by the Successor Agency Journal Entry JS50475 dated 9/1/11.

For Items $58-61$ we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund an Agreement. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Agreement Between the County of Santa Cruz and John Cahalan Landscape Architect. dated $11 / 15 / 05$ and amended, $3 / 27 / 07,6 / 23 / 09$ and $3 / 8 / 11$.

For Item 62 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund an Agreement. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Agreement Between the County of Santa Cruz and Elite Landscaping, Inc., dated 9/14/10.

For Item 63 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated $2 / 15 / 11$. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund an Independent Contractor Agreement. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Independent Contractor Agreement Between the County of Santa Cruz and Haro Kasunich \& Associates, dated 12/7/10.

For Items 64 and 70 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated $2 / 15 / 11$. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash assets were contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund a Purchase Order. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Purchase Order Between the County of Santa Cruz and Professional Services Industries, Inc., dated $7 / 21 / 11$.

For Item 65 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, a portion of the noted cash asset was expended for goods and services per the Cooperation Agreement prior to its invalidation, a portion of the noted cash asset was returned to the Redevelopment Successor Agency, and a portion was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to pay an enforceable obligation per the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule of the Successor Agency for the period July 1, 2012 to December 31, 2012 (ROPS II, Form B, line 10). We were provided by the Successor Agency journal entry JT51090, dated 11/6/12, the Agreement Between the County of Santa Cruz and Elite Landscaping, Inc., dated 9/14/10, and the ROPS II for the period 7/1/12-12/31/12.

For Items 66 and 67 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund an Independent Contractor Agreement. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Independent Contractor Agreement Between the County of Santa Cruz and Whitson and Associates, dated 6/21/11 and the Request for Approval of Agreement, dated 6/21/11.

For Items 68 and 69 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund an Independent Contractor Agreement. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Independent Contractor Agreement Between the County of Santa Cruz and Quality Landscape Service Inc., dated 6/28/11, the Request for Approval of Agreement, dated 6/28/11, and the Independent Contractor Agreement Between the County of Santa County of Santa Cruz Redevelopment Agency and Granite Rock Company (dba Pavex Construction), dated 5/24/11.

For Item 71 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26 . However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund a Purchase Order for work required for the implementation of the Independent Contractor Agreement Between the County of Santa County of Santa Cruz Redevelopment Agency and Granite Rock Company (dba Pavex Construction), dated 5/24/11. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Purchase Order Between the County of Santa Cruz and Sanders \& Associates Geostructural Enginneering, dated 7/14/11.

For Items $72,82,89,97,103$ we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, portions of the noted cash assets were expended for goods and services per the Cooperation Agreement prior to its invalidation. Per Successor Agency the forgoing documents provide the legal basis for the enforceable obligation that required the transfer.

For Items 73, 90, and 91 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated 2/1/12 by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund a Public Improvements Development Agreement. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Public Works Agreement Between the County of Santa Cruz and Vanir Construction Management, Inc., dated 6/28/11.

For Item 74 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated $2 / 15 / 11$. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund a Contract Agreement. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Contract Agreement Between the County of Santa Cruz and The Don Chapin Co. Inc., dated 3/3/11.

For Item 75 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated $2 / 15 / 11$. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, a portion of the noted cash asset was expended for goods and services incurred per the Cooperation Agreement prior to its invalidation, and a portion of the noted cash asset was used by The County of Santa Cruz to fund an enforceable obligation per the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule of the Successor Agency for the period 1/1/12-6/30/12 (ROPS I, Page 3 of 3 , line 2). We were provided by the Successor Agency the ROPS I.

For Item 76 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated $2 / 15 / 11$. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund an Independent Contractor Agreement. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Independent Contractor Agreement Between the County of Santa Cruz and Fehr and Peers Transportation Consultants, dated 6/14/11.

For Item 77 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated 2/1/12 by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund a Purchase Order. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Purchase Order Between County of Santa Cruz and Santa Cruz Metro Transit District, dated 6/17/11.

For Item 78 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated $2 / 15 / 11$. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund a Purchase Order. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Purchase Order Between County of Santa Cruz and Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission, dated 6/17/11.

Item 79 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated $2 / 15 / 11$. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, a portion of the noted cash asset was expended for goods and services per the Cooperation Agreement prior to its invalidation, and a portion of the noted cash asset was used by The County of Santa Cruz to fund an enforceable obligation per the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule of the Successor Agency for the period 1/1/12-6/30/12 (ROPS I, Page 3 of 3, line 2). We were provided by the Successor Agency the ROPS I.

For Item 80 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund a Purchase Order. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Purchase Order Between County of Santa Cruz and F\&F Georesource Associates dated 3/29/11 and renewed 7/5/11 for fiscal year 2011/12.

For Item 81 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund a Purchase Order. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Purchase Order Between County of Santa Cruz and Sanders \& Associates, dated 3/29/11, and renewed 7/5/11, for fiscal year 2011/12.

For Items $83-86$ we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund a Contract Agreement. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Contract Between the County of Santa Cruz and Pavex Construction, dated 7/15/10, the related Change Order, dated 1/24/12 as well as the related Requests for Approval of Agreement, dated 6/22/10 and 1/24/12.

For Items $87-88$ we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated 2/1/12 by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund an Independent Contractor Agreement. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Independent Contractor Agreement Between the County of Santa Cruz and Back to Eden Landscape and Maintenance, dated $6 / 28 / 11$ as well as the related Request for Approval of Agreement, dated 6/28/11 and the Contract Between the County of Santa County of Santa Cruz and Pavex Construction, dated 7/15/10.
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For Item 92-93, 99 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund a Real Property and Purchase and Sale Agreement. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Real Property and Purchase and Sale Agreement By and Between County of Santa Cruz and Green Valley Corporation (dba Barry Swenson Builder), dated 6/20/11.

Item 94 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated $2 / 15 / 11$. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund an Agreement. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Psychiatric Health Facility Funding Agreement By and Between the County of Santa Cruz and Catholic Healthcare West, dated 6/17/11, and the Amendment to Psychiatric Health Facility Funding Agreement By and Between the County of Santa Cruz and Catholic Healthcare West, dated 6/20/11.

Item 95 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated $2 / 15 / 11$. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund an Agreement. We were provided by the Successor Agency Amendment \#1 to the Agreement Between the County of Santa Cruz and Health Improvement Partnership of Santa Cruz County, dated 3/22/11.

Item 96 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated $2 / 15 / 11$. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund an Independent Contractor Agreement. We were provided by the Successor Agency Amendment \# 1 to the Agreement Between the County of Santa Cruz Health Service Agency and Pacific Design Group, dated 2/28/11.

Item 98 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated $2 / 15 / 11$. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund a Youth Center Agreement. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Youth Center Agreement Between the County of Santa Cruz and Live Oak School District, dated 6/27/11.

Item 100 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated $2 / 15 / 11$. Per Successor Agency, item was returned to the RDA Successor Agency on 5/13/11. We were provided by the Successor Agency Journal Entry JR53888, dated 5/13/11.

For Item 101 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11. Per Successor Agency, item was returned to the RDA Successor Agency on 6/9/11. We were provided by the Successor Agency Journal Entry JR54398, dated 6/9/11.

For Item 102 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11. Per Successor Agency, item was returned to the RDA Successor Agency on 5/13/11. We were provided by the Successor Agency Journal Entry JR53889, dated 5/13/11.

For Item 104 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated $2 / 15 / 11$. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, a portion of the noted cash asset was expended for goods and services per the Cooperation Agreement prior to its invalidation, and a portion of the noted cash asset was returned to the RDA Successor Agency on $9 / 1 / 11$. We were provided by the Successor Agency Journal Entry JS50475, dated 9/1/11.

For Items $106,111,112$ and 116 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated $2 / 15 / 11$. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz in a Residential Purchase Agreement. We were provided by the Successor Agency the California Residential Purchase Agreement and Joint Escrow Instruction Between the County of Santa Cruz and Habitat for Humanity Santa Cruz, dated 6/20/11, as well as the Oversight Board of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Resolution No. 5-2012OB, dated $6 / 27 / 12$. Per Successor Agency, the transfer of the item was acknowledged and confirmed by the Oversight Board of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Resolution No. 5-2012OB, dated $6 / 27 / 12$, and no review of said Oversight Board action was requested by the California Department of Finance.

For Items $107,113,114$ and 117 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated $2 / 15 / 11$. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz in a Residential Purchase Agreement. We were provided by the Successor Agency the California Residential Purchase Agreement and Joint Escrow Instruction Between the County of Santa Cruz and CFSC, dated 6/20/11, as well as the Oversight Board of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Resolution No. 5-2012OB, dated $6 / 27 / 12$. Per Successor Agency, the transfer of the item was acknowledged and confirmed by the Oversight Board of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Resolution No. 5-20120B, dated 6/27/12, and no review of said Oversight Board action was requested by the California Department of Finance.

For Items 108-110 and 115 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz in a Lease Agreement. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Lease Agreement Between the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz and Community Action Board of Santa Cruz, Inc./ Gemma, dated 6/26/07, as well as the Oversight Board of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Resolution No. 5-2012OB, dated 6/27/12. Per Successor Agency, the transfer of the item was acknowledged and confirmed by the Oversight Board of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Resolution No. 5-2012OB, dated 6/27/12, and no review of said Oversight Board action was requested by the California Department of Finance.

For Item 118 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund an Independent Contractor Agreement. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Independent Contractor Agreement Between the County of Santa Cruz and Community Action Board, Inc., dated 6/20/11, as well as the Oversight Board of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Resolution No. 5-2012OB, dated 6/27/12. Per Successor Agency, the transfer of the item was acknowledged and confirmed by the Oversight Board of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Resolution No. 5-2012OB, dated 6/27/12, and no review of said Oversight Board action was requested by the California Department of Finance.

For Item 119 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated $2 / 15 / 11$. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund an Independent Contractor Agreement. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Independent Contractor Agreement Between the County of Santa Cruz and Rutan and Tucker, LLP, dated $7 / 1 / 11$, as well as the Oversight Board of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Resolution No. 5-2012OB, dated 6/27/12. Per Successor Agency, the transfer of the item was acknowledged and confirmed by the Oversight Board of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Resolution No. 5-2012OB, dated $6 / 27 / 12$, and no review of said Oversight Board action was requested by the California Department of Finance.

For Item 120 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated $2 / 15 / 11$. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund an Independent Contractor Agreement. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Independent Contractor Agreement Between the County of Santa Cruz and Housing Authority of the County of Santa Cruz dated 6/20/11, as well as the Oversight Board of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Resolution No. 5-2012OB, dated 6/27/12. Per Successor Agency, the transfer of the item was acknowledged and confirmed by the Oversight Board of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Resolution No. 5-20120B, dated 6/27/12, and no review of said Oversight Board action was requested by the California Department of Finance.

For Item 121 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund an Independent Contractor Agreement. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Independent Contractor Agreement Between the County of Santa Cruz and Families in Transition, dated 6/20/11, as well as the Oversight Board of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Resolution No. 5-20120B, dated 6/27/12. Per Successor Agency, the transfer of the item was acknowledged and confirmed by the Oversight Board of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Resolution No. 5-2012OB, dated $6 / 27 / 12$, and no review of said Oversight Board action was requested by the California Department of Finance.

For Item 122 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated $2 / 15 / 11$. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund an Independent Contractor Agreement. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Independent Contractor Agreement Between the County of Santa Cruz and Santa Cruz Community Counseling Center, signed 6/20/11, as well as the Oversight Board of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Resolution No. 5-20120B, dated 6/27/12. Per Successor Agency, the transfer of the item was acknowledged and confirmed by the Oversight Board of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Resolution No. 5-2012OB, dated 6/27/12, and no review of said Oversight Board action was requested by the California Department of Finance.

For Items 123-124 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated $2 / 15 / 11$. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund an Independent Contractor Agreement. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Independent Contractor Agreement Between the County of Santa Cruz and Front Street Housing, Inc., dated 6/20/11, as well as the Oversight Board of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Resolution No. 5-2012OB, dated 6/27/12. Per Successor Agency, the transfer of the item was acknowledged and confirmed by the Oversight Board of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Resolution No. 5-20120B, dated 6/27/12, and no review of said Oversight Board action was requested by the Califormia Department of Finance.

For Item 125 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund an Independent Contractor Agreement. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Independent Contractor Agreement Between the County of Santa Cruz and United Way of Santa Cruz, dated $6 / 20 / 11$, as well as the Oversight Board of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Resolution No. 5-2012OB, dated 6/27/12. Per Successor Agency, the transfer of the item was acknowledged and confirmed by the Oversight Board of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Resolution No. 5-2012OB, dated 6/27/12, and no review of said Oversight Board action was requested by the California Department of Finance.

For Item 126 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated $2 / 15 / 11$. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund an Independent Contractor Agreement. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Independent Contractor Agreement Between the County of Santa Cruz and Housing Authority of the County of Santa Cruz, dated $6 / 22 / 11$, as well as the Oversight Board of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Resolution No. 5-2012OB, dated 6/27/12. Per Successor Agency, the transfer of the item was acknowledged and confirmed by the Oversight Board of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Resolution No. 5-2012OB, dated 6/27/12, and no review of said Oversight Board action was requested by the California Department of Finance.

For Item 127 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund an Affordable Housing Agreement. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Affordable Housing Agreement Between the County of Santa Cruz and MidPeninsula the Farm, Inc., (Aptos Blue Affordable Housing Agreement ), dated $6 / 22 / 11$, as well as the Oversight Board of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Resolution No. 5-2012OB, dated $6 / 27 / 12$. Per Successor Agency, the transfer of the item was acknowledged and confirmed by the Oversight Board of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Resolution No. 5-2012OB, dated 6/27/12, and no review of said Oversight Board action was requested by the Califormia Department of Finance.

For Item 128 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund an Affordable Housing Agreement. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Affordable Housing Agreement by and between County of Santa Cruz and Mid-Peninsula The Farm, Inc. (Atkinson Lane Affordable Housing Project), dated 6/22/11, as well as the Oversight Board of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Resolution No. 5-2012OB, dated $6 / 27 / 12$. Per Successor Agency, the transfer of the item was acknowledged and confirmed by the Oversight Board of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Resolution No. 5-2012OB, dated $6 / 27 / 12$, and no review of said Oversight Board action was requested by the California Department of Finance.

For Item 129 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by Californian Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund an Affordable Housing Agreement. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Affordable Housing Agreement by and between County of Santa Cruz and Midpen Housing Corporation (St. Stephens Affordable Housing Project), dated $6 / 22 / 11$, as well as the Oversight Board of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Resolution No. 5-2012OB, dated $6 / 27 / 12$. Per Successor Agency, the transfer of the item was acknowledged and confirmed by the Oversight Board of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Resolution No. 5-2012OB, dated $6 / 27 / 12$, and no review of said Oversight Board action was requested by the California Department of Finance.

For Item 130 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated $2 / 15 / 11$. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund an affordable housing agreement. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Affordable Housing Agreement by and between County of Santa Cruz and South County Housing Corporation, dated $6 / 21 / 11$, as well as the Oversight Board of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Resolution No. 5-2012OB, dated 6/27/12. Per Successor Agency, the transfer of the item was acknowledged and confirmed by the Oversight Board of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Resolution No. 5-2012OB, dated 6/27/12, and no review of said Oversight Board action was requested by the California Department of Finance.

For Item 131 we were provided by the Successor Agency the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated $2 / 15 / 11$. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund an affordable housing agreement. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Affordable Housing Agreement by and Between County of Santa Cruz and CFSC, Inc. (Harper Street Affordable Housing Development), dated 6/22/11, as well as the Oversight Board of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Resolution No. 5-2012OB, dated $6 / 27 / 12$. Per Successor Agency, the transfer of the item was acknowledged and confirmed by the Oversight Board of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Resolution No. 5-2012OB, dated $6 / 27 / 12$, and no review of said Oversight Board action was requested by the California Department of Finance.

For Item 132 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26 . However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund a grant agreement. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Grant Agreement by and Between County of Santa Cruz and Habitat for Humanity Santa Cruz County, dated $6 / 20 / 11$, as well as the Oversight Board of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Resolution No. 5-20120B, dated 6/27/12. Per Successor Agency, the transfer of the item was acknowledged and confirmed by the Oversight Board of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Resolution No. 5-2012OB, dated 6/27/12, and no review of said Oversight Board action was requested by the California Department of Finance.

For Item 133 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated $2 / 15 / 11$. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund a Settlement Agreement. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Settlement Agreement Between the County of Santa Cruz and Save Our Seacliff, dated $6 / 22 / 11$, as well as the Oversight Board of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Resolution No. 5-20120B, dated $6 / 27 / 12$. Per Successor Agency, the transfer of the item was acknowledged and confirmed by the Oversight Board of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Resolution No. 5-20120B, dated 6/27/12, and no review of said Oversight Board action was requested by the California Department of Finance.

For Item 134 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated $2 / 15 / 11$. Per Successor Agency this Agreement was invalidated $2 / 1 / 12$ by California Assembly Bill ABX1 26. However, per the Successor Agency, the noted cash asset was contractually committed by The County of Santa Cruz to fund an Independent Contractor Agreement. We were provided by the Successor Agency the Independent Contractor Agreement Between the County of Santa Cruz and Keyser Marsten, dated $6 / 20 / 11$. In the opinion of the Successor Agency this agreement and Assembly Bill 1484, as approved by the Governor on June 27, 2012, Section 9 of the Health and Safety Code Section 34176(a)(1) is the legal basis for the transfer.

For Item 135 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated $2 / 15 / 11$ and in the opinion of the Successor Agency this agreement and Assembly Bill 1484, as approved by the Governor on June 27, 2012, Section 9 of the Health and Safety Code Section $34176(a)(1)$ is the legal basis for the transfer.

For the transfers made for the period from February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012 (Exhibit 2B-01).
For items 1 - 117, in the opinion of the Successor Agency, Assembly Bill 1484, as approved by the Governor on June 27, 2012, Section 9 of the Health and Safety Code Section 34176(a)(1) is the legal basis for the transfers. Additional support for selected items is noted below.

For items 89-117, please see items 106-133 (Exhibit 2A-01) and in our Results/Findings for items transferred between $1 / 1 / 11$ and $1 / 31 / 12$ on pages 13 to 17 , herein. Per Successor Agency, these items are included in both Exhibits 2A-01 and 2B-01 as the item was originally transferred prior to $2 / 1 / 12$ and then the transfer was confirmed by the Oversight Board on 6/27/12.

## Citation:

Section 34179.5(c)(3)-The dollar value of any cash or cash equivalents transferred after January 1, 2011, through June 30, 2012, by the redevelopment agency or the successor agency to any other public agency or private party and the purpose of each transfer. The review shall provide documentation of any enforceable obligation that required the transfer.

## Procedure 3:

If the State Controller's Office has completed its review of transfers required under both Sections 34167.5 and 34178.8 and issued its report regarding such review, attach a copy of that report as an exhibit to the AUP report. If this has not yet occurred, perform the following procedures:
A. Obtain a listing prepared by the Successor Agency of transfers (excluding payments for goods and services) from the former redevelopment agency to any other public agency or to private parties for the period from January 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012. For each transfer, the Successor Agency should describe the purpose of the transfer and describe in what sense the transfer was required by one of the Agency's enforceable obligations or other legal requirements. Provide this listing as an attachment to the AUP report.
B. Obtain a listing prepared by the Successor Agency of transfers (excluding payments for goods and services) from the Successor Agency to any other public agency or private parties for the period from February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012. For each transfer, the Successor Agency should describe the purpose of the transfer and describe in what sense the transfer was required by one of the Agency's enforceable obligations or other legal requirements. Provide this listing as an attachment to the AUP report.
C. For each transfer, obtain the legal document that formed the basis for the enforceable obligation that required any transfer. Note in the AUP report the absence of any such legal document or the absence of language in the document that required the transfer.

## Results/Findings:

## Procedure 3A:

Per Successor Agency, there were no transfers (excluding payments for goods and services) from the former redevelopment agency to any other public agency or to private parties for the period from January 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012.

## Procedure 3B:

Per Successor Agency, there were no transfers (excluding payments for goods and services) from the Successor Agency to any other public agency or to private parties for the period from February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012.

## Procedure 3C:

Not applicable.

## Citation:

34179.5(c)(4) The review shall provide expenditure and revenue accounting information and identify transfers and funding sources for the 2010-11 and 2011-12 fiscal years that reconciles balances, assets, and liabilities of the successor agency on June 30, 2012 to those reported to the Controller for the 200910 fiscal year.

## Procedure 4:

A. Obtain from the Successor Agency a summary of the financial transactions of the Redevelopment Agency and the Successor Agency in the format set forth in the attached schedule for the fiscal periods indicated in the schedule. For purposes of this summary, the financial transactions should be presented using the modified accrual basis of accounting. End of year balances for capital assets (in total) and long-term liabilities (in total) should be presented at the bottom of this summary schedule for information purposes.
B. Ascertain that for each period presented, the total of revenues, expenditures, and transfers accounts fully for the changes in equity from the previous fiscal period.
C. Compare amounts in the schedule relevant to the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010 to the state controller's report filed for the Redevelopment Agency for that period.
D. Compare amounts in the schedule for the other fiscal periods presented to account balances in the accounting records or other supporting schedules. Describe in the report the type of support provided for each fiscal period.

## Results/Findings:

See Exhibit 4-01 for the summary of the financial transactions of the Redevelopment Agency and the Successor Agency for fiscal periods 2010-2012.

We ascertained that for each period presented, the total of revenues, expenditures, and transfers accounts for the changes in equity from the previous fiscal period.

We compared amounts in the schedule relevant to the fiscal year ended June 30,2010 to the State Controller's report filed for the Redevelopment Agency for that period without exception.

We compared amounts in the schedule relevant to the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011 to the Basic Financial Statement and Independent Auditors' Reports for the Redevelopment Agency for that period without exception.

We compared amounts in the schedule relevant to the seven months ended January 31, 2012 to the accounting records for the Redevelopment Agency for that period without exception.

We compared amounts in the schedule relevant to the five months ended June 30, 2012 to the accounting records for the Successor Agency for that period without exception.

## Citation:

Section 34179.5(c)(5)-A separate accounting for the balance for the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund for all other funds and accounts combined shall be made as follows:
(A) A Statement of the total value of each fund as of June 30, 2012.

## Procedure 5:

Obtain from the Successor Agency a listing of all assets of All Other Funds Combined (Excluding Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund) as of June 30, 2012 for the report that is due December 15, 2012. When this procedure is applied to the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund, the schedule attached as an exhibit will only include those assets of the Low and Moderate Housing Fund that were held by the Successor Agency as of June 30, 2012 and will exclude all assets held by the entity that assumed the housing function previously performed by the former redevelopment agency. Agree the assets so listed to recorded balances reflected in the accounting records of the Successor Agency. The listings should be attached as an exhibit to the appropriate AUP report.

## Results/Findings:

See Exhibit 5-01 for a listing of all assets of All Other Funds Combined (Excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund) as of June 30, 2012. No exceptions were noted.

## Citation:

Section 34179.5(c)(5)(B)-An itemized statement listing any amounts that are legally restricted as to purpose and cannot be provided to taxing entities. This could include the proceeds of any bonds, grant funds, or funds provided by other governmental entities that place conditions on their use.

## Procedure 6:

Obtain from the Successor Agency a listing of asset balances held on June 30, 2012 that are restricted for the following purposes:
A. Unspent bond proceeds:
i) Obtain the Successor Agency's computation of the restricted balances (e.g., total proceeds less eligible project expenditures, amounts set aside for debt service payments, etc.)
ii) Trace individual components of this computation to related account balances in the accounting records, or to other supporting documentation (specify in the AUP report a description of such documentation)
iii) Obtain from the Successor Agency a copy of the legal document that sets forth the restriction pertaining to these balances. Note in the AUP report the absence of language restricting the use of the balances that were identified by the Successor Agency as restricted.
B. Grant proceeds and program income that are restricted by third parties:
i) Obtain the Successor Agency's computation of the restricted balances (e.g. total proceeds less eligible project expenditures).
ii) Trace individual components of this computation to related account balances in the accounting records, or to other supporting documentation (specify in the AUP report a description of such documentation).
iii) Obtain from the Successor Agency a copy of the grant agreement that sets forth the restriction pertaining to these balances. Note in the AUP report the absence of language restricting the use of the balances that were identified by the Successor Agency as restricted.
C. Other assets considered to be legally restricted:
i) Obtain the Successor Agency's computation of the restricted balances (e.g., total proceeds less eligible project expenditures).
ii) Trace individual components of this computation to related account balances in the accounting records, or to other supporting documentation (specify in the AUP report a description of such documentation).
iii) Obtain from the Successor Agency a copy of the legal agreement that sets forth the restriction pertaining to these balances. Note in the AUP report the absence of language restricting the use of the balances that were identified by the Successor Agency as restricted.
D. Attach the above mentioned Successor Agency prepared schedule (s) as an exhibit to the AUP in report. For each restriction identified on these schedules, indicate in the report the period of time for which the restrictions are in effect. If the restrictions are in effect until the related assets are expended for their intended purpose, this should be indicated in the report.

## Results/Findings:

## Procedure 6A

See Exhibit 6A-01 for the listing of asset balances held on June 30, 2012 that are restricted for the purpose of unspent bond proceeds.

We agreed the individual components of this listing for Items 1-3 to related account balances in the accounting records noting no exceptions.

Items 4-5 were not in the accounting records. Per Successor Agency, these are assets that were transferred from the Redevelopment Agency to the County between $1 / 1 / 11$ and $1 / 31 / 12$, and were either not encumbered in third party agreements by $6 / 28 / 11$ or encumbered in third party agreements by $6 / 28 / 11$, but became unencumbered by $6 / 30 / 12$. Per Exhibit 2A, these amounts are to be returned from the County to the Successor Agency, but were not part of the Successor Agency's assets as of $6 / 30 / 12$.

Per Successor Agency the balances for Items 1-5 are restricted due to Indenture of Trusts.
For Item 1 we were provided the following:
Indenture of Trust dated $8 / 1 / 2000$ between BNY Western Trust Company and County of Santa Cruz Redevelopment Agency.

Indenture of Trust dated 10/1/2000 between BNY Western Trust Company and County of Santa Cruz Redevelopment Agency.

Indenture of Trust dated $8 / 1 / 2003$ between BNY Western Trust and County of Santa Cruz Redevelopment Agency.

Indenture of Trust dated 10/1/2005 between the Bank of New York Trust Company and County of Santa Cruz Redevelopment Agency.

Indenture of Trust dated 4/1/2007 between The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A. and County of Santa Cruz Redevelopment Agency.

Indenture of Trust dated 10/1/2007 between The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A. and County of Santa Cruz Redevelopment Agency.

Indenture of Trust dated $1 / 1 / 2009$ with The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. and County of Santa Cruz Redevelopment Agency.

Indenture of Trust dated $6 / 1 / 2010$ between The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. and County of Santa Cruz Redevelopment Agency.

Indenture of Trust dated $2 / 1 / 2011$ between The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. and County of Santa Cruz Redevelopment Agency.

For Item 2 we were provided the following:
Indenture of Trust dated 10/1/2005 between the Bank of New York Trust Company and County of Santa Cruz Redevelopment Agency.

Indenture of Trust dated $1 / 1 / 2009$ with The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. and County of Santa Cruz Redevelopment Agency.

Indenture of Trust dated 2/1/2011 between The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. and County of Santa Cruz Redevelopment Agency.

For Item 3 we were provided the following:
Indenture of Trust dated 10/1/2000 between BNY Western Trust Company and County of Santa Cruz Redevelopment Agency.

Indenture of Trust dated 10/1/2005 between the Bank of New York Trust Company and County of Santa Cruz Redevelopment Agency.

Indenture of Trust dated 6/1/2010 between The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. and County of Santa Cruz Redevelopment Agency.

Indenture of Trust dated $2 / 1 / 2011$ between The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. and County of Santa Cruz Redevelopment Agency.

For Item 4 we were provided the following:
Indenture of Trust dated 10/1/2005 between the Bank of New York Trust Company and County of Santa Cruz Redevelopment Agency.

Indenture of Trust dated 1/1/2009 with The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. and County of Santa Cruz Redevelopment Agency.

Indenture of Trust dated $2 / 1 / 2011$ between The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. and County of Santa Cruz Redevelopment Agency.

For Item 5 we were provided the following:
Indenture of Trust dated 10/1/2000 between BNY Western Trust Company and County of Santa Cruz Redevelopment Agency.

Indenture of Trust dated 10/1/2005 between the Bank of New York Trust Company and County of Santa Cruz Redevelopment Agency.

Indenture of Trust dated $6 / 1 / 2010$ between The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. and County of Santa Cruz Redevelopment Agency.

Indenture of Trust dated 2/1/2011 between The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. and County of Santa Cruz Redevelopment Agency.

## Procedure 6B

Per Successor Agency, there are no asset balances held on June 30, 2012 that are restricted because the assets were from grant proceeds or program income that are restricted by third parties.

## Procedure 6C

See Exhibit 6C-01 for the listing of asset balances held on June 30, 2012 that are considered restricted, per the Successor Agency, for the following purpose: other assets considered to be legally restricted. We agreed the individual components of this listing to related account balances in the accounting records noting no exceptions.

For Item 1 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Trial Balance Detail Inquiry Report noting the payable. In the opinion of the Successor Agency, this documentation forms the basis for the restricting of these assets.

For Item 2 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Trial Balance Detail Inquiry Report noting the Pay Period 14 accrual. In the opinion of the Successor Agency, this documentation forms the basis for the restricting of these assets.

For Items 3-4 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Trial Balance Detail Inquiry Report noting the stale dated warrants (outstanding checks dated over six months past that have not yet been cashed). In the opinion of the Successor Agency, this documentation forms the basis for the restricting of these assets.

For Item 5 we were provided by the Successor Agency, the Actual Transactions Report for All Accruals for the 5 months ended $6 / 30 / 12$, and noted the "Due to amount". In the opinion of the Successor Agency, this documentation forms the basis for the restricting of these assets.

## Citation:

Section 34179.5(c)(5)(C)-An itemized statement of the values of any assets that are not cash or cash equivalents. This may include physical assets, land, records, and equipment. For the purpose of this accounting, physical assets may be valued at purchase cost or at any recently estimated market value. The statement shall list separately housing-related assets.

## Procedure 7:

Perform the following procedures:
A. Obtain from the Successor Agency a listing of assets as of June 30, 2012 that are not liquid or otherwise available for distribution (such as capital assets, land held for resale, long term receivables, etc.) and ascertain if the values are listed at either purchase cost (based on book value reflected in the accounting records of the Successor Agency) or market value as recently estimated by the Successor Agency.
B. If the assets listed at $7(\mathrm{~A})$ are listed at purchase cost, trace the amounts to previously audited financial statements (or to the accounting records of the Successor Agency) and note any differences.
C. For any differences noted in 7(B), inspect evidence of disposal of the asset and ascertain that the proceeds were deposited into the Successor Agency trust fund. If the differences are due to additions (this generally is not expected to occur), inspect the supporting documentation and note the circumstances.
D. If the assets listed at $7(\mathrm{~A})$ are listed at recently estimated market value, inspect the evidence (if any) supporting the value and note the methodology used. If no evidence is available to support the value and/or methodology, note the lack of evidence.

## Results/Findings:

## Procedure 7A

See Exhibit 7-01 for a listing of assets as of June 30, 2012 that are not liquid or otherwise available for distribution.

## Procedure 7B

We agreed the assets listed, which were at purchase cost, to previously audited financial statements of the Santa Cruz Redevelopment Agency for the year ended June 30, 2011. No exceptions were noted.

## Procedure 7C-7D

Not applicable. See results findings of procedure 7B.

## Citation:

Section 34179.5(c)(5)(D)-An itemized listing of any current balances that are legally or contractually dedicated or restricted for the funding of an enforceable obligation that identifies the nature of the dedication or restriction and the specific enforceable obligation. In addition, the successor agency shall provide a listing of all approved enforceable obligations that includes a projection of annual spending requirements to satisfy each obligation and a projection of annual revenues available to fund those requirements. If a review finds that future revenues together with dedicated balances are insufficient to fund future obligations and thus retention of current balance is required, it shall identify the amount of current balances necessary for retention. The review shall also detail the projected property tax revenues and other general purpose revenues to be received by the Successor Agency, together with both the amount and timing of the bond debt service payments of the successor agency, for the period in which the oversight board anticipates the successor agency will have insufficient property tax revenue to pay the specified obligations.

## Procedure 8:

Perform the following procedures:
A. If the Successor Agency believes that asset balances need to be retained to satisfy enforceable obligations, obtain from the Successor Agency an itemized schedule of asset balances (resources) as of June 30, 2012 that are dedicated or restricted for the funding of enforceable obligations and perform the following procedures. The schedule should identify the amount dedicated or restricted, the nature of the dedication or restriction, the specific enforceable obligation to which the dedication or restriction relates, and the language in the legal document that is associated with the enforceable obligation that specifies the dedication of existing asset balance toward payment of that obligation.
i) Compare all information on the schedule to the legal documents that form the basis for the dedication or restriction of the resource balance in question.
ii) Compare all current balances to the amounts reported in the accounting records of the Successor Agency or to an alternative computation.
iii) Compare the specified enforceable obligations to those that were included in the final Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule approved by the California Department of Finance.
iv) Attach as an exhibit to the report the listing obtained from the Successor Agency. Identify in the report any listed balances for which the Successor Agency was unable to provide appropriate restricting language in the legal document associated with the enforceable obligation.
B. If the Successor Agency believes that future revenues together with balances dedicated or restricted to an enforceable obligation are insufficient to fund future obligation payments and thus retention of current balances is required, obtain from the Successor Agency a schedule of approved enforceable obligations that includes a projection of the annual spending requirements to satisfy each obligation and a projection of the annual revenues available to fund those requirements and perform the following procedures:
i) Compare the enforceable obligations to those that were approved by the California Department of Finance. Procedures to accomplish this may include reviewing the letter from the California Department of Finance approving the Recognized Enforceable Obligation Payment Schedules for the six month period from January 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012 and for the six month period July 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012.
ii) Compare the forecasted annual spending requirements to the legal document supporting each the enforceable obligation.
iii) Obtain from the Successor Agency its assumptions relating to the forecasted annual spending requirements and disclose in the report major assumptions associated with the projections.
iv) For the forecasted annual revenues:
v) Obtain from the Successor Agency its assumptions for the forecasted annual revenues and disclose in the report major assumptions associated with the projections.
vi) If the Successor Agency believes that projected property tax revenues and other general purpose revenues to be received by the Successor Agency are insufficient to pay bond debt service payments (considering both the timing and amount of the related cash flows), obtain from the Successor Agency a schedule demonstrating this insufficiency and apply the following procedures to the information reflected in that schedule.
vii) Compare the timing and amounts of bond debt service payments to the related bond debt service schedules in the bond agreement.
vii) Obtain the assumptions for the forecasted property tax revenues and disclose major assumptions associated with the projections.
ix) Obtain the assumptions for the forecasted other general purpose revenues and disclose major assumptions associated with the projections.
D. If procedures $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ or C were performed, calculate the amount of current unrestricted balances necessary for retention in order to meet the enforceable obligations by performing the following procedures.
i) Combine the amount of identified current dedicated or restricted balances and the amount of forecasted annual revenues to arrive at the amount of total resources available to fund enforceable obligations.
ii) Reduce the amount of total resources available by the amount forecasted for the annual spending requirements. A negative result indicates the amount of current unrestricted balances that needs to be retained.
iii) Include the calculation in the AUP report.

## Results/Findings:

## Procedure 8A

See Exhibit 8A-01 for the itemized schedule of asset balances (resources) as of June 30, 2012, per Successor Agency that are dedicated or restricted for the funding of enforceable obligations.

We agreed the total of the amount of enforceable obligations to the amounts reported in the accounting records with the following exception:

| Total amount of enforceable obligations per schedule (Exhibit 8-01) as of <br> June 30, 2012 | $\$ 1,132,305$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Accounting Records of Successor Agency as of June 30, 2012 | $\$ 1,700,727$ |
| Difference | $\$ 868,422$ |

Per Successor Agency, the $\$ 568,422$ difference represents unencumbered cash that is in the same cash account as the restricted cash.

We compared the specified enforceable obligations to those that were included in the ROPS for the period January 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012 that were approved by the California Department of Finance. No exceptions were noted.

For the itemized schedule of asset balances as of June 30,2012 that are restricted for the funding of enforceable obligations (Exhibit 8A-01) we were provided by the Successor Agency the following documents:

Item-1-Indenture of Trust dated $8 / 1 / 2000$ between BNY Western Trust Company and County of Santa Cruz Redevelopment Agency.

Item 2-Indenture of Trust dated 10/1/2000 between BNY Western Trust Company and County of Santa Cruz Redevelopment Agency.

Item 3- Indenture of Trust dated 8/1/2000 between BNY Western Trust Company and County of Santa Cruz Redevelopment Agency.

Indenture of Trust dated 10/1/2000 between BNY Western Trust Company and County of Santa Cruz Redevelopment Agency.

Indenture of Trust dated $8 / 1 / 2003$ between BNY Western Trust Company and County of Santa Cruz Redevelopment Agency.

Indenture of Trust dated 10/1/2005 between the Bank of New York Trust Company and County of Santa Cruz Redevelopment Agency.

Indenture of Trust dated 4/1/2007 between The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A. and County of Santa Cruz Redevelopment Agency.

Indenture of Trust dated 10/1/2007 between The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A. and County of Santa Cruz Redevelopment Agency.

Indenture of Trust dated $1 / 1 / 2009$ between The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. and County of Santa Cruz Redevelopment Agency.

Indenture of Trust dated $6 / 1 / 2010$ between The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. and County of Santa Cruz Redevelopment Agency.

Indenture of Trust dated $2 / 1 / 2011$ between The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. and County of Santa Cruz Redevelopment Agency.

In the opinion of the Successor Agency, Paragraph 4.02 of each of the above referenced documents, for Items 1-3, forms the legal basis of the appropriate restricting language associated with the enforceable obligation.

Item 4-Independent Contractor Agreement between Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Agency and Rutan and Tucker, dated 7/1/05 and amended 6/23/11 and the related Request for Approval, dated 6/27/11.

## Procedure 8B

The procedure is not applicable. The Successor Agency does not believe that future revenues plus current balances are insufficient to fund future obligation payments.

## Procedure 8C

The procedure is not applicable. The Successor Agency does not believe that future revenues plus current balances are insufficient to fund bond debt service payments.

## Procedure 8D

The calculation of the amount of current unrestricted balances necessary for retention in order to meet the enforceable obligations is as follows:

Identified current dedicated or restricted balances
\$ 1,132,305
Forecasted annual revenues

Total resources available to fund enforceable obligations

Forecasted annual spending requirements
Amount of current unrestricted balances necessary for retention
\$ 1,132,305
$\underline{1,132,305}$
$\$ \quad-$

Section 34179.5(c)(5)(E)-An itemized list and analysis of any amounts of current balances that are needed to satisfy obligations that will be placed on the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedules for the current fiscal year.

## Procedure 9:

If the Successor Agency believes that cash balances as of June 30, 2012 need to be retained to satisfy obligations on the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) for the period of July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013, obtain a copy of the final ROPS for the period of July 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012 and a copy of the final ROPS for the period January 1, 2013 through June 30, 2013. For each obligation listed on the ROPS, the Successor Agency should add columns identifying (1) any dollar amounts of existing cash that are needed to satisfy that obligation and (2) the Successor Agency's explanation as to why the Successor Agency believes that such balances are needed to satisfy the obligation. Include this schedule as an attachment to the AUP report.

## Results/Findings:

See Exhibit 9-01 for the listing of cash balances as of June 30, 2012 that need to be retained to satisfy obligations on the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) for the period of July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013.

## Citation:

Section 34179.5(c)(6)-The review shall total the net balances available after deducting the total amounts described in subparagraphs (B) to (E), inclusive, of paragraph (5). The review shall add any amounts that were transferred as identified in paragraphs (2) and (3) of subdivision (c) if an enforceable obligation to make that transfer did not exist. The resulting sum shall be available for allocation to affected taxing authorities pursuant to Section 34179.6. It shall be a rebuttable presumption that cash and cash equivalent balances available to the Successor Agency are available and sufficient to disburse the amount determined in this paragraph to taxing entities. If the review finds that there are insufficient cash balances to transfer or that cash or cash equivalents are specifically obligated to the purposes described in subparagraphs (B), (D), and (E) of paragraph (5) in such amounts that there is insufficient cash to provide the full amount determined pursuant to this paragraph, that amount shall be demonstrated in an additional itemized schedule.

## Procedure 10:

Include (or present) a schedule detailing the computation of the Balance Available for Allocation to Affected Taxing Entities. Amounts included in the calculation should agree to the results of the procedures performed in each section above. The schedule should also include a deduction to recognize amounts already paid to the County Auditor-Controller on July 12, 2012 as directed by the California Department of Finance. The amount of this deduction presented should be agreed to evidence of payment. The attached example summary schedule may be considered for this purpose. Separate schedules should be completed for the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund and for all other funds combined (excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund).

## Results/Findings:

See attached Exhibit 10-01 for the schedule detailing the computation of the Balance Available for Allocation to Affected Taxing Entities.

We agreed the amount of the deduction to recognize amounts already paid to the County AuditorController on July 12, 2012, per Exhibit 10-01, to the Accounting Records of the Successor Agency. No exceptions were noted.

## Procedure 11:

Obtain a representation letter from Successor Agency management acknowledging their responsibility for the data provided to the practitioner and the data presented in the report or in any attachments to the report. Included in the representations should be an acknowledgement that management is not aware of any transfers (as defined by Section 34179.5) from either the former redevelopment agency or the Successor Agency to other period from January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012 that may not have been properly identified in the AUP report and its related exhibits. Management's refusal to sign the representation letter should be noted in the AUP report as required by attestation standards.

## Result/Findings:

Said management representation letter was received. No exceptions noted.
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Note "*" Items with an asterix after the loan/grant number also have affordability restrictions on the property with which the loan/grant is associated.
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| 22 | Real property | ownership |  | APN 037-101-58 5555 Soquel Dr. Soquel | \$1,825,246 | Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreernent between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11. Returned to Redevelopment Successor Agency 10/1/12 per Quiltalaim Deed Doc: 2012-0047774. | \$1,825,246 | Na | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |  |  |
| 23 | Real property | ownership |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { APN 037-101-59 } \\ \text { S540 Tee St. } \\ \text { Soquel } \end{array}$ | included in item $\# 22$ | Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement bewween the Courty of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/55/11. Returned to Redevelopment Successor Agency 10/1/12 per Quitchaim Deed Doc\# 2012-0047775. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { included in } \\ & \text { ltem } \# 22 \end{aligned}$ | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |  |  |
| 24. | Portable Buibling | ownership |  | \#7000080832 <br> Portable Bldg for <br> Teen Center, <br> Shoreline Middle <br> School | \$50,765 | Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated $2 / 45 / 11$, and Agreement regarding purchase of portable classroam for use as Teen Center between Live Oak School District and Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Agency, dated 1/23/01. | \$48,557 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |  | \$18,020,000 |
| 25 | Constructon in Progess | Associated * * 8 , 7\& 8 |  | Construction in <br> Progress-7th \& Brommer site | \$15,961 | Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/95/11. | \$15,931 | n/a | n/a | n/8 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |  |  |
| 26 | Construction in Progess | Associated with Items艮 10 \& 11 |  | Construction in ProgressChanticleer Ave Park | \$624,455 | Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11. | \$624,455 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | N/a |  |  |
| 27 | Construction in Progess | Associated with Item $+12$ |  | Construction in Progress-Live Oak Resourco Center | \$4,795,323 | See llem\#12 | so | n/a | n/a | n/8 | N/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |  |  |
| 28 | Construction in Progess | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Associated } \\ & \text { with Item } \\ & \# 21 \end{aligned}$ |  | Construction in Progress-Heart of Soquel | \$35,374 | Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Sarta Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2115/11. | \$32,374 | n/a | N/a. | N/a | n/a | n/a | n/3 | n/a |  |  |
| 29 | Construction in Progess | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Associated } \\ & \text { with Items } \\ & \$ 22 \& 23 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Construction in } \\ & \text { ProgressFarm } \\ & \text { Park } \end{aligned}$ | \$1,209,889 | Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the Counly of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11. | \$1,209,689 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | N/a | n/a | N/a |  |  |
| 30 | Conatruction in Progess | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Associated } \\ & \text { with Items } \\ & \text { H9, } 16 \& 17 \end{aligned}$ |  | Construction in Progress-Various Parksites | \$54,729 | Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Sarta Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11. | \$54,729 | n/a | n/a | N/a | N/8 | N/B | n/a | Na |  | \$6,735,531 |
| 31 | Rent | Associated with Item ${ }^{3}$ |  | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Rent for } 1438 \\ \text { Capitola Rd, Santa }\end{array}$ Cruz | \$1,625 | See Item \#3 | \$1,625 | Na | n/a | n/a | n/3 | na | n/a | na |  |  |
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| Exhibit 2A-01: Listing of transfers from Santa Cuuz County Redovelopment Agancy (Dissolved Agencyl to the County of Santa Cruz for the period January 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Type of Asset | Funter Asper Type | $\begin{gathered} \text { Asset } \\ \text { Type } \\ \text { perthes } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Por antorchable oblifetion or legal regulymitas |  | Expmaded ander Copp Breanent by 113112 |  | fimaned to RPRA |  |  4athact as of 151112 | Post 131/12 tramsactions |  |  | Cherk Tothes |
| 70 | Cash |  | Fund 28 |  | Professional Service Industries Inc Purchase Order OS72485-02 | \$3,851 | Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated $2 / 15 / 11$, and Purchase Order between County of Santa Cruz and Professional Services Industries, Inc., daled 7/21/11. | 5667 | \$3,184 | so | so | \$667 | 50 | \$0 | \$667 | 197143 |  |
| 71 | Cash |  | Fund 28 |  | Sanders \& Associates Geostructural 0S72581 | \$14,944 | Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11, and Purchase Order between County of Santa Cruz and Sanders \& Associates Geostructural Engineering, dated 7/14/11. | \$787 | \$14,157 | \$0 | \$0 | \$787 | so | \$0 | \$787 | 197143 |  |
| 72 | Cash |  | Fund 28 |  | East Cliff Parkway Miscellaneous | \$1,081,908 | $\$ 1,080,233$ expended by $1 / 31 / 12$ for services pet Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11. | \$471 | \$1,081,437 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$471 | 50 | \$471 | 197143 | \$1,198,049 |
| 73 | Ca |  | Fund 28 |  | Vanir Construction <br> Management, Inc <br> Contract CT03912- <br> 02 | \$4,500,000 | Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated $2 / 15 / 11$, and Public Improvements Development Agreement between County of Santa Cruz and Vanir Construction Management, Inc., dated 8/28/11. | \$4,500,000 | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$4,500,000 | 50 | n/a | \$4,500,000 | 197145 | \$4,500,000 |
| 74 | Cash |  | Fund 28 |  | The Don Chapin Compary, Inc Contract CW03646 | \$700,694 | Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11, and contract between County of Santa Cruz and The Don Chapin Co. Inc., dated 3/3/11. | \$169,433 | \$531,261 | so | \$0 | \$169,433 | \$0 | \$77,644 | \$91,789 | 197451 |  |
| 75 | Cash |  | Fund 28 |  | Eaton Street Improvements Miscellaneous | \$176,175 | $\$ 175.236$ expended by $1 / 31 / 12$, and $\$ 6,600.81$ expended after $1 / 31 / 12$, for services incurred by 1/31/12 per Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/45/11. \$86,127 expended 5/9/12 VQ04949 to pay enforceable obligation line $\# 2$. on ROPS I, January-June 2012, page 3 of 3, ine | \$939 | \$175,236 | \$0 | so | 50 | \$939 | \$92,728 | (\$98.789) | 19715 | \$876,869 |
| 76 | Cash |  | Fund 28 |  | Fehr \& Peers Transportation Consultants Contract CT03898 | \$105,000 | Amended and Restated Cooperation <br> Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelaprnent Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11, and Independent Contractor Agreement between County of Santa Cruz and Fehr and Peers Transportation Consultants, dated 6/14/11. | \$105,000 | \$0 | so | so | \$105,000 | so | n/a | S0 | 197162 |  |
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|  |  | \%1/ |  |  |  | H1m |  |  |
| II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | [ill | A | I, | 1 | 10 | $1$ |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 140n | 2A-01: Listing of transfers | from Santa C | ruz Coun | ty Redavelopment A | ney (Dissolved | Agency) to the County of Santa Cruz for the p | period January | 1,2011 thro | ugh January 3 | , 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | type at Asset |  |  |  | chrvis: vinu ghen: vhen? | fir emorceath oblatith or tegh requinement |  |  |  | Returntid to R3A | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Entumbirut } \\ & \text { Gumnch al of } \\ & \hline \text { thikz } \end{aligned}$ |  | How 13inta mandetions | intulneat is. Ratoveloprint sucenstor Agency |  | Chuch Totels |
| 103 | Ca | Fund 32-121 |  | Live Oak Resource Center Miscellaneous | \$30,000 | $\$ 27,329$ expended by $\mathbf{1 / 3 1 / 1 2}$ for services per Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreernent between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cnuz dated 2/15/11. | \$2,671 | 527,329 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,671 | \$0 | \$2,671 | 197315 | \$30,000 |
| 104 | Cash | Fund 28 |  | Capital Project Management Miscellaneous | \$1,785,000 | $\$ 1,746,042$ expended by $1 / 31 / 12$ for services per Armended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11. \$38,958 returned to RDA JS50475 9/1/11. | (50) | \$1,746,042 | s0 | \$38,958 | so | (50) | s0 | (50) | 197250 | \$1,785,000 |
| 105 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nor-housing cash } \\ & \text { Subfotal } \end{aligned}$ | S78,082,411 |  | \$31,650,965 | \$40,794,112 | so | \$3,637,334 | \$31,472,388 | \$178,577 | \$627,497 | 57,308,976 |  | \$76,082,411 |
| 106 | Real property | ownership |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { APN 026-111-03 } \\ \# 1.102611103 \\ \begin{array}{l} 11240 \\ \text { Radriguez St. } \\ \text { Santa Cruz } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$1,001,478 | Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11 and California Residential Purchase Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions between the County of Santa Cruz and Habitat for Humanity Santa Cruz dated $8 / 20 / 11$. | \$0 | n/a | n'a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |  |  |
| 107 | Real property | ounership |  | APN 029-171-05 \#1002917105 2340 Harper St, Samta Cruz | \$290,837 | Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Sarta Cruz deted 2/15/11 and California Residential Purchase Agreement and Joint Escrow Instructions between the County of Santa Cruz and CFSC, Inc. dated 6/20/11. | \$290,837 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |  |  |
| 108 | Real property | ownership |  | APN 026-001-49 \#1002808149 522 <br> Capitola Rd <br> Extersion, Santa cruz. $\qquad$ | \$244,495 | Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the Courty of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11, and Lease Agreement between Redevelopment Agency of the County of Sente Criz and Community Action Board of Santa Cruz County. Inc./Gemma, dated 6/26/07, to use premises jas transitional housing. | \$235,763 | n/a | N/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | N/a |  | \$1,536,810 |
| 109 | Appliance | Associated with Item * 108 |  | Refrigerator at 522 <br> Capitola Rd <br> Extension, Santa <br> Cnuz | inclucled in unit purchase | See llem \#108 | induded in unit purchase | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |  |  |
| 110 | Appliance | Associated with Item \#108 |  | Range/oven at 522 <br> Capitola Rd <br> Extension, Santa <br> Cruz | included in unit <br> purchase | See liem \#108 | includad in unit purchase | n/a | n/a | N/ | n/a | n/8 | n/3 | N/E |  |  |
| 111 | Appliance | Associated with Item 1106 |  | Refrigerator at 1240 Rodriguez St Santa Cruz | included in unit purchase | See liem \#106 | included in unit purchase | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |  |  |


| Exhibit <br> tame | Then or Aeset |  | ux Cou | Demetijten | gency (Dissolved | Agency) to the County of Santa Cruz for the porn | pariod January | 1, 2011 throu | ugh January 3 | 1,2012 |  |  |  |  |  | Chemp Totht |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  Tequispront |  | 4ifinded under conp Liruthatit by $1 \times 142$ |  |  | FWCunthe: Eatincs itis of U1143 | unimiunburta Batance as of 131012 | Fost thell2 trancettions |  |  |  |
| 112 | Appliance | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Associated } \\ \text { with Item } \\ \# 106 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |  | Range/oven at <br> 1420 Rodriguez St, <br> Santa | $\begin{array}{l}\text { included in unit } \\ \text { purchase }\end{array}$ | See Item \#106 | included in unit purchase | n/a | n/a | n/a | n'a | n/a | n/a | N/a |  |  |
| 113 | Appliance | Associated with Item \#107 |  | Refrigerator at 2340 Harper St, <br> Santa Gruz | included in unit | See Item\#107 | Included in unit purchase | n/a | n/a | n/a | n'a | n/a | n/a | n/a |  |  |
| 114 | Appliance | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Associated } \\ & \text { with Item } \\ & \text { \#107 } \end{aligned}$ |  | Range/foven at 2340 Harper St. Santa Cnuz | included in unit purchase | See ltem \#107 | included in unit purchase | n/9 | n/a | n/8 | n/a | n/a | n/3 | n/a |  | \$0 |
| 115 | Rent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Associsted } \\ & \text { with ltem } \\ & \# 108 \end{aligned}$ |  | Rent for 522 <br> Capitola Rd <br> Extension, Santa <br> Cruz | \$542 | See ltem \#108 | \$542 | n/a | n/a | n/8 | n/a | n/a | n/a | N/8 |  |  |
| 116 | Rent | \#106 |  | Rent for 1240 Rodriguez Street, Santa Cruz | \$2,200 | Sea ltem\#100 | 90 | N/a | N/a | n/a | n/a | n'a | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a |  |  |
| 117 | Rent | \#107 |  | Rent for 2340 Harper Street, Santa Cruz | \$1,050 | See Item\#107 | \$1,050 | n/a | n a | n/a | n'a | n/a | n/a | n/a |  | \$3,792 |
| 118 | Cash | Fund 29 |  | Community Action Board of Santa Cruz Contract CT12635 | \$300,000 | Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Sarta Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated $2 / 15 / 11$ and the Independent Contractor Agreement between the County of Santa Cnuz and Community Action Board, Inc. dated 6/20/11 | \$273,529 | \$26,471 | \$0 | so | \$273,529 | so | n/a | N/日 |  |  |
| 119 | Cash | Fund 29 |  | Rutan \& Tucker LLP Contract CT13369 | 395,000 | $\$ 63,153$ expended by $1 / 31 / 12$, and $\$ 31,451$ expended after $1 / 31 / 12$ for sevvice incurred by 1/31/12 per Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cniz dated 2155/11 and the Independent Contractor Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and Rutan and Tucker, LLP dated 711/11. | \$31,847 | \$63,153 | \$0 | \$0 | \$31,847 | $\$ 0$ | \$31,451 | 5396 |  |  |
| 120 | Cash | Fund 29 |  | Housing Authority of County of Santa Cruz Contract CT13688 | 5231,000 | Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cuz dated 2/15/11 and the Independent Contractor Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and Housing Authority of the County of Santa Cruz dated 6/20/11 | \$208,155 | \$22,845 | \$0 | so | \$208,155 | \$0 | n/a | N/o |  |  |
| 121 | Cash | Fund 29 |  | Famikes in Transition Contract CT13770 | \$234,000 | Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the Courty of Santa Criz dated 2/15/1 1 and the independent Contractor Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and Families in Transition dated 6/20/11 | \$215,150 | \$18,850 | 50 | \$0 | \$215,150 | so | n/a | n/a |  |  |
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| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| flls |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \|14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 111 |  |  | , |  |  |  |
| H |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Wh: | Nhthem |
| 14 |  |  | , |  |  |  |
|  | $1 \text { titity }$ | \% | If. | In | H | 4 |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | 1 | + | \% | \% |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ; | , | \% |  |  | : |


| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (ill |  |  | , |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 171) |  |  |  |  |  |
| ] |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 141 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 而 |  | - | \% |  |  |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
|  |  | \% 413 | (17) |  | 11 |
|  |  |  | $i$ |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - |  |  | \% : |  |  |


Notes:

1) The carrying value of the asset is the purchase price paid by the RDA (with depreciation, if applicable) and may not represent the current fair
market value of the asset. Any value tisted as unknown on included in purchase price can be assumed to be 0 .
2) The Carrying Value of Asset $1 / 31 / 12$ for Rent in the monthly amount.
3) For items $73,90,91$, the Redevelopment Successor Agency believes that $6 / 28 / 11$ is a valid date for this transaction and that the funds are not leg

Exhibit 2B－01：Listing of transfers from Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency to the County of Santa Cruz fro the period February 1,2012 through June 30,2012

|  | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{N}{N} \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \\ & \stackrel{N}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{N}{\hat{N}} \\ \stackrel{N}{N} \end{gathered}$ | $N$ $\stackrel{N}{N}$ $\stackrel{N}{N}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{N}{N} \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \\ & \stackrel{N}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $N$ $\stackrel{N}{N}$ $\stackrel{N}{N}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{N}{\hat{N}} \\ & \stackrel{N}{\hat{N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{N}{N} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N} \end{gathered}$ | N | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{N}{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{\circ}{N} \\ & \stackrel{N}{\oplus} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{N}{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{6} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{0} \\ & \stackrel{N}{\omega} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{\circ}{N} \\ & \stackrel{N}{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \stackrel{N}{6} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{\circ}{N} \\ & \stackrel{N}{6} \end{aligned}$ | － | － | － |
|  | $N$ $\stackrel{N}{N}$ N | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{N}{0} \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \\ & \stackrel{N}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{N}{N}$ $\stackrel{N}{N}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\stackrel{N}{N}} \stackrel{\substack{N}}{\stackrel{1}{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{N}{n} \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $N$ $\stackrel{N}{N}$ $\stackrel{N}{N}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{N}{\stackrel{N}{N}} \\ \stackrel{N}{N} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{N}{\grave{N}} \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} N \\ \stackrel{N}{N} \\ \stackrel{N}{N} \end{gathered}$ | N |
|  |  |  | E 0 0 0 0 4 0 0 0 | -0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{3} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{x} \end{aligned}$ | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 |  | -0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { s. } \\ & \text { 気 } \\ & \text { 咅 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ⿹ㅡㄹ } \\ & 0 \\ & \text { 咅 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { §⿹\zh26灬 } \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{5}{5} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ⿹ㅡㅁ} \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{5}{5} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ⿹ㅡㅇ} \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{5}{5} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 預 } \\ & \text { 혇 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{5}{5}$ $\frac{0}{5}$ 8 0 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\ddot{0}}{\dot{x}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | （ |  | ＋ |
|  | － | $N$ | ¢ | $\checkmark$ | $\omega$ | $\oplus$ | $\cdots$ | $\infty$ | の | 은 | F |

Exhibit 2B-01: Listing of transfers from Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency to the County of Santa Cruz fro the period February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012

|  | Type of Asset | Further Asset Type | Asset Type per HSC | Descripition | Carriling Value of Assot | Per enforceable obligation orlegal requirement | Date of Transter from RDA to Successor Agency | Funding source | Date of transfer from Successor Agency to County of Santa Cruz |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | Real property | right of 1st refusal | HSC 34176(e)(1) | 800 Brommer St \#45, Santa Cruz | unknown | HSC 34176(a)(1) | 2/1/2012 | 612200 | 211/2012 |
| 13 | Real property | right of 1st refusal | HSC 34176(e)(1) | 800 Brommer St \#73, Santa Cruz | unknown | HSC 34176(a)(1) | 2/1/2012 | 612200 | 2/1/2012 |
| 14 | Real property | right of 1st refusal | HSC 34176(e)(1) | 800 Brommer St \#18, Santa Cruz | unknown | HSC 34176(a)(1) | 2/1/2012 | 612200 | 2/1/2012 |
| 15 | Real property | right of 1st refusal | HSC 34176(e)(1) | 800 Brommer St \#12, Santa Cruz | unknown | HSC 34176(a)(1) | 2/1/2012 | 612200 | 2/1/2012 |
| 16 | Real property | right of 1st refusal | HSC 34176(e)(1) | 800 Brommer St \#57, Santa Cruz | unknown | HSC 34176(a)(1) | 2/1/2012 | 612200 | 2/1/2012 |
| 17 | Real property | right of 1 st refusal | HSC 34176(e)(1) | 800 Brommer St \#64, Santa Cruz | unknown | HSC 34176(a)(1) | 211/2012 | 612200 | 2/1/2012 |
| 18 | Real property | right of 1st refusal | HSC 34176(e)(1) | 800 Brommer St \#37, Santa Cruz | unknown | HSC 34176(a)(1) | 2/1/2012 | 612200 | 21/2012 |
| 19 | Real property | right of 1st refusal | HSC 34176(e)(1) | 800 Brommer St \#63, Santa Cruz | unknown | HSC 34176(a)(1) | 2/1/2012 | 612200 | 2/1/2012 |
| 20 | Real property | right of 1 st refusal | HSC 34176(e)(1) | 800 Brommer St \#89, Santa Cruz | unknown | HSC 34176(a)(1) | 2/1/2012 | 612200 | 2/1/2012 |
| 21 | Real property | right of 1st refusal | HSC 34176(e)(1) | 800 Brommer St \#80, Santa Cruz | unknown | HSC 34176(a)(1) | 2/1/2012 | 612200 | 2/1/2012 |
| 22 | Real property | affordability restriction | HSC 34176(e)(1) | 80 Sears Circle, Soquel | unknown | HSC 34176(a)(1) | 2/1/2012 | 612200 | 2/1/2012 |
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Exhibit 2B－01：Listing of transfers from Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency to the County of Santa Cruz fro the period February 1， 2012 through June 30， 2012

| ZLOZILIZ | 00ZZ 19 | 210ZILR | （b）（e）9LIDE OSH | SLL＇889 |  |  |  | ＊210－dH90 \＃UE07 | LS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZLOZILİ | 00ZZเ9 | 2102／LIL | （L）（e）9L1ロ\＆JSH | 218＇621 | $\begin{gathered} \text { मolodd 6uisnor } \\ \text { eןqepoy youol ueploo } \end{gathered}$ | （E）（ə）9LLロ 3 SH |  | ＊15－dH90 \＃पeo7 | 09 |
| ZLOZILI | 00zzเ9 | ZLOZILR | （ L （E）9LIDE 3 SH | 0ヶ1001 | Dolodd 6ulsnoH өqeproyt dHW Alluey ouped | （E）（ə）9Lレロ J J |  | LJVd－V060 \＃Ue07 | 6 |
| ZLOZILZ | 00zて19 | 2LOZ／L／R | （L）（E）9LLE OSH | sZL＇8S |  dHW SODV fueseeld | （E）（2）9LIDE JSH |  | EVd－V080 \＃पe07 | ${ }^{81}$ |
| Z10ZIIZ | 00zて19 | Z10zILİ | （L）（E）9LLDE JSH | OS！＇99 |  <br> dHW sejob fueseeld |  |  | CVd－V080 \＃UEO7 | $\stackrel{\square}{ }$ |
| ZLOZILZ | 00zz19 | 2102／L／ | （L）（E）9LIDE JSH | 0S¢＇8 |  <br>  | （E）（ə）9LLセE JSH |  | SOVd－VO80 \＃UEOT | ${ }^{90}$ |
| Z10ZIL／ | 002Z19 | 210ZIL／Z | （b）（E）9Lしゅ¢ JSH | 009＇t $\dagger$ | Foolod buisnot elqepuonv <br>  | （E）（ə）9＜Lた JSH |  |  | St |
| Z10ZILİ | 00zz19 | Z10zıl／z | （1）（E）9Lしロを JSH | 0s8＇${ }^{\text {c }}$ ¢ |  | （8）（大）9LIVE JSH |  | ZOVd－VOLO \＃UEO7 | － |
| 210z／LZ | 00zz19 | 2102IIL | （L）（E）9LIDE JSH | 000＇s |  dHW K！ | （8）（ə）9Lレロ JSH |  | $15 \forall d$－ $5<0$ \＃पeo 7 | \＆ |
| Z10ZILZ | 00zzเ9 | 2LOZIL／ | （D）（E）92Lロを ОSH | 000＇0L |  | （ह）（ब）9LLDE JSH |  | ＊$¢ 6$－H H ［20 \＃UEO7 | て |
| 210Z／1／2 | $002 Z 19$ | 2L0ZIL／Z | （b）（e）9 2 LロE JSH | 000＇62 |  | （ह）（a）9Lした¢ ОSH |  |  | L |
| Z1OZILIZ | 00zて19 | 2LOZ／L／Z | （1）（e）9LLロE JSH | 009＇67 |  |  |  | ＊86－H＋3LO \＃UEO7 | Ob |
| CLOZILIZ | 00zz19 | ご0ZILI | （1）（e）9LLDE JSH | 000＇zs |  | （8）（ə）9Lしゃを $\operatorname{OSH}$ |  | ＊96－HL－420 \＃UEO7 | $6 \varepsilon$ |
| Z1OZILZ | 00zzı9 | 2LOZILİ |  | 000＇0s |  | （ह）（9）92Lャを 3 SH |  | ＊S6－HL－320 \＃UeO7 | $8 \varepsilon$ |
| Z10Z／L／Z | 00 ¢ 9 | こLOZIL／ | （1）（E）9L1ヵを OSH | 0sz＇oz |  | （E）（0）9Lレロを 3 SH |  | ＊26－H1－190 \＃U EO 7 | LE |
| Z10ZILIZ | 00zz19 | ZLOZIL／ | （म）（E）9LしたE 3SH | 0sZ＇9Z |  | （E）（\％）9Lレロ OSH |  | ＊16－H1－190 \＃UEO7 | $9 \varepsilon$ |
|  | eambs Gupunal |  | zueweanbea pe6a｜io uoge6ן！qo elqeasiojua ied | $\begin{aligned} & \text { jessy jo } \\ & \text { enje^ Gufues } \end{aligned}$ | －vondiaseg | Jed edKi lessy | adK1 lassy lequng | jessy 10 adil． | way |

Exhibit 2B-01: Listing of transfers from Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency to the County of Santa Cruz fro the period February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012

| ltem \# |  | of Asset | Further Assat Type | Asset Type per HSC | Description | Carrying Value of Asset | Per enforceable obligation or tegal requirement | Date of Transfer from RDA to Successor Agency | Funding source | Date of transfer from Successor Agency to County of Santa Cruz |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52 | Loan \# | 06HP-GT3* |  | HSC 34176(e)(3) | Golden Torch Affordable Housing Project | 577,652 | HSC 34176(a)(1) | 2/1/2012 | 612200 | 2/1/2012 |
| 53 | Loan \# | 08HP-PA3* |  | HSC 34176(e)(3) | Pleasant Acres MHP Affordable Housing Project | 2,190,215 | HSC 34176(a)(1) | 2/1/2012 | 612200 | 2/1/2012 |
| 54 | Loan \# | 08HP-MC111* |  | HSC 34176(e)(3) | Osocales MHP Affordable Housing Project | 80,000 | HSC 34176(a)(1) | 2/1/2012 | 612200 | 2/1/2012 |
| 55 | Loan \# | 09HP-PAC5* |  | HSC 34176(e)(3) | Pacific Family MHP Affordable Housing Project | 1,930,000 | HSC 34176(a)(1) | 2/1/2012 | 612200 | 2/1/2012 |
| 56 | Loan \# | 09HP-PAC6* |  | HSC 34176(e)(3) | Pacific Family MHP Affordable Housing Project | 350,749 | HSC 34176(a)(1) | 2/1/2012 | 612200 | 211/2012 |
| 57 | Loan \# | 10HP-MAR5* |  | HSC 34176(e)(3) | Marmo's Affordable Housing Project | 142,323 | HSC 34176(a)(1) | 2/1/2012 | 612200 | 2/1/2012 |
| 58 | Loan \# | 10MH-59 |  | HSC 34176(e)(3) | Mobile Home Rehabilition Loan Program | 23,069 | HSC 34176(a)(1) | 2/1/2012 | 612200 | 2/1/2012 |
| 59 | Loan \# | 08HP-PAC4* |  | HSC 34176(e)(3) | Pacific Family MHP Affordable Housing Project | 692,565 | HSC 34176(a)(1) | 2/1/2012 | 612200 | 2/1/2012 |
| 60 | Loan \# | 10HP-AC1* |  | HSC 34176(e)(3) | Aptos Cottages Affordable Housing Project | 3,150,000 | HSC 34176(a)(1) | 2/1/2012 | 612200 | 2/1/2012 |
| 61 | Loan\# | 11HP-STS1 |  | HSC 34176(e)(3) | St. Stephens Affordable Housing Project | 92,216 | HSC 34176(a)(1) | 2/1/2012 | 612200 | 211/2012 |
| 62 | Loan \# | 99HP-VIS4* |  | HSC 34176(e)(3) | Vista Verde Affordable Housing Project | 950,000 | HSC 34176(a)(1) | 2/1/2012 | 612200 | 2/1/2012 |
| 63 | Loan \# | OOHP-SAN ${ }^{*}$ |  | HSC 34176(e)(3) | San Andreas Affordable Housing Project | 700,000 | HSC 34176(a)(1) | 2/1/2012 | 612200 | 2/1/2012 |
| 64 | Loan \# | HP-2MG1* |  | HSC 34176(e)(3) | Canterbury Affordable Housing Project | 1,900,373 | HSC 34176(a)(1) | 2/1/2012 | 612200 | 2/1/2012 |
| 65 | Loan\# | HP-2MG4* |  | HSC 34176(e)(3) | Canterbury Affordable Housing Project | 385,000 | HSC 34176(a)(1) | 2/1/2012 | 612200 | 2/1/2012 |
| 66 | Loan \# | HP-2MG3* |  | HSC 34176(e)(3) | Canterbury Affordable Housing Project | 658,390 | HSC 34176(a)(1) | 2/1/2012 | 612200 | 2/1/2012 |
| 67 | Loan \# | 06PD-FEL1 |  | HSCC 34176(e)(3) | Felton site Affordable Housing Project | 200,000 | HSC 34176(a)(1) | 211/2012 | 612200 | 2/1/2012 |

$\infty$
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| Item \# |  |  | Further Asset Type | Asset Type per HSC | Description | Carrying Value of Asset | Per enforceable obtigation or legal requirement | Date of Transfer from RDA to Successor Agency | Funding source | Date of transfer from Successor Agency to County of Santa Cruz |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 68 | Loan \# | 07PD-FEL2 |  | HSC 34176(e)(3) | Felton site Affordable Housing Project | 496,710 | HSC 34176(a)(1) | 2/1/2012 | 612200 | 2/1/2012 |
| 69 | Loan\# | 09HP-FEL3 |  | HSC 34176(e)(3) | Felton site Affordable Housing Project | 971,153 | HSC 34176(a)(1) | 2/1/2012 | 612200 | 2/1/2012 |
| 70 | Grant\# | 92HP-WOO* |  | HSC 34176(e)(3) | VOA Affordable Housing Project | 275,000 | HSC 34176(a)(1) | 2/1/2012 | 612200 | 2/1/2012 |
| 71 | Grant \# | 95HP-MUR1* |  | HSC 34176(e)(3) | Jardines del Valle Affordable Housing Project | 300,783 | HSC 34176(a)(1) | 2/1/2012 | 612200 | 2/1/2012 |
| 72 | Grant \# | 96HP-MUR3* |  | HSC 34176(e)(3) | Jardines del Valle Affordable Housing Project | 175,000 | HSC 34176(a)(1) | 2/1/2012 | 612200 | 2/1/2012 |
| 73 | Grant \# | 98HP-ATL2* |  | HSC 34176(e)(3) | Above the Line Affordable Housing Project | 651,927 | HSC 34176(a)(1) | 2/1/2012 | 612200 | 2/1/2012 |
| 74 | Grant \# | 99HP-MCl3* |  | HSC 34176(e)(3) | Osocales MHP Affordable Housing Project | 406,732 | HSC 34176(a)(1) | 2/1/2012 | 612200 | 2/1/2012 |
| 75 | Grant \# | 99HP-VIS5* |  | HSC 34176(e)(3) | Vista Verde Affordable Housing Project | 1,065,000 | HSC 34176(a)(1) | 211/2012 | 612200 | 2/1/2012 |
| 76 | Grant \# | 00HP-SAN2* |  | HSC 34176(e)(3) | San Andreas Affordable Housing Project | 694,596 | HSC 34176(a)(1) | 2/1/2012 | 612200 | 2/1/2012 |
| 77 | Grant \# | 03HP-PAJ6* |  | HSC 34176(e)(3) | Corralitos Creek Affordable Housing Project | 1,325,000 | HSC 34176(a)(1) | 2/1/2012 | 612200 | 2/1/2012 |
| 78 | Grant \# | 02HP-MON1* |  | HSC 34176(e)(3) | Monarch Affordable Housing Project | 76,534 | HSC 34176(a)(1) | 2/1/2012 | 612200 | 2/1/2012 |
| 79 | Grant \# | 02HP-MAR1* |  | HSC 34176(e)(3) | Marmo's Affordable Housing Project | 1,500,000 | HSC 34176(a)(1) | 2/1/2012 | 612200 | 2/1/2012 |
| 80 | Grant \# | 05HP-MCG3* |  | HSC 34176(e)(3) | Seacliff Highlands Affordable Housing Project | 1,611,450 | HSC 34176(a)(1) | 2/1/2012 | 612200 | 2/1/2012 |
| 81 | Grant \# | 05HP-LAG* |  | HSC 34176(e)(3) | Lagoon Beach Affordable Housing Project | 92,000 | HSC 34176(a)(1) | 2/1/2012 | 612200 | 2/1/2012 |
| 82 | Grant \# | 05HP-MC16* |  | HSC 34176(e)(3) | Osocales MHP Affordable Housing Project | 50,000 | HSC 34176(a)(1) | 2/1/2012 | 612200 | 2/1/2012 |
| 83 | Grant \# | 05HP-MAR4* |  | HSC 34176(e)(3) | Marmo's Affordable Housing Project | 500,000 | HSC 34176(a)(1) | 2/1/2012 | 612200 | 2/1/2012 |

6
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|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{N}{n} \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{N}{N}$ $\stackrel{N}{N}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\stackrel{N}{N}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N్N } \\ & \stackrel{y}{n} \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\text { N }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E $\frac{2}{0}$ 5 5 4 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N} \\ & \stackrel{\omega}{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 0 . \\ \stackrel{N}{6} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{\circ}{N} \\ & \stackrel{N}{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \stackrel{0}{6} \\ \hline 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\hat{N}} \\ & \stackrel{y}{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ <br> $\stackrel{N}{0}$ |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{N}{n} \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{N}{0} \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $N$ $\stackrel{N}{N}$ $~ N$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{N}{N} \\ \stackrel{N}{N} \\ \stackrel{n}{N} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{N}{n} \\ \stackrel{y}{N} \\ \bar{N} \end{gathered}$ | $N$ <br> $\stackrel{N}{N}$ | ह1 |
|  | 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\underline{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \\ & \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{l\|} \hline \stackrel{0}{n} \\ \stackrel{0}{\square} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{N}{N} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{l\|} \hline 0 \\ 8 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{0}{6} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim} \\ & \underset{N}{2} \end{aligned}$ | 영 |
| $\underline{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 $\frac{5}{4}$ $\frac{2}{4}$ 8 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 9 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{5} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 1 \end{aligned}$ |  | HSC 34176(e)(3) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ¢ 0 $N$ $N$ $N$ | . |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | あ | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{ }$ | $\infty$ | ¢ | \% | - 8 | 8 |
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|  | $$ | + | $\stackrel{*}{N}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{N}{N} \\ \stackrel{N}{N} \\ \stackrel{N}{N} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{*}{N} \\ \stackrel{N}{N} \\ \stackrel{N}{N} \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{*}{N}$ | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{N}{4}}{\bar{\omega}}$ | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N} \\ & \stackrel{N}{6} \end{aligned}$ | 우웅 | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \overline{0} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{7}}{\square}$ |
|  | ถ | $\stackrel{\pi}{5}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{8}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{8}$ | ® | ¢ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ¢ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{6} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{6} \\ & \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{6} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |
|  | \|r |  |  |  |  | \% |  |
|  | $\square \overline{\text { a }}$ | N/ | \% | \% | $\bigcirc$ | 8 | ふ |


| Item \# | Type of Asset | Further Asser Type | Asset Type per HSC | Description | Carring Value of Asset | Per enforceable obiligation or legal requirement | Date of Transfer from rot to Successor Agency | (\%) Funding Fource source | Date of transfer from Successor Agency to County of Santa Cruz |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 98 | Appliance | Associated with Item \#91 |  | Range/oven at 2340 Harper St, Santa Cruz | included in unit purchase | See Item \#91 | n/a | 611121 | 211/2012* |
| 99 | Rent | Associated with Item \#92 |  | Rent for 522 Capitola Rd Extension, Santa Cruz | \$542 | See liem \#92 | n/a | 612200 | 2/1/2012* |
| 100 | Rent | Associated with Item \#90 |  | Rent for 1240 Rodriguez Street, Santa Cruz | \$2,200 | See liem \#90 | n/a | 136110 | 2/1/2012* |
| 101 | Rent | Associated with Item \#91 |  | Rent for 2340 Harper Street, Santa Cruz | \$1,050 | See ltem \#91 | n/a | 611121 | 2/1/2012* |
| 102 | Cash | Fund 29 |  | Community Action Board of <br> Santa Cruz Contract <br> CT12635 | \$300,000 | Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11 and the Independent Contractor Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and Community Action Board, Inc. dated 6/20/11. HSC 34176(a)(1). Confirmed by Oversight Board 6/27/12 per Resolution No. 5-20120B. | n/a | 612200 | 2/1/2012* |
| 103 | Cash | Fund 29 |  | Rutan \& Tucker LLP Contract CT13369 | \$95,000 | Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11 and the Independent Contractor Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and Rutan and Tucker, LLP dated 7/1/11. HSC 34176(a)(1). Confirmed by Oversight Board 6/27/12 per Resolution No. 5-2012OB. | n/a | 612200 | 2/1/2012* |
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|  | * $\stackrel{N}{N}$ $\stackrel{N}{N}$ | $\stackrel{*}{\sim}$ | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{8}{N} \\ & \stackrel{N}{6} \end{aligned}$ | - |  |
|  | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{\square}{8}$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | - | - | 8 <br> 8 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 |
| $\underline{4}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N <br> 2 <br> 2 <br> 1 | N <br> N <br> ¹-1 <br> L |
|  | 钅 | ¢ | 宕 |
|  | ¢ | $\stackrel{8}{\square}$ | $\bigcirc$ |
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|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{*}{N} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N} \\ & \stackrel{i}{\mathrm{~N}} \end{aligned}$ | + | $\stackrel{*}{\sim}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ \stackrel{N}{0} \end{gathered}$ | \% <br> N0 <br> 6 | - |
|  | $\frac{18}{6}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\text { ® }}$ | E |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | 8 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br>  | 8 0 0 0 0 | N |
| - |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | ¢ | N <br> $\sim$ <br> 0 <br> 1 <br> 1 | N p ¢ H/ |
|  |  | 镸 | ¢ |
|  | 안 | 윤 | 웅 |


| ftem \# | Type of Asset | Further Asset Type | Asset Type per HSC | Description | Carrying Value of Assel | Per enforceable obligation or legal requirement | Date of Transfer from RDA to Successor Agency | Funding source | Date of transfer from Successor Agoncy to County of Santa Cruz |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 110 | Cash | Fund 29 |  | Housing Authority of County of Santa Cruz Contract CT13907 | \$4,985,580 | Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11 and the Independent Contractor Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and Housing Authority of the County of Santa Cruz dated 6/22/11. HSC 34176(a)(1). Confirmed by Oversight Board 6/27/12 per Resolution No. 5-2012OB. | n/a | 612200 | 2/1/2012* |
| 111 | Cash | Fund 29 |  | Aptos Blue Affordable Housing Agreement | \$5,532,802 | Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11 and the Aptos Blue AHA between the County of Santa Cruz and Mid-Peninsula the Farm, Inc. dated 6/22/11. HSC 34176(a)(1). <br> Confirmed by Oversight Board 6/27/12 per Resolution No. 5-2012OB. | n/a | 612200 | 2/1/2012* |
| 112 | Cash | Fund 29 |  | Atkinson Affordable Housing Project | \$3,692,640 | Amended and Restated Cooperation Agreement between the County of Santa Cruz and the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Cruz dated 2/15/11 and the Atkinson Lane AHA between the County of Santa Cruz and Mid-Peninsula the Farm, Inc. dated 6/22/11. HSC 34176(a)(1). Confirmed by Oversight Board 6/27/12 per Resolution No. 5-2012OB. | n/a | 612200 | 211/2012* |
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(4)


## Notes: Total $\quad 6$

1) The carrying value of the asset is the purchase price paid by the RDA (with depreciation, if applicable) and may not represent the current fair
2) All Grants are Conditional Grants, and may become loans due if conditions are not met.
3) Current outstanding loan balance is principal due only.
4) Items with an asterix after loan/grant number also have affordability restrictions on the property with which the loan/grant is associated.
5) liems with an asterix after the Date of Transfer were transferred from RDA to the County prior to $2 / 1 / 12$, and the transfers confirmed by the Oversight Board on $6 / 27 / 12$,
effective as of $2 / 1112$.

Exhibit 4-01: Summary of the Financial Transactions of the Redevelopment Agency (Disolved Agency) and the Santa Cruz County Successor Agency for fiscal periods 2010-2012

Exhibit 5-01: Listing of all assets of All Other Funds Combined (Excluding LMIHF)
of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency as of June 30,2012

| Item \# | Type of Asset | Further Asset Type | Description | Carrying Value of Asset | Funding source | Check Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Cash | Fund Debt Servic | Cash restricted for bond debt service with Trustee: Bank of New York Mellon | \$12,956,267 | BNY | \$12,956,267 |
| 2 | Cash | Fund 32-121 | Cash in County Treasury | 1,552,068 | 136110 |  |
| 3 | Cash | Fund 32-123 | Cash in County Treasury | 8,374,393 | 136130 |  |
| 4 | Cash | Fund 27-010 | Cash in County Treasury | 157,105 | 610110 |  |
| 5 | Cash | Fund 27-015 | Cash in County Treasury | 524 | 610115 |  |
| 6 | Cash | Fund 28 | Cash in County Treasury | 909,446 | 611100 |  |
| 7 | Cash | Fund 29 | Cash in County Treasury | 384,003 | 612200 | 11,377,539 |
| 8 | Due from other Funds | Fund 27-010 | Cash Due from other Funds (from Fund 32-121) | 7,762 | 610110 | 7,762 |
| 9 | Office Equipment | Fund 27-010 | Miscellaneous office equipment | 5,698 | 610110 | 5,698 |
| 10 | Building structuresoffices | Fund 27-010 | Asset \#200082199A Building structures-offices | 9,389 | 610110 | 9,389 |
|  |  |  | Total | \$24,356,655 |  | \$24,356,655 |

Exhlbit 6A-01: Listing of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Asset Balances Held on June 30, 2012 that are Restricted for the Purpose of Unspent Bond Proceeds.

| Hem \# | TyReot Amset | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Furthers } \\ & \text { Asspt type } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Descrinten | STHyMy valle of Avist | Finctits seurce | Festitered smendes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Cash | Fund Debt Service | Cash restricted for bond debt service held by trustee: Bank of New York Mellon | \$12,956,267 | Fund Debt Service | \$12,956,267 | Section 3.02 of: Indenture of Trust, dated $8 / 1 / 2000$, with BNY Western Trust Company (2000Ref); Indenture of Trust, dated 10/1/2000, with BNY Western Trust Company (2000A); Indenture of Trust, dated 8/1/2003, with BNY Western Trust Company (2003Ref); Indenture of Trust, dated 10/1/2005, with The Bank of New York Trust Company (2005A); Indenture of Trust, dated 10/1/2005, with The Bank of New York Trust Company (2005B); Indenture of Trust, dated 4/1/2007, with The Bank of New York Trust Company (2007Reff; Indenture of Trust, dated 10/1/2007, with The Bank of New York Trust Company (2007A Ref); Indenture of Trust, dated 1/1/2009, with The Bank of New York Meilon Trust Company (2009A); Indenture of Trust, dated 6/1/2010, with The Bank of New York Trust Company (2010); Indenture of Trust, dated 2/1/2011, with the Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company (2011A); Indenture of Trust, dated 2/1/2011, with The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company (2011B) |
| 2 | Cash | Fund 28 | Cash in County <br> Treasury-Capital <br> Project Bond <br> Proceeds | 909,446 | 611100 | 909,446 | Indenture of Trust, dated 10/1/2005, with The Bank of New York Trust Company (2005A); Indenture of Trust, dated 1/1/2009, with The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company (2009A); Indenture of Trust, dated $2 / 1 / 2011$, with the Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company (2011A) |
| 3 | Cash | Fund 29 | Cash in County TreasuryHousing Bond Proceeds | 384,003 | 612200 | 384,003 | Indenture of Trust, dated 10/1/2000, with BNY Western Trust Company (20008); Indenture of Trust, dated 10/1/2005, with The Bank of New York Trust Company (2005B); Indenture of Trust, dated 6/1/2010, with The Bank of New York Trust Company (2010); indenture of Trust, dated 211/2011, with The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company (2011B) |
| 4 | Cash | To be returned from County to Fund 28 | Cash in County <br> Treasury-Capital <br> Project Bond <br> Proceeds | 7,250,850 | 611100 | 7,250,850 | Indenture of Trust, dated 10/1/2005, with The Bank of New York Trust Company (2005A); Indenture of Trust, dated 1/1/2009, with The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company (2009A); Indenture of Trust, dated 2/1/2011, with the Bank of New York Melion Trust Company (2011A) |
| 5 | Cash | To be returned from County to Fund 29 | Cash in County Treasury- Housing Bond Proceeds | 32,121 | 612200 | 32,121 | Indenture of Trust, dated 10/1/2000, with BNY Westem Trust Company (2000B); Indenture of Trust, dated 10/1/2005, with The Bank of New York Trust Company (2005B); Indenture of Trust, dated 6/1/2010, with The Bank of New York Trust Company (2010); Indenture of Trust, dated 211/2011, with The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company (2011B) |
|  |  | Totais |  | \$21,532,687 | - | \$21,532,687 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\$ 16,732}{\$ 21,549,419}$ | to Tab Proc 10 |

Note: Fund 28 is composed of bond funds for capital projects; Fund 29 is composed of bond funds for Low and Moderate Income housing projects and programs. Both funds are Successor Agency Funds.
Exhibit 6C-01: Listing of the of Santa Gruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Asset Balances
Held on June 30, 2012 that are Restricted for the Purpose of Other Assets Considered to be Legally Restrict

| Item \# | Type of Asset | Further Assot Type | Description | Carrying <br> Value of Asset | Funding source | Legally Rostricted Amounts | Restriction | Unrestricted Balance | Time Period | Check Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Cash | Fund 27-010 | Cash in County | \$157,105 | 610110 | \$4,551 | Vouchers Payable | \$152,554 | Until related assets are expended for their intended purpose | \$ - |
| 2 | Cash | Fund 27-010 | Cash in County Treasury | 157,105 | 610110 | 2,655 | Salaries and Benefits Payable | 154,450 | Until related assets are expended for their intended purpose | \$0 |
| 3 | Cash | Fund 27-010 | Cash in County Treasury | 157,105 | 610110 | 1,243 | Stale-dated Warrants | 155,861 | Until related assets are expended for their intended purpose or stale-dated warrant liability is removed | \$148,656 |
| 4 | Cash | Fund 27-015 | Cash in County Treasury | 524 | 610115 | 521 | Stale-dated Warrants |  | Until related assets are expended for their intended purpose or stale-dated warrant liability is removed | \$3 |
| 5 | Cash | Fund 32-121 | Cash in County Treasury | 1,552,068 | 136110 | 7,762 | Due to other funds | 1,544,306 | Until related assets are expended for their intended purpose or stale-dated warrant liability is removed | \$1,544,306 |
|  |  | Total |  | \$2,023,906 |  | \$16,732 | To Exhibit 6A-01 |  |  |  |

Exhibit 7-01 Listing of Assets of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency as of June 30,2012 that are Not Liquid or Otherwise Available for Distribution

| Item \# | Type of Asset | Further Asset Type | Description | Carrying Value of Asset 6/30/12 | Funding source | Original purchase cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Office Equipment | Fund 27-010 | Miscellaneous office equipment | \$5,698 | 610110 | \$76,941 |
| 2 | Building structures- | Fund 27-010 | Asset \#200082199A <br> $\begin{array}{c}\text { Building structures- } \\ \text { offices }\end{array}$ | 9,389 | 610110 | 35,204 |
|  |  |  | Total | \$15,087 |  |  |


| Men: | Encreathir oxflgation Type | Anount of Enf. Obllg. | Desoription | Reps reference | Ftuning seurce | BrT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 9/1/12 debt service payment | \$852,586 | Reserved for 2000 Refunding Tax Allocation Bond 9/1/12 debt service payment | ROPS I, Page 1, Item 16 | 136110 | Indenture of Trust, dated 8/1/2000, with BNY Western Trust Company, Section 4.02 |
| 2 | 9/1/12 debt service payment | 260,969 | Reserved for 2000 Series A Tax Allocation Bond 9/1/12 debt service payment | ROPS I, Page 1, Item 17 | 136110 | Indenture of Trust, dated 10/1/2000, with BNY Western Trust Company, Section 4.02 |
| 3 | Fiscal agent fees | 15,882 | Annual bond account administration fees | ROPS I, Page 1, Item 24 | 136110 | Indenture of Trust, dated 8/1/2000, with BNY Western Trust Company (2000Ref); Indenture of Trust, dated 10/1/2000, with BNY Western Trust Company (2000A); Indenture of Trust, dated 8/1/2003, with BNY Western Trust Company (2003Ref); Indenture of Trust, dated 10/1/2005, with The Bank of New York Trust Company (2005A); Indenture of Trust, dated 10/1/2005, with The Bank of New York Trust Company (2005B); Indenture of Trust, dated 4/1/2007, with The Bank of New York Trust Company (2007Ref); Indenture of Trust, dated 10/1/2007, with The Bank of New York Trust Company (2007A Ref); Indenture of Trust, dated 1/1/2009, with The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company (2009A); Indenture of Trust, dated 6/1/2010, with The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company (2010); Indenture of Trust, dated 2/1/2011, with the Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company (2011A); Indenture of Trust, dated 2/1/2011, with The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company (2011B) |
| 4 | Contract for professional services | 2,868 | Rutan and Tucker legal services contract (part of Admin. Cost Allowance) | ROPS I, Page 2, Item 29 | 610110 | Independent Contractor Agreement dated $7 / 1 / 05$, amended $6 / 23 / 11$ and request for approval 6/27/11. |
|  | Total | \$1,132,305 |  |  |  |  |

6
 Proved Aratese






$\bullet$
Exhibit 10-01: Summary of the County of Santa Cruz Successor Agency Balances Available for Allocation to Affected Taxing Entities

|  | Non-LMIH Funds | Debt Service | 27-010 | 27.015 | 32-121 | 32-123 | 28 | $\underline{29}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SUMMARY OF BALANCES AVAILABLE FOR ALLOCATION TO AFFECTED TAXING ENTITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total amount of assets held by the successor agency as of June 30, 2012 (procedure 5, (Exhibit 5-01) | \$24,356,655 | \$12,956,267 | \$179,954 | \$524 | \$1,552,068 | \$8,374,393 | \$909,446 | \$384,003 |
| Add the amount of any assets transferred to the city or other parties for which an enforceable obligation with a third party requiring such transfer and obligating the use of the transferred assets did not exist (procedures 2 and 3 ) <br> (Exhibit 2A-01) | 7,341,097 |  |  | 33,564 | 24,562 |  | 7,250,850 | 32,121 |
| Less assets legally restricted for uses specified by debt covenants, grant restrictions, or restrictions imposed by other governments (procedure 6) (Exhihits $6 \mathrm{~A}-01$ and $6 \mathrm{C}-01$ ) | (21,549,419) | (12.956.267) | (8,449) | (521) | (7,762) |  | (8,160,296) | (416,124) |
| Less assets that are not cash or cash equivalents (e.g., physical assets) - (procedure 7)(Exhibit 7-01) | (15,087) |  | (15,087) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less balances that are legally restricted for the funding of an enforceable obligation (net of projected annual revenues available to fund those obligations) - (procedure 8)(Exhibit 8-01) | (1,132,305) |  | (2.868) |  | (1,129,437) |  |  |  |
| Less balances needed to satisfy ROPS for the 2012-13 fiscal year (procedure 9)(Exhibit 9-01) | $(8,388,157)$ |  |  |  | (16,277) | (8,371,878) |  |  |
| Less the amount of payments made on July 12, 2012 to the County Auditor-Controller as directed by the California Department of Finance | $(133,387)$ |  | (133.385) | (3) |  |  |  |  |
| Amount to be remitted to county for disbursement to taxing entities | \$479,399 | \$0 | \$20,165 | \$33,564 | \$423,154 | \$2,515 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Note that separate computations are required for the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund held by the Successor Agency and for all other funds held by the Successor Agency. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTES: For each line shown above, an exhibit should be attached showing the composition of the summarized amount. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## County of Santa Cruz

## SANTA CRUZ COUNTY REDEVELOPMENT SUCCESSOR AGENCY

701 OCEAN STREET, ROOM 510, SANTA CRUZ, CA 95060-4073

(831) 454-2280 FAX: (831) 454-3420 TDD: (831) 454-2123

December 12, 2012
Agenda: December 18, 2012
Oversight Board
Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency
701 Ocean Street
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

## APPROVAL OF AN ADDITIONAL 2013 OVERSIGHT BOARD MEETING

Dear Members of the Board:
At this time, it is necessary to schedule a meeting on February 5, 2013, for the approval of the next six month administrative budget and the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the period, June 1, 2013 - December 31, 2013 (ROPS IV). All meetings are proposed to be held in the Board Chambers on the $5^{\text {th }}$ floor of the County Government Center at 701 Ocean Street, Santa Cruz.

It is therefore RECOMMENDED that your Board Adopt the attached resolution approving a revised meeting schedule for 2013.


## RECOMMENDED:

Sun a Murillo KN
Susan A. Mauriello
County Administrative Officer
Attachment: Resolution
cc: CAO, Auditor-Controller, County Counsel, Successor Agency, DOF, Planning

# BEFORE THE SANTA CRUZ COUNTY <br> REDEVELOPMENT SUCCESSOR AGENCY OVERSIGHT BOARD <br> RESOLUTION NO. <br> $\qquad$ 

On the motion of $\qquad$ duly seconded by $\qquad$ the following resolution is adopted:

## RESOLUTION ADOPTING A REVISED MEETING SCHEDULE FOR 2013

WHEREAS, the Oversight Board of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency ("Oversight Board") has been established to direct the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency ("Successor Agency") to take certain actions to wind down the affairs of the former Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Agency ("Agency") in accordance with the requirements of Assembly Bill 26 ("ABx1 26"), also known as chapter 5, Statutes 2011, First Extraordinary Session, which added Part 1.8 and Part 1.85 of Division 24 of the California Health and Safety Code, and Assembly Bill 1484, also known as chapter 26, Statutes of 2012, which made certain revisions to the statutes added by $A B \times 1$ 26; and

WHEREAS, Health and Safety Code Section 34179 (e) requires that all actions taken by the Oversight Board shall be adopted by resolution; and

WHEREAS, it is necessary to schedule additional meetings of the Oversight Board to meet deadlines established in $A B 1484$.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED AND ORDERED by the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Oversight Board as follows:

SECTION 1. The above Recitals are true and correct.
SECTION 2. The Oversight Board shall meet on the dates listed below. All meetings shall begin at 9 am and shall be conducted in the Board Chambers on the $5^{\text {th }}$ Floor of the County Government Center at 701 Ocean Street, Santa Cruz.

January 10, 2013
February 5, 2013
PASSED, APPROVED and ADOPTED by the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Oversight Board, this __ th day of ___, 2012 by the following vote:

AYES:
NOES:
ABSENT:

Chairperson of the Oversight Board of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency

## ATTEST:

$\overline{\text { Clerk of the Oversight Board }}$

Approved as to form:
DiNTMar
County Counsel

Distribution:
County Counsel
Successor Agency
AD
State Department of Finance
Auditor-Controller


[^0]:    Chairperson of the Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Successor Agency Oversight Board

