



# County of Santa Cruz

## COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

701 OCEAN STREET, SUITE 520, SANTA CRUZ, CA 95060-4073

(831) 454-2100 FAX: (831) 454-3420 TDD: (831) 454-2123

SUSAN MAURIELLO, J.D., COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

September 16, 2011

Agenda: September 20, 2011

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
County of Santa Cruz  
701 Ocean Street  
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

### **Redistricting of Supervisorial Boundaries – Ordinance Establishing 2011 Boundaries**

Dear Members of the Board:

On September 13, 2011 your Board conducted a public hearing to consider various redistricting plans and proposals, approved September 16, 2011 at 5:00 p.m. as the date and time for which redistricting plans and proposals must be received for consideration by your Board, set September 20<sup>th</sup> and October 4<sup>th</sup> as the dates for public hearings to adopt an ordinance to establish the County's Supervisorial District boundaries, and continued the public hearing on redistricting to today.

### **Plans and Proposals**

As your Board will recall, a number of redistricting plans and proposals have been considered by the County's Redistricting Task Force in a series of meetings that began in late April. The Task Force met most recently on September 14<sup>th</sup> to consider the following:

- A county-wide plan and maps that was developed and recommended by the Redistricting Task Force for your Board's consideration at a Public Hearing on August 23<sup>rd</sup>,
- A county-wide plan and maps that was developed and recommended by the Task Force's representative for the Third District for your Board's consideration that proposed an alternative boundary between the Third and Fifth Districts at the University of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC) campus,
- A map submitted by Daniel Dodge that addressed boundaries for the Second and Fourth District,
- Two alternatives plans forwarded to your Board by the City of Scotts Valley that proposed alternative boundaries between the First and Fifth Districts for the Scotts Valley city limits and nearby areas, and
- A request from Capitola Mayor Dennis Norton to consider an alternative boundary in the Jewel Box area of Capitola.

A copy of the staff report from September 13<sup>th</sup> with details on all of the plans submitted, with an addendum that provides details on the proposal from Mayor Norton is attached as Exhibit A.

After a review of all of the alternatives, and in consideration of the statutes that govern redistricting, the Task Force by consensus requested staff to prepare an ordinance for today's Public Hearing that would establish the boundaries of the County's Supervisorial Districts consistent with the county-wide plan recommended for your Board's consideration on August 23<sup>rd</sup>, and titled Plan 1. The ordinance to establish these boundaries and maps illustrating the plan are included as Attachment 1.

While the Third District representative supports this county-wide plan, the preference of this representative remains the County-wide plan that is titled Plan 2, with the alternative boundary between the Third and Fifth Districts in the UCSC campus area.

### **Summary and Recommendation**

The redistricting process has been an inclusive and public one. As directed by your Board, public meetings were convened on June 14<sup>th</sup> in Santa Cruz and June 20<sup>th</sup> in Watsonville. The County's website included a Redistricting section, with easy-to-use tools for the public to describe and map their communities of interest. Two kiosks with redistricting software were made available for public use at the County Clerk and Watsonville City Clerk's offices, and Geographic Information Services (GIS) staff has been available to assist the public to develop plans and maps.

You will recall that the Elections Code prescribes that the Board shall hold at least one public hearing on any proposal to adjust the boundaries of a district, prior to a public hearing at which the Board of Supervisors votes to approve or defeat the proposal (Section 21500.1). All of the plans and proposals that have been submitted have been considered by your Board in public hearings that were held on August 23<sup>rd</sup> and September 13<sup>th</sup>. As of this writing, no other plans have been received by the County. Your Board may, therefore, adopt the ordinance that is before you today. By Board direction, the second reading of this ordinance is scheduled for October 4, 2011, and the effective date will be on the 31<sup>st</sup> day after that reading.

At this time, staff recommends that your Board approve in concept the ordinance amending Chapter 2.04 of the Santa Cruz County Code, establishing the Supervisorial District boundaries for 2011.

It is therefore RECOMMENDED that your Board take the following actions:

1. Accept and file this report on the Redistricting of Supervisorial boundaries;
2. Open the public hearing and take testimony from the public; and
3. Approve in concept the ordinance before you amending Chapter 2.04 of the Santa Cruz County Code, establishing the 2011 Supervisorial District boundaries and direct that the ordinance be placed on the October 4, 2011 agenda for final action.

Very truly yours,

  
SUSAN A. MAURIELLO  
County Administrative Officer

SAM/sp: h/redistricting/public hearing 9 20 11/cover letter

Attachments: Attachment 1: Ordinance and Supervisorial District Boundary 2011 Maps  
Exhibit A: Staff Report from September 13, 2011

Copy to: Redistricting Task Force  
Each City Clerk  
County Clerk  
Assessor-Recorder  
Information Services Department  
GIS Manager  
County Administrative Officer

# ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT BOUNDARIES 2011

---

Ordinance  
Maps by Supervisorial District  
Data



**ORDINANCE NO. \_\_\_\_\_**

**AN ORDINANCE REPEALING AND THEN ENACTING CHAPTER 2.04  
OF THE SANTA CRUZ COUNTY CODE RELATING TO ESTABLISHING  
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT BOUNDARIES**

The Board of Supervisors of the County of Santa Cruz ordains as follows:

**SECTION I**

Chapter 2.04 of the Santa Cruz County Code is hereby repealed.

**SECTION II**

New Chapter 2.04 is hereby added to the Santa Cruz County Code to read as follows:

**Chapter 2.04  
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS**

Sections:

- 2.04.010 Supervisorial district boundaries.
- 2.04.020 First Supervisorial District.
- 2.04.030 Second Supervisorial District.
- 2.04.040 Third Supervisorial District.
- 2.04.050 Fourth Supervisorial District.
- 2.04.060 Fifth Supervisorial District.

2.04.010 Supervisorial district boundaries.

The boundaries of Supervisorial Districts One through Five are established as shown on the map set entitled "County of Santa Cruz Supervisorial District Boundaries 2011," as adopted September 20, 2011, on file with the clerk of the board of supervisors. In the event of any conflict between the descriptions that follow and the County of Santa Cruz Supervisorial District Boundaries 2011 map set, the County of Santa Cruz Supervisorial District Boundaries 2011 map set controls.

2.04.020 First Supervisorial District.

The First Supervisorial District includes all that portion of the county of Santa Cruz hereinafter described: 1. Beginning at the point of intersection of Santa Cruz Hwy and the Pasatiempo census designated place line, and proceeding northerly along Santa Cruz Hwy to State Hwy 17, and proceeding northerly along State Hwy 17 to Santa Cruz Hwy, and proceeding northerly along Santa Cruz Hwy to the Scotts Valley city line, and proceeding northerly along the Scotts Valley city line to Santa Cruz Hwy, and proceeding easterly along Santa Cruz Hwy to State Hwy 17, and proceeding northerly along State Hwy 17 to Santa Cruz Hwy, and

proceeding northerly along Santa Cruz Hwy to unnamed Local road, and proceeding westerly along unnamed Local road to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding easterly along nonvisible boundary to Santa Cruz Hwy, and proceeding northerly along Santa Cruz Hwy to the Santa Cruz county line, and proceeding easterly along the Santa Cruz county line to Summit Rd, and proceeding easterly along Summit Rd to the Santa Cruz county line, and proceeding easterly along the Santa Cruz county line to Ormsby Cutoff, and proceeding westerly along Ormsby Cutoff to Buzzard Lagoon Rd, and proceeding westerly along Buzzard Lagoon Rd to the Day Valley census designated place line, and proceeding northerly along the Day Valley census designated place line to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding westerly along nonvisible boundary to ridge line, and proceeding southerly along ridge line to West Branch Bates Crk, and proceeding westerly along West Branch Bates Crk to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding southerly along nonvisible boundary to unnamed Local road, and proceeding southerly along unnamed Local road to West Branch Bates Crk, and proceeding westerly along West Branch Bates Crk to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding easterly along nonvisible boundary to the Soquel census designated place line, and proceeding southerly along the Soquel census designated place line to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding southerly along nonvisible boundary to Driveway, and proceeding westerly along Driveway to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding southerly along nonvisible boundary to Park Ave, and proceeding southerly along Park Ave to Viking Ct, and proceeding easterly along Viking Ct to unnamed Local road, and proceeding southerly along unnamed Local road to Park Ave, and proceeding southerly along Park Ave to Soquel Dr, and proceeding easterly along Soquel Dr to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding southerly along nonvisible boundary to Cabrillo College Dr, and proceeding northerly along Cabrillo College Dr to Park Ave, and proceeding southerly along Park Ave to the Capitola/Soquel city/census designated place line, and proceeding westerly along the Capitola/Soquel city/census designated place line to Orchard St, and proceeding northerly along Orchard St to State Hwy 1, and proceeding westerly along State Hwy 1 to the Capitola/Soquel city/census designated place line, and proceeding westerly along the Capitola/Soquel city/census designated place line to Soquel Crk, and proceeding southerly along Soquel Crk to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding westerly along nonvisible boundary to Capitola Rd, and proceeding westerly along Capitola Rd to 45th Ave, and proceeding southerly along 45th Ave to Jade St, and proceeding westerly along Jade St to 41st Ave, and proceeding southerly along 41st Ave to Union Pacific RR, and proceeding easterly along Union Pacific RR to the Capitola/Pleasure Point city/census designated place line, and proceeding easterly along the Capitola/Pleasure Point city/census designated place line to shoreline, and proceeding westerly along shoreline to the Santa Cruz/Twin Lakes city/census designated place line, and proceeding northerly along the Santa Cruz/Twin Lakes city/census designated place line to E Cliff Dr, and proceeding easterly along E Cliff Dr to 7th Ave, and proceeding northerly along 7th Ave to Brommer St, and proceeding westerly along Brommer St to the Santa Cruz/Live Oak city/census designated place line, and proceeding northerly along the Santa Cruz/Live Oak

city/census designated place line to State Hwy 1, and proceeding westerly along State Hwy 1 to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding westerly along nonvisible boundary to State Hwy 1, and proceeding westerly along State Hwy 1 to unnamed Ramp, and proceeding northerly along unnamed Ramp to State Hwy 1, and proceeding westerly along State Hwy 1 to Emeline Ave, and proceeding southerly along Emeline Ave to State Hwy 1, and proceeding westerly along State Hwy 1 to Santa Cruz Hwy, and proceeding northerly along Santa Cruz Hwy to the Pasatiempo census designated place line, and proceeding northerly along the Pasatiempo census designated place line to Santa Cruz Hwy, and proceeding northerly along Santa Cruz Hwy to the Pasatiempo census designated place line, and proceeding northerly along the Pasatiempo census designated place line to the point of beginning. 2. Except for beginning at the point of intersection of Bellflower Way and Pippin Way, and proceeding southerly along Bellflower Way to the Scotts Valley city line, and proceeding northerly along the Scotts Valley city line to Pippin Way, and proceeding westerly along Pippin Way to the point of beginning.

#### 2.04.030 Second Supervisorial District.

The Second Supervisorial District includes that portion of the county of Santa Cruz hereinafter described: Beginning at the point of intersection of 41st Ave and Union Pacific RR, and proceeding northerly along 41st Ave to Jade St, and proceeding easterly along Jade St to 45th Ave, and proceeding northerly along 45th Ave to Capitola Rd, and proceeding easterly along Capitola Rd to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding easterly along nonvisible boundary to Soquel Crk, and proceeding northerly along Soquel Crk to the Soquel/Capitola census designated place/city line, and proceeding easterly along the Soquel/Capitola census designated place/city line to State Hwy 1, and proceeding easterly along State Hwy 1 to Orchard St, and proceeding southerly along Orchard St to the Soquel/Capitola census designated place/city line, and proceeding easterly along the Soquel/Capitola census designated place/city line to Park Ave, and proceeding northerly along Park Ave to Cabrillo College Dr, and proceeding southerly along Cabrillo College Dr to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding northerly along nonvisible boundary to Soquel Dr, and proceeding westerly along Soquel Dr to Park Ave, and proceeding northerly along Park Ave to unnamed Local road, and proceeding northerly along unnamed Local road to Viking Ct, and proceeding westerly along Viking Ct to Park Ave, and proceeding northerly along Park Ave to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding northerly along nonvisible boundary to Driveway, and proceeding easterly along Driveway to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding northerly along nonvisible boundary to the Soquel census designated place line, and proceeding northerly along the Soquel census designated place line to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding northerly along nonvisible boundary to West Branch Bates Crk, and proceeding easterly along West Branch Bates Crk to unnamed Local road, and proceeding northerly along unnamed Local road to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding northerly along nonvisible boundary to West Branch Bates Crk, and proceeding northerly along West Branch Bates Crk to ridge



line, and proceeding northerly along ridge line to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding northerly along nonvisible boundary to the Day Valley census designated place line, and proceeding easterly along the Day Valley census designated place line to Buzzard Lagoon Rd, and proceeding northerly along Buzzard Lagoon Rd to Ormsby Cutoff, and proceeding easterly along Ormsby Cutoff to the Santa Cruz county line, and proceeding easterly along the Santa Cruz county line to Summit Rd, and proceeding easterly along Summit Rd to the Santa Cruz county line, and proceeding easterly along the Santa Cruz county line to Mount Madonna Rd, and proceeding southerly along Mount Madonna Rd to Hazel Dell Rd, and proceeding northerly along Hazel Dell Rd to the Corralitos census designated place line, and proceeding northerly along the Corralitos census designated place line to Old Hazel Dell Rd, and proceeding westerly along Old Hazel Dell Rd to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding northerly along nonvisible boundary to the Corralitos census designated place line, and proceeding northerly along the Corralitos census designated place line to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding southerly along nonvisible boundary to Apple Valley Ln, and proceeding southerly along Apple Valley Ln to the Corralitos census designated place line, and proceeding southerly along the Corralitos census designated place line to Green Valley Rd, and proceeding southerly along Green Valley Rd to the Corralitos/Amesti census designated place line, and proceeding easterly along the Corralitos/Amesti census designated place line to the Interlaken/Amesti census designated place line, and proceeding easterly along the Interlaken/Amesti census designated place line to the Interlaken/Watsonville census designated place/city line, and proceeding southerly along the Interlaken/Watsonville census designated place/city line to the Interlaken/Amesti census designated place line, and proceeding southerly along the Interlaken/Amesti census designated place line to the Watsonville/Amesti city/census designated place line, and proceeding southerly along the Watsonville/Amesti city/census designated place line to Green Valley Rd, and proceeding southerly along Green Valley Rd to S Green Valley Rd, and proceeding southerly along S Green Valley Rd to Harkins Slough Rd, and proceeding westerly along Harkins Slough Rd to State Hwy 1, and proceeding southerly along State Hwy 1 to the Watsonville city line, and proceeding easterly along the Watsonville city line to State Hwy 1, and proceeding southerly along State Hwy 1 to the Watsonville city line, and proceeding westerly along the Watsonville city line to State Hwy 1, and proceeding southerly along State Hwy 1 to W Riverside Dr, and proceeding westerly along W Riverside Dr to State Hwy 1, and proceeding southerly along State Hwy 1 to the Santa Cruz county line, and proceeding westerly along the Santa Cruz county line to the Pajaro Dunes census designated place line, and proceeding northerly along the Pajaro Dunes census designated place line to shoreline, and proceeding northerly along shoreline to the La Selva Beach census designated place line, and proceeding northerly along the La Selva Beach census designated place line to the Rio del Mar census designated place line, and proceeding westerly along the Rio del Mar census designated place line to shoreline, and proceeding northerly along shoreline to the Seacliff census designated place line, and proceeding westerly along the Seacliff census designated

place line to shoreline, and proceeding westerly along shoreline to the Seacliff census designated place line, and proceeding westerly along the Seacliff census designated place line to the Capitola city line, and proceeding westerly along the Capitola city line to shoreline, and proceeding westerly along shoreline to Stockton Ave, and proceeding westerly along Stockton Ave to shoreline, and proceeding southerly along shoreline to the Pleasure Point/Capitola census designated place/city line, and proceeding northerly along the Pleasure Point/Capitola census designated place/city line to Union Pacific RR, and proceeding westerly along Union Pacific RR to the point of beginning.

#### 2.04.040 Third Supervisorial District.

The Third Supervisorial District includes all that portion of the county of Santa Cruz hereinafter described: Beginning at the point of intersection of the Santa Cruz county line and nonvisible boundary, and proceeding easterly along the Santa Cruz county line to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding southerly along nonvisible boundary to China Grade, and proceeding southerly along China Grade to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding southerly along nonvisible boundary to China Grade, and proceeding southerly along China Grade to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding easterly along nonvisible boundary to Big Basin Hwy, and proceeding southerly along Big Basin Hwy to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding southerly along nonvisible boundary to Empire Grade, and proceeding easterly along Empire Grade to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding easterly along nonvisible boundary to the Boulder Creek census designated place line, and proceeding southerly along the Boulder Creek census designated place line to the Brookdale census designated place line, and proceeding southerly along the Brookdale census designated place line to the Brookdale/Ben Lomond census designated place line, and proceeding southerly along the Brookdale/Ben Lomond census designated place line to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding westerly along nonvisible boundary to the Ben Lomond census designated place line, and proceeding southerly along the Ben Lomond census designated place line to unnamed Local road, and proceeding easterly along unnamed Local road to Old Alba Grade, and proceeding westerly along Old Alba Grade to the Ben Lomond census designated place line, and proceeding southerly along the Ben Lomond census designated place line to the Bonny Doon census designated place line, and proceeding southerly along the Bonny Doon census designated place line to unnamed Local road, and proceeding westerly along unnamed Local road to Pine Flat Rd, and proceeding easterly along Pine Flat Rd to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding easterly along nonvisible boundary to the Bonny Doon census designated place line, and proceeding southerly along the Bonny Doon census designated place line to South Fall Crk, and proceeding westerly along South Fall Crk to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding northerly along nonvisible boundary to unnamed Local road, and proceeding northerly along unnamed Local road to Feather Ln, and proceeding westerly along Feather Ln to unnamed Local road, and proceeding southerly along unnamed Local road to Empire Grade, and proceeding southerly along Empire Grade to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding easterly

along nonvisible boundary to Felton Empire Rd, and proceeding easterly along Felton Empire Rd to the Bonny Doon census designated place line, and proceeding southerly along the Bonny Doon census designated place line to Furlong Dr, and proceeding southerly along Furlong Dr to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding easterly along nonvisible boundary to the Felton census designated place line, and proceeding easterly along the Felton census designated place line to Felton Empire Rd, and proceeding easterly along Felton Empire Rd to the Felton census designated place line, and proceeding easterly along the Felton census designated place line to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding westerly along nonvisible boundary to Shingle Mill Ln, and proceeding southerly along Shingle Mill Ln to Hillcrest Dr, and proceeding easterly along Hillcrest Dr to Pleasant Way, and proceeding westerly along Pleasant Way to unnamed Local road, and proceeding southerly along unnamed Local road to Redwood Dr, and proceeding westerly along Redwood Dr to Brookside Dr, and proceeding westerly along Brookside Dr to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding westerly along nonvisible boundary to Shingle Mill Crk, and proceeding westerly along Shingle Mill Crk to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding southerly along nonvisible boundary to unnamed Local road, and proceeding westerly along unnamed Local road to San Lorenzo Ave, and proceeding southerly along San Lorenzo Ave to the Felton/Bonny Doon census designated place line, and proceeding southerly along the Felton/Bonny Doon census designated place line to Sylvester Rd, and proceeding easterly along Sylvester Rd to unnamed Local road, and proceeding easterly along unnamed Local road to Altamont Dr, and proceeding easterly along Altamont Dr to unnamed Local road, and proceeding easterly along unnamed Local road to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding southerly along nonvisible boundary to the Felton census designated place line, and proceeding easterly along the Felton census designated place line to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding southerly along nonvisible boundary to the Santa Cruz city line, and proceeding southerly along the Santa Cruz city line to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding southerly along nonvisible boundary to McLaughlin Dr, and proceeding westerly along McLaughlin Dr to Hagar Dr, and proceeding southerly along Hagar Dr to Glenn Coolidge Dr, and proceeding westerly along Glenn Coolidge Dr to High St, and proceeding easterly along High St to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding northerly along nonvisible boundary to Highland Ave, and proceeding easterly along Highland Ave to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding southerly along nonvisible boundary to Storey St, and proceeding northerly along Storey St to High St, and proceeding easterly along High St to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding easterly along nonvisible boundary to Mission St, and proceeding southerly along Mission St to Water St, and proceeding easterly along Water St to Ocean St, and proceeding southerly along Ocean St to Branciforte Crk, and proceeding easterly along Branciforte Crk to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding southerly along nonvisible boundary to May Ave, and proceeding southerly along May Ave to Soquel Ave, and proceeding northerly along Soquel Ave to N Branciforte Ave, and proceeding northerly along N Branciforte Ave to Chilverton St, and proceeding easterly along Chilverton St to Stanford Ave, and proceeding southerly along Stanford Ave to Keystone Ave, and



proceeding easterly along Keystone Ave to Poplar Ave, and proceeding northerly along Poplar Ave to Chilverton St, and proceeding easterly along Chilverton St to Morrissey Blvd, and proceeding northerly along Morrissey Blvd to State Hwy 1, and proceeding easterly along State Hwy 1 to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding easterly along nonvisible boundary to State Hwy 1, and proceeding easterly along State Hwy 1 to the Live Oak/Santa Cruz census designated place/city line, and proceeding southerly along the Live Oak/Santa Cruz census designated place/city line to Brommer St, and proceeding easterly along Brommer St to 7th Ave, and proceeding southerly along 7th Ave to E Cliff Dr, and proceeding southerly along E Cliff Dr to the Twin Lakes/Santa Cruz census designated place/city line, and proceeding southerly along the Twin Lakes/Santa Cruz census designated place/city line to shoreline, and proceeding westerly along shoreline to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding westerly along nonvisible boundary to shoreline, and proceeding southerly along shoreline to the Santa Cruz city line, and proceeding westerly along the Santa Cruz city line to shoreline, and proceeding westerly along shoreline to the Davenport census designated place line, and proceeding westerly along the Davenport census designated place line to shoreline, and proceeding northerly along shoreline to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding northerly along nonvisible boundary to shoreline, and proceeding northerly along shoreline to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding northerly along nonvisible boundary to the point of beginning.

#### 2.04.050 Fourth Supervisorial District.

The Fourth Supervisorial District includes all that portion of the county of Santa Cruz hereinafter described: 1. Beginning at the point of intersection of Harkins Slough Rd and State Hwy 1, and proceeding easterly along Harkins Slough Rd to S Green Valley Rd, and proceeding northerly along S Green Valley Rd to Green Valley Rd, and proceeding northerly along Green Valley Rd to the Amesti/Watsonville census designated place/city line, and proceeding northerly along the Amesti/Watsonville census designated place/city line to the Amesti/Interlaken census designated place line, and proceeding northerly along the Amesti/Interlaken census designated place line to the Watsonville/Interlaken city/census designated place line, and proceeding northerly along the Watsonville/Interlaken city/census designated place line to the Amesti/Interlaken census designated place line, and proceeding northerly along the Amesti/Interlaken census designated place line to the Amesti/Corralitos census designated place line, and proceeding westerly along the Amesti/Corralitos census designated place line to Green Valley Rd, and proceeding northerly along Green Valley Rd to the Corralitos census designated place line, and proceeding northerly along the Corralitos census designated place line to Apple Valley Ln, and proceeding northerly along Apple Valley Ln to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding northerly along nonvisible boundary to the Corralitos census designated place line, and proceeding easterly along the Corralitos census designated place line to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding southerly along nonvisible boundary to Old Hazel Dell Rd, and proceeding easterly along Old Hazel Dell Rd to the Corralitos census

designated place line, and proceeding southerly along the Corralitos census designated place line to Hazel Dell Rd, and proceeding southerly along Hazel Dell Rd to Mount Madonna Rd, and proceeding easterly along Mount Madonna Rd to the Santa Cruz county line, and proceeding southerly along the Santa Cruz county line to State Hwy 1, and proceeding northerly along State Hwy 1 to W Riverside Dr, and proceeding easterly along W Riverside Dr to State Hwy 1, and proceeding northerly along State Hwy 1 to the Watsonville city line, and proceeding westerly along the Watsonville city line to State Hwy 1, and proceeding northerly along State Hwy 1 to the Watsonville city line, and proceeding northerly along the Watsonville city line to State Hwy 1, and proceeding northerly along State Hwy 1 to the point of beginning. 2. As well as beginning at the point of intersection of Mount Madonna Rd and the Santa Cruz county line, and proceeding northerly along Mount Madonna Rd to the Santa Cruz county line, and proceeding easterly along the Santa Cruz county line to the point of beginning.

#### 2.04.060 Fifth Supervisorial District.

The Fifth Supervisorial District includes all that portion of the county of Santa Cruz hereinafter described: 1. Beginning at the point of intersection of nonvisible boundary and Empire Grade, and proceeding northerly along nonvisible boundary to Big Basin Hwy, and proceeding northerly along Big Basin Hwy to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding northerly along nonvisible boundary to China Grade, and proceeding northerly along China Grade to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding northerly along nonvisible boundary to China Grade, and proceeding northerly along nonvisible boundary to the Santa Cruz county line, and proceeding easterly along the Santa Cruz county line to Skyline Blvd, and proceeding southerly along Skyline Blvd to unnamed Local road, and proceeding southerly along unnamed Local road to the Santa Cruz county line, and proceeding easterly along the Santa Cruz county line to Skyline Blvd, and proceeding easterly along Skyline Blvd to the Santa Cruz county line, and proceeding southerly along the Santa Cruz county line to Summit Rd, and proceeding southerly along Summit Rd to the Santa Cruz county line, and proceeding southerly along the Santa Cruz county line to Santa Cruz Hwy, and proceeding southerly along Santa Cruz Hwy to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding westerly along nonvisible boundary to unnamed Local road, and proceeding easterly along unnamed Local road to Santa Cruz Hwy, and proceeding southerly along Santa Cruz Hwy to State Hwy 17, and proceeding southerly along State Hwy 17 to Santa Cruz Hwy, and proceeding southerly along Santa Cruz Hwy to the Scotts Valley city line, and proceeding westerly along the Scotts Valley city line to Santa Cruz Hwy, and proceeding southerly along Santa Cruz Hwy to State Hwy 17, and proceeding southerly along State Hwy 17 to Santa Cruz Hwy, and proceeding southerly along Santa Cruz Hwy to the Pasatiempo census designated place line, and proceeding southerly along the Pasatiempo census designated place line to Santa Cruz Hwy, and proceeding southerly along Santa Cruz Hwy to the Pasatiempo census designated place line, and proceeding southerly along the Pasatiempo census designated place line to Santa Cruz Hwy,



and proceeding southerly along Santa Cruz Hwy to State Hwy 1, and proceeding easterly along State Hwy 1 to Emeline Ave, and proceeding northerly along Emeline Ave to State Hwy 1, and proceeding easterly along State Hwy 1 to unnamed Ramp, and proceeding southerly along unnamed Ramp to Morrissey Blvd, and proceeding southerly along Morrissey Blvd to Chilverton St, and proceeding westerly along Chilverton St to Poplar Ave, and proceeding southerly along Poplar Ave to Keystone Ave, and proceeding westerly along Keystone Ave to Stanford Ave, and proceeding northerly along Stanford Ave to Chilverton St, and proceeding westerly along Chilverton St to N Branciforte Ave, and proceeding southerly along N Branciforte Ave to Soquel Ave, and proceeding westerly along Soquel Ave to May Ave, and proceeding northerly along May Ave to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding northerly along nonvisible boundary to Branciforte Crk, and proceeding westerly along Branciforte Crk to Ocean St, and proceeding northerly along Ocean St to Water St, and proceeding westerly along Water St to Mission St, and proceeding westerly along Mission St to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding westerly along nonvisible boundary to High St, and proceeding westerly along High St to Storey St, and proceeding southerly along Storey St to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding westerly along nonvisible boundary to Highland Ave, and proceeding westerly along Highland Ave to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding northerly along nonvisible boundary to High St, and proceeding westerly along High St to Glenn Coolidge Dr, and proceeding northerly along Glenn Coolidge Dr to Hagar Dr, and proceeding northerly along Hagar Dr to McLaughlin Dr, and proceeding easterly along McLaughlin Dr to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding northerly along nonvisible boundary to the Santa Cruz city line, and proceeding northerly along the Santa Cruz city line to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding northerly along nonvisible boundary to the Felton census designated place line, and proceeding westerly along the Felton census designated place line to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding northerly along nonvisible boundary to unnamed Local road, and proceeding westerly along unnamed Local road to Altamont Dr, and proceeding westerly along Altamont Dr to unnamed Local road, and proceeding westerly along unnamed Local road to Sylvester Rd, and proceeding westerly along Sylvester Rd to the Bonny Doon/Felton census designated place line, and proceeding northerly along the Bonny Doon/Felton census designated place line to San Lorenzo Ave, and proceeding northerly along San Lorenzo Ave to unnamed Local road, and proceeding easterly along unnamed Local road to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding northerly along nonvisible boundary to Shingle Mill Crk, and proceeding easterly along Shingle Mill Crk to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding easterly along nonvisible boundary to Brookside Dr, and proceeding easterly along Brookside Dr to Redwood Dr, and proceeding easterly along Redwood Dr to unnamed Local road, and proceeding northerly along unnamed Local road to Pleasant Way, and proceeding easterly along Pleasant Way to Hillcrest Dr, and proceeding northerly along Hillcrest Dr to Shingle Mill Ln, and proceeding westerly along Shingle Mill Ln to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding westerly along nonvisible boundary to the Felton census designated place line, and proceeding westerly along the Felton census designated

place line to Felton Empire Rd, and proceeding northerly along Felton Empire Rd to the Felton census designated place line, and proceeding easterly along the Felton census designated place line to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding westerly along nonvisible boundary to Furlong Dr, and proceeding easterly along Furlong Dr to the Bonny Doon census designated place line, and proceeding northerly along the Bonny Doon census designated place line to Felton Empire Rd, and proceeding westerly along Felton Empire Rd to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding westerly along nonvisible boundary to Empire Grade, and proceeding northerly along Empire Grade to unnamed Local road, and proceeding easterly along unnamed Local road to Feather Ln, and proceeding easterly along Feather Ln to unnamed Local road, and proceeding southerly along unnamed Local road to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding southerly along nonvisible boundary to South Fall Crk, and proceeding easterly along South Fall Crk to the Bonny Doon census designated place line, and proceeding northerly along the Bonny Doon census designated place line to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding westerly along nonvisible boundary to Pine Flat Rd, and proceeding westerly along Pine Flat Rd to unnamed Local road, and proceeding easterly along unnamed Local road to the Bonny Doon census designated place line, and proceeding northerly along the Bonny Doon census designated place line to the Ben Lomond census designated place line, and proceeding northerly along the Ben Lomond census designated place line to Old Alba Grade, and proceeding easterly along Old Alba Grade to unnamed Local road, and proceeding northerly along unnamed Local road to the Ben Lomond census designated place line, and proceeding northerly along the Ben Lomond census designated place line to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding easterly along nonvisible boundary to the Ben Lomond/Brookdale census designated place line, and proceeding northerly along the Ben Lomond/Brookdale census designated place line to the Brookdale census designated place line, and proceeding westerly along the Brookdale census designated place line to the Boulder Creek census designated place line, and proceeding westerly along the Boulder Creek census designated place line to nonvisible boundary, and proceeding northerly along nonvisible boundary to Empire Grade, and proceeding westerly along Empire Grade to the point of beginning. 2. As well as beginning at the point of intersection of Pippin Way and Bellflower Way, and proceeding easterly along Pippin Way to the Scotts Valley city line, and proceeding southerly along the Scotts Valley city line to Bellflower Way, and proceeding northerly along Bellflower Way to the point of beginning.

### SECTION III

This ordinance shall take effect on the 31<sup>st</sup> day after the date of final passage.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2011, by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Santa Cruz by the following vote:

AYES: SUPERVISORS  
NOES: SUPERVISORS  
ABSTAIN: SUPERVISORS  
ABSENT: SUPERVISORS

\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairperson, Board of Supervisors


Attest: \_\_\_\_\_  
Clerk of the Board

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
County Counsel


Distribution: County Administrative Office  
Elections

# 2011 First Supervisorial District Boundary






# 2011 Second Supervisory District Boundary




# 2011 Third Supervisorial District Boundary





[illegible]

## 2011 Fifth Supervisorial District Boundary





### Census 2010 Baseline Data - Existing Boundaries

	Population	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Non-Hispanic	% Non-Hispanic	18+	%18+	H18+	%H18+
District 1	49,049	9,441	19%	39,608	81%	39,572	81%	6,394	16%
District 2	50,173	12,022	24%	38,151	76%	39,785	79%	7,969	20%
District 3	54,427	10,200	19%	44,227	81%	47,157	87%	7,876	17%
District 4	58,954	46,948	80%	12,006	20%	40,509	69%	30,415	75%
District 5	49,779	5,481	11%	44,298	89%	39,941	80%	3,854	10%

### Census 2010 Population Data - Consensus Plan, Redistricting Task Force

	Population	% of Total	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Non-Hispanic	% Non-Hispanic	18+	%18+	H18+	%H18+
District 1	53,144	20%	10,571	20%	42,573	80%	42,706	80%	7,149	17%
District 2	53,879	21%	17,019	32%	36,860	68%	41,878	78%	11,200	27%
District 3	52,627	20%	9,796	19%	42,831	81%	45,549	87%	7,560	17%
District 4	52,186	20%	41,086	79%	11,100	21%	35,936	69%	26,615	74%
District 5	50,546	19%	5,620	11%	44,926	89%	40,895	81%	3,984	10%

**2010 Total Population** 262,382

**Target Population** 52,476

H = Hispanic Population

## STAFF REPORT – SEPTEMBER 13, 2011 WITH ADDENDUM

---

Staff Report September 13, 2011  
Materials from August 23, 2011 Public Hearing  
Addendum: Request from Mayor Norton, City of  
Capitola with staff narrative and County map



# County of Santa Cruz

## COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

701 OCEAN STREET, SUITE 520, SANTA CRUZ, CA 95060-4073

(831) 454-2100 FAX: (831) 454-3420 TDD: (831) 454-2123

SUSAN MAURIELLO, J.D., COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

September 6, 2011

Agenda: September 13, 2011

### BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

County of Santa Cruz

701 Ocean Street

Santa Cruz, CA 95060

### **Redistricting of Supervisorial Boundaries -- Ordinance Establishing 2011 Boundaries**

Dear Members of the Board:

On August 23, 2011, your Board conducted a Public Hearing to consider redistricting plans that have been submitted by the County's Redistricting Task Force and others, and continued the Public Hearing to today for the purposes of adopting an ordinance to amend Chapter 2.04 of the Santa Cruz County Code to establish the Supervisorial District boundaries as a result of the 2010 Census. Subsequent to your meeting, the City of Scotts Valley has provided an additional plan for two alternatives for your consideration. The following report provides a summary of the legal requirements and an update on the redistricting process to date.

#### **Summary of Legal Requirements and Process to Date**

Redistricting is governed by the California Elections Code and the United States Voting Rights Act. The Board of Supervisors is charged with the legal responsibility for adjusting supervisorial district boundaries every ten years utilizing census data from the federal government "so that the districts shall be as nearly equal in population as may be" (Elections Code Section 21500). In addition, districts shall comply with the Voting Rights Act of 1965, Section 1973 of Title 42 of the US Code, as amended.

The statutes require that in establishing the boundaries of the districts, the Board may give consideration to the factors of (a) topography, (b) geography, (c) cohesiveness, contiguity, integrity, and compactness of territory, and (d) community of interests of the districts (Elections Code Section 21500). The statutory deadline for adjusting the supervisorial boundaries is the first day of November following the year in which the federal census is taken.

In addition to the statutory requirements, your Board also affirmed four principles for 2011 that were adopted for the 2001 effort:

1. To the extent possible, the current district boundaries will be preserved.
2. The public will have all the opportunities provided by law to participate in the redistricting process and provide input to the Board.
3. Communities of interest will be preserved to the extent possible.
4. Each Supervisor will have the opportunity to suggest changes to his or her district's boundaries to the extent such changes are necessary prior to the public hearings to be held on the redistricting plan.

In consideration of the legal requirements and consistent with the statutes and principals, the Redistricting Task Force developed a Redistricting Plan for consideration by your Board, in preparation for the first of a series of Public Hearings to consider redistricting plans. Subsequent to developing the plan, the Task Force representative from the Third District requested that a different proposed boundary for the Third and Fifth Districts be considered at the University of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC) campus, with the same boundaries proposed for the First, Second and Fourth Districts. The Task Force also considered a plan for the boundaries for the Second and Fourth District boundaries that was submitted by Daniel Dodge, as a member of the public.

The plans that were provided for your Board's consideration were described in detail in the staff report for the August 23<sup>rd</sup> Public hearing, which is included for your reference as Attachment 1.

Since your last meeting, the Redistricting Task Force met on August 24<sup>th</sup> and on September 1<sup>st</sup> to consider the public testimony received during the August 23<sup>rd</sup> hearing and prior hearings, and to discuss alternative proposals that were in the process of being developed for your Board's consideration by representatives of the Scotts Valley City Council. These alternative scenarios were ultimately considered by the Scotts Valley City Council at their meeting on Wednesday, September 7<sup>th</sup>, and a Resolution was adopted at that time to propose the two alternatives to your Board.

The staff report from the City Attorney, the executed Resolution No. 1871, and Scotts Valley plans are included as Attachment 2. The staff review and County drawn maps of the proposed alternatives are Attachment 3.

At their meeting on September 1<sup>st</sup>, the Task Force affirmed the recommendation of their plan, and maps illustrating the new Supervisorial Districts are referred to as Plan 1. Attachment 4 includes information on Plan 1 and Plan 2, which was submitted by the Third District, proposing an alternative boundary at the UCSC campus. As indicated previously, County Counsel has reviewed the proposed maps and data and believes that both Plan 1 and Plan 2 are responsive to mandates contained in the Election Code and consistent with the Voting Rights Act.

The Elections Code prescribes that the Board shall hold at least one public hearing on any proposal to adjust the boundaries of a district, prior to a public hearing at which the Board of Supervisors votes to approve or defeat the proposal (Section 21500.1). The public hearing for which your Board could take no action to approve or defeat a particular proposal was held on August 23<sup>rd</sup>, with public hearings scheduled for September 13<sup>th</sup> and 20<sup>th</sup> for the purposes of adopting amendments to County Code Section 2.04 to establish new Supervisorial District boundaries, as required by state and federal statutes. This schedule was recommended by the Redistricting Task Force and established by your Board at your regularly scheduled meeting on May 24, 2011, in order to meet the statutory deadline of November 1<sup>st</sup>.

Given that an additional plan has now been submitted for your Board's consideration, it is recommended that your Board establish Friday, September 16, 2011 as the final day for which a redistricting plan may be submitted for your Board's consideration, and establish a new schedule for public hearings to adopt a redistricting plan as follows:

Date and Time	Purpose	Location
Tuesday, August 23 <sup>rd</sup> , 9:00 a.m. or thereafter	Public Hearing for presentation of redistricting plans	Board of Supervisors' Chambers, 701 Ocean Street, Santa Cruz
Tuesday, September 13 <sup>th</sup> , 9:00 a.m. or thereafter	Public Hearing for presentation of redistricting plans	Board of Supervisors' Chambers, 701 Ocean Street, Santa Cruz
Tuesday, September 20 <sup>th</sup> , 10:45 a.m.	Public Hearing to adopt Redistricting Plan – First reading of Ordinance	Board of Supervisors' Chambers, 701 Ocean Street, Santa Cruz
Tuesday, October 4 <sup>th</sup> , 9:00 a.m. or thereafter	Public Hearing to adopt Redistricting Plan – Second reading of Ordinance	Board of Supervisors' Chambers, 701 Ocean Street, Santa Cruz

### Summary and Conclusion

Consistent with legal guidance, the redistricting process has been an inclusive and public one. As directed by your Board, public meetings were convened on June 14<sup>th</sup> in Santa Cruz and June 20<sup>th</sup> in Watsonville. The County's website included a Redistricting section, with easy-to-use tools for the public to describe and map their communities of interest. Two kiosks with redistricting software were made available for public use at the County Clerk and Watsonville City Clerk's offices, and Geographic Information Services (GIS) staff has been available to assist the public to develop plans. The County's Redistricting Task Force met seven times between late April and early September to consider public testimony and plans submitted by others, and to develop a plan or plans for your Board's consideration.

It is therefore RECOMMENDED that your Board take the following actions:

1. Accept and file this report on the Redistricting of Supervisorial boundaries;
2. Approve September 16, 2011 at 5:00 p.m. PDT as the date and time for which redistricting plans must be received for consideration by the Board of Supervisors approve the Public Hearing schedule as now proposed above, and direct the Clerk of the Board to notice the Public Hearing for purposes of adopting a Redistricting Plan for Tuesday, September 20, 2011;
3. Provide direction to staff as may be appropriate, so that staff may develop an ordinance to amend the County Code Chapter 2.04 with new boundaries, with metes and bounds legal descriptions, maps, and a listing of County parcels by Supervisorial District; and
4. Open the public hearing, take public testimony, and continue the Public Hearing to Tuesday, September 20, 2011 at 10:45 a.m.

Very truly yours,

  
SUSAN A. MAURIELLO  
County Administrative Officer

SAM/sp/public hearing 9 12 11 cover.doc

Attachments: 1: Staff Report, Public Hearing, August 23, 2011  
2: Alternative Scenarios submitted by Scotts Valley City Council  
3: Staff review, with County maps  
4: District Maps, Redistricting Plans 1 and 2

Copy to: Redistricting Task Force  
County Clerk  
County Counsel  
Information Services Director  
GIS Manager  
Each City Clerk

---

Attachment 1

**MATERIALS FROM AUGUST 23, 2011  
PUBLIC HEARING**

---

Letter of County Administrative Officer with  
Attachments





# County of Santa Cruz

## COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

701 OCEAN STREET, SUITE 520, SANTA CRUZ, CA 95060-4073  
(831) 454-2100 FAX: (831) 454-3420 TDD: (831) 454-2123  
SUSAN MAURIELLO, J.D., COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

August 18, 2011

Agenda: August 23, 2011

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
County of Santa Cruz  
701 Ocean Street  
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

### Redistricting of Supervisorial Boundaries – Preliminary Plans

Dear Members of the Board:

On August 9<sup>th</sup> your Board scheduled a Public Hearing for today at 10:45 a.m. to consider a redistricting plan or plans that have been submitted by members of the public or the County's Redistricting Task Force. The following report provides an overview of the statutes that govern redistricting, a brief summary of the County's redistricting process to date, and maps and narratives of the plans that have been submitted for consideration at today's Public Hearing.

#### Legal Requirements in Brief

Redistricting is governed by the California Elections Code and the United States Voting Rights Act. The Board of Supervisors is charged with the legal responsibility for adjusting supervisorial district boundaries every ten years utilizing census data from the federal government "so that the districts shall be as nearly equal in population as may be" (Elections Code Section 21500). In addition, districts shall comply with the Voting Rights Act of 1965, Section 1973 of Title 42 of the US Code, as amended. Staff review of cases and articles on this issue indicates that race is to be given consideration in the redistricting process so as to assure that sufficiently large minority populations in geographically compact areas which have similar communities of interest are kept together without being overly compacted.

The statutes require that in establishing the boundaries of the districts, the Board may give consideration to the factors of (a) topography, (b) geography, (c) cohesiveness, contiguity, integrity, and compactness of territory, and (d) community of interests of the districts (Elections Code Section 21500). The statutory deadline for adjusting the supervisorial boundaries is the first day of November following the year in which the federal census is taken. If the Board of Supervisors fails to adjust the boundaries before the November 1 statutory deadline, a supervisorial redistricting commission composed of the district attorney, as chair, the county assessor, and the county elections official shall do so before December 31<sup>st</sup>.

In addition to the statutory requirements, our Board also affirmed four principles for 2011 that were also adopted for the 2001 effort:

1. To the extent possible, the current district boundaries will be preserved.
2. The public will have all the opportunities provided by law to participate in the redistricting process and provide input to the Board.
3. Communities of interest will be preserved to the extent possible.
4. Each Supervisor will have the opportunity to suggest changes to his or her district's boundaries to the extent such changes are necessary prior to the public hearings to be held on the redistricting plan.



The Elections Code prescribes that the Board shall hold at least one public hearing on any proposal to adjust the boundaries of a district, prior to a public hearing at which the Board of Supervisors votes to approve or defeat the proposal (Section 21500.1). This public hearing is a hearing for which your Board will take no action to approve or defeat any proposal.

#### **Redistricting Process to Date**

A Task Force was established by my office, comprised of one representative from each Supervisorial District, along with County Counsel, the County Clerk, the Information Services Director and GIS staff, and CAO staff, and it has been meeting since late April to review maps of existing District boundaries, various databases from the US Census Bureau that include the number of persons in each District by Census Block, race, ethnicity, and population over the age of 18, as well as election information for various contests Board members considered would be helpful in reviewing communities of interest. All of these were taken under consideration in order to develop a plan to adjust the Supervisorial boundaries to be "as nearly equal in population as may be."

According to the 2010 Census data, the County has a population of 262,382, which when divided equally by the five Supervisorial Districts yields a target population of approximately 52,476 persons. Task Force members used redistricting software that summarized the databases by Census Block. Two kiosks with redistricting software with the same databases were made available for public use at the County Clerk and Watsonville City Clerk's offices.

As directed by your Board, public meetings were convened on June 14<sup>th</sup> in Santa Cruz and June 20<sup>th</sup> in Watsonville to encourage input by members of the public. The public meetings were advertised in local newspapers, by press releases, and on the County's website. The County's website also included a Redistricting section, with easy-to-use tools for the public to describe and map their communities of interest (COI).

As you may recall, California law states that a community of interest is "a contiguous population which shares common social and economic interests that should be included within a single district for purposes of its effective and fair representation." While the law provides several examples of the kinds of interests that satisfy its definition, such as common media, or transportation facilities, the input was not limited to these kinds of interests in establishing a COI. Common social and/or economic interests could also include common heritage, culture or history, support for a school or community center, or the desire to address a problem specific to a neighborhood or area.

As your Board will recall, there was no public testimony at the first meeting, and at the second, several people expressed their views on redistricting in South County. A number of members of the Redistricting Task Force attended the public meetings, and these comments were considered by the Task Force at subsequent meetings.

#### **Proposed Redistricting Plans – Redistricting Task Force**

As a result of the work of the Redistricting Task Force, two plans are being forwarded for your Board's consideration. The District boundaries are proposed to be adjusted in order to meet the "as equal as may be" requirement, and the cascading effects of one District's boundary change on another. As your Board will recall, the Fourth District, which is the most southerly District, had excess population, which when addressed, impacted each District to the north in a cascading fashion. In addition to the considerations provided by statute and your Board's principles, the Task Force also attempted to address several issues that the County Clerk identified that would assist in establishing voting precincts, managing ballot types, and making the administration of elections more efficient and less costly.

The two plans propose the same boundaries for the First, Second and Fourth Districts, but differ in their treatment of the proposed boundary for the Third and Fifth Districts. The following provides a narrative description of the plans, which for the purposes of this report have been titled Plans 1 and 2.

### Plan 1

Plan 1 confirms Green Valley Road as the boundary between the Second and Fourth Districts, and moves the population in the Census Blocks that are northwest of Green Valley Road and presently in the Fourth District, to the Second. A table representing population characteristics for District 4 with this proposed change follows:

District	Population	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Non-Hispanic	% Non-Hispanic	Pop Over 18	% Over 18	Hispanic Over 18	% Hisp. Over 18
Fourth	52,186	41,086	79%	11,100	21%	35,936	69%	26,615	74%

Plan 1 establishes new boundaries on the west side of the Second District along the riparian corridor adjacent to Park Avenue, past Soquel Drive to the Capitola City Limits at Cabrillo College Drive, and moves the population between the west side of Park Avenue and the existing District boundaries from the Second to the First District. Plan 1 also establishes new boundaries between the Second and First District in the "Jewel Box" area of Capitola, by continuing the boundary along Soquel Creek, south along the Creek to Capitola Road, west on Capitola Road to 45<sup>th</sup> Avenue, thence along 45<sup>th</sup> Avenue to Jade Street, to the existing boundary at 41<sup>st</sup> Avenue. The population in the Census Blocks west of that boundary and the existing District boundary would be moved from the Second to the First District. A table representing population characteristics for the Second District with these proposed changes follows:

District	Population	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Non-Hispanic	% Non-Hispanic	Pop Over 18	% Over 18	Hispanic Over 18	% Hisp. Over 18
Second	53,879	17,019	32%	36,860	68%	41,878	78%	11,200	27%

Plan 1 establishes a new boundary between the First and Third Districts by utilizing the Santa Cruz City Limits as the new First District boundary in the area above the Brommer Street Extension and below Route 1. This action moves the population in the Census Blocks contained in this area from the Third District to the First District.

Plan 1 establishes a new boundary between the First and Fifth Districts by returning to Highway 17 as the boundary between the First and Fifth Districts between the County line to the north and the Santa Cruz City Limits to the south, as it was prior to the changes that were made after the 1990 Census. The population in the Census Blocks east of Highway 17 in the current Supervisorial District map is moved from District Five to District One, and the population in those Census Blocks west of Highway 17 in the current map is moved from the First District to the Fifth. A table representing the population characteristics for the First District with these proposed changes follows:

District	Population	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Non-Hispanic	% Non-Hispanic	Pop Over 18	% Over 18	Hispanic Over 18	% Hisp. Over 18
First	53,144	10,571	20%	42,573	80%	42,706	80%	7,149	17%

Plan 1 also establishes a new boundary between the Third and Fifth Districts at the University of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC) campus by continuing the existing boundary west along McLaughlin Road to Hagar Drive, then south to the intersection of Cowell Service Road and easterly to the existing boundary, and moves the population in the Census Blocks between Hagar Drive and the existing boundary from the Third to the Fifth District. A table representing

the population characteristics for Districts Three and Five with the proposed changes at the UCSC campus and by establishing Highway 17 as the boundary between the Fifth and the First Districts follows:

District	Population	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Non-Hispanic	% Non-Hispanic	Pop Over 18	% Over 18	Hispanic Over 18	% Hisp. Over 18
Third	52,627	9,796	19%	42,831	81%	45,549	87%	7,560	17%
Fifth	50,546	5,620	11%	44,926	89%	40,895	81%	3,984	10%

#### Plan 2

Plan 2 differs only in the treatment of the boundary between Districts Three and Five at the UCSC campus. This plan establishes the boundary between the two districts as Coolidge Drive and moves the population in the undergraduate student housing in the Census Blocks contained in this area from District Five to District Three. A table representing the population characteristics for the Third and Fifth Districts in Plan 2 follows:

District	Population	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Non-Hispanic	% Non-Hispanic	Pop Over 18	% Over 18	Hispanic Over 18	% Hisp. Over 18
Third	53,943	10,018	19%	43,925	81%	46,860	87%	7,780	17%
Fifth	49,230	5,398	11%	43,832	89%	39,584	80%	3,764	10%

In addition to the Redistricting Task Force, County Counsel has reviewed the population deviations from the target population proposed and believes that both Plan 1 and Plan 2 are responsive to mandates contained in the Elections Code. As previously described, the primary goal of redistricting is to get the population in each of the five districts to be as close to 20% of the total county population as practicable, taking into account the statutorily recognized secondary considerations of topography, geography, cohesiveness, contiguity, integrity, compactness, and communities of interest. The California Supreme Court stated that in order for a reapportionment of the supervisorial districts in Santa Clara County -- which also has Five Districts -- to be entitled to a presumption of validity, the Court concluded that no district shall have more than 23% nor less than 17% of the overall population of the county, a range that the proposed plans are well within. (*Miller v Board of Supervisors of Santa Clara County*, 1965, 63 Cal. 2d. 343,350; see also *Wiltsie v. Board of Supervisors*, 1966, 65 Cal. 2d. 314, 317).

The Plans are also consistent with the Voting Rights Act, specifically Section 2, which prohibits minority vote dilution that weakens the voting strength of minorities or prevents minorities from a fair chance to elect candidates of their choice. County Counsel has determined that the proposed boundaries respect the representation of communities of interest. Of note, the Plans provide that all of the four cities in Santa Cruz County are represented by two Supervisors.

In addition to Plans 1 and 2, the Task Force and staff have received a proposal from a member of the public to revise the Supervisorial boundaries in the Second and Fourth Districts. A copy of that plan and our staff review is provided in the attachments that follow.

Maps and population summary reports demonstrating the shifts of Census Blocks and population for Plans 1 and 2 are also attached, and the maps and population summary reports have been placed on the County's website and on file with the Clerk of the Board.



**Direction to Staff**

Your Board directed that the Redistricting effort be conducted in a way that would provide each Supervisor and the public with the opportunity to suggest changes to Supervisorial District boundaries.

At this point, staff seeks public input and your review and comment on the proposed plans. The timeline discussed in the staff report on your Board's May 24, 2011 agenda established a target date of Tuesday, September 13<sup>th</sup> as the first of two public hearings to adopt a plan and for the first reading of the ordinance, and Tuesday, September 20<sup>th</sup> as the date for the second reading of the ordinance. This timeline allows for additional public hearings on October 4<sup>th</sup> or October 25<sup>th</sup>, if necessary. It is therefore RECOMMENDED that your Board take the following actions:

1. Accept and file this report on the Redistricting of Supervisorial boundaries;
2. Consider the proposed plans submitted;
3. Provide any direction as may be appropriate; and
4. Open today's Public Hearing and a period for public comment that would culminate in a first Public Hearing on September 13, 2011 and a second Public Hearing on September 20, 2011.

Very truly yours,



SUSAN A. MAURIELLO  
County Administrative Officer

SAM/sp/h/wp/redistricting 2010/pub hearing 8 23 11

**Attachments**

Copy to: Redistricting Task Force  
County Clerk  
County Counsel  
Information Services Director  
GIS Manager  
Each City Clerk

---

POPULATION DATA

PLAN 1 AND PLAN 2

---

Attachment: Population Data and Maps 1-6 with Detail

**Census 2000 Baseline Data - Existing Boundaries**

	Population	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Non-Hispanic	% Non-Hispanic	18+	%18+	H18+	%H18+
District 1	49,049	9,441	19%	39,608	81%	39,572	81%	6,394	16%
District 2	50,173	12,022	24%	38,151	76%	39,785	79%	7,969	20%
District 3	54,427	10,200	19%	44,227	81%	47,157	87%	7,876	17%
District 4	58,954	46,948	80%	12,006	20%	40,509	69%	30,415	75%
District 5	49,779	5,481	11%	44,298	89%	39,941	80%	3,854	10%

**Census 2010 Population Data - Plan 1**

	Population	% of Total	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Non-Hispanic	% Non-Hispanic	18+	%18+	H18+	%H18+
District 1	53,144	20%	10,571	20%	42,573	80%	42,706	80%	7,149	17%
District 2	53,879	21%	17,019	32%	36,860	68%	41,878	78%	11,200	27%
District 3	52,627	20%	9,796	19%	42,831	81%	45,549	87%	7,560	17%
District 4	52,186	20%	41,086	79%	11,100	21%	35,936	69%	26,615	74%
District 5	50,546	19%	5,620	11%	44,926	89%	40,895	81%	3,984	10%

**Census 2010 Population Data - Plan 2**

	Population	% of Total	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Non-Hispanic	% Non-Hispanic	18+	%18+	H18+	%H18+
District 1	53,144	20%	10,571	20%	42,573	80%	42,706	80%	7,149	17%
District 2	53,879	21%	17,019	32%	36,860	68%	41,878	78%	11,200	27%
District 3	53,943	21%	10,018	19%	43,925	81%	46,860	87%	7,780	17%
District 4	52,186	20%	41,086	79%	11,100	21%	35,936	69%	26,615	74%
District 5	49,230	19%	5,398	11%	43,832	89%	39,584	80%	3,764	10%

2010 Total Population 262,382

Target Population 52,476

H = Hispanic Population

---

MAP 1

SECOND AND FOURTH DISTRICT BOUNDARIES

---

# DRAFT Map #1

District 2

Area moved from 4th  
to 2nd District.  
Population = 6,768

District 4

City of  
Watsonville

## Plan 1 - Green Valley Rd

Proposed change to Boundary of Supervisorial Districts 2 and 4

- Proposed Supervisorial District 2
- Proposed Supervisorial District 4
- Existing County Supervisorial Districts

Area Being Transferred

City Limits



Scale bar showing 0 to 1 mile

018-11 MXD Map1\_D2\_D4\_GreenValley DRAFT 8/17/11

MAIN ST



---

## MAP 2

### FIRST AND SECOND DISTRICT BOUNDARIES

---

Map 2 – Overview

Map 2A – Capitola Detail

Map 2B – Soquel Detail

# DRAFT Map #2

District 1

District 2

Area moved from 2nd to 1st District.  
Population = 396

Area moved from 2nd to 1st District.  
Population = 2,666

City of Capitola

## Plan 1 - Soquel & Capitola

Proposed change to Boundary of Supervisorial Districts 2 and 1

- Proposed Supervisorial District 2
- Proposed Supervisorial District 1
- Existing County Supervisorial Districts

Area Being Transferred


City Limits



0 100 200 300 Feet




**DRAFT Map #2-A**





**DRAFT Map #2-B**



**Plan 1 -Soquel Detail**

Proposed change to Boundary of Supervisorial Districts 2 and 1

- Proposed Supervisorial District 2
- Proposed Supervisorial District 1
- Existing County Supervisorial Districts
- Area Being Transferred
- City Limits



---


MAP 3

FIRST AND THIRD DISTRICT BOUNDARIES

---



# DRAFT Map #3



---

## MAP 4


### FIRST AND FIFTH DISTRICT BOUNDARIES

---


- Map 4 – Overview
- Map 4A – Mountain Charley Area Detail
- Map 4B – Scotts Valley Detail
- Map 4C – El Rancho Detail



# DRAFT Map #4



# DRAFT Map #4A





# DRAFT Map #4B

District 5

District 1

Scotts Valley

Area moved  
from 5th  
to 1st District.  
Population = 2,028

## Plan 1 - Highway 17 - Scotts Valley Detail

### Proposed change to Boundary of Supervisorial Districts 5 and 1

- Proposed Supervisorial District 5
- Proposed Supervisorial District 1
- Existing County Supervisorial Districts


Area Being Transferred

City Limits





# DRAFT Map #4C



---

MAP 5


THIRD AND FIFTH DISTRICT BOUNDARIES

---

UCSC Plan 1



**DRAFT Map #5**



---

MAP 6


THIRD AND FIFTH DISTRICT BOUNDARIES

---

UCSC Plan 2



**DRAFT Map #6**



---

LETTER, STAFF REVIEW, POPULATION  
DATA AND MAP  
SECOND AND FOURTH DISTRICT BOUNDARIES

---

Submitted by D. Dodge

August 8, 2011

2011 AUG 15 10:54

Santa Cruz County Redistricting Task Force  
County of Santa Cruz  
701 Ocean Street, Rm. 520  
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

Members of the Redistricting Task Force:

I would like to submit a redistricting plan that would keep the City of Watsonville in one Supervisorial District. I believe that the City should remain whole as it is important for us to speak with one voice.

- Splitting the City of Watsonville into two supervisorial districts will dilute the voice and influence of the Watsonville community.
- The City of Watsonville is an important community of interest that should remain whole as it represents the residential and commercial center of the Pajaro Valley.
- The City of Watsonville has many unique urban issues and needs that will best be addressed if the City of Watsonville is kept whole in one Supervisorial District with the full attention of one County Supervisor.
- Splitting the City of Watsonville into two supervisorial districts may reduce the percentage of voting age Latinos in the 4<sup>th</sup> Supervisorial District.

I am submitting this plan as an individual. It does not necessarily reflect the opinions of the boards, commissions or agencies that I am affiliated with. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

  
Daniel Dodge.

c: County Board of Supervisors  
Susan Pearlman

#### **Proposed Plan for the Fourth District – Member of the Public : Staff Summary**

Daniel Dodge, a resident of the City of Watsonville and current Mayor, has submitted a plan for the Fourth District as a private citizen. For the purposes of this report, this plan has been titled Plan 3. It does not propose a county-wide solution; rather it addresses the Second and Fourth District boundaries only. Plan 3 proposes to include the Watsonville City Limits entirely in District Four, and therefore moves the population of the Census Blocks in the City Limits that are currently in the Second District to the Fourth District. In addition, it proposes to create a new boundary that would run along Holohan Road to Census Block boundaries and parcel lines west of College Lake in a northerly direction to Casserly Road, to a point where Casserly intersects with Mt. Madonna Road, then along the existing District boundary at Hazel Dell Road, where it meets Green Valley Road. The population in the Census Blocks in the area created by this new boundary would be moved from the Fourth District to the Second District. A table representing the population characteristics for the Fourth and Second District in Plan 3 follows.

District	Population	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Non-Hispanic	% Non-Hispanic	Pop Over 18	% Over 18	Hispanic Over 18	% Hisp. Over 18
Second	52,988	13,966	26%	39,022	74%	39,022	74%	9,235	24%
Fourth	56,139	45,004	80%	11,135	20%	38,552	51%	29,149	76%

The Redistricting Task Force and staff have reviewed this proposal, and it is not included in the recommended Redistricting Plans to your Board.



Attachment: Population Data and Map 7

**Census 2010 Population Data - Plan 3**


	Population	% of Total	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Non-Hispanic	% Non-Hispanic	18+	%18+	H18+	%H18+
District 2	52,988	20%	13,966	26%	39,022	74%	39,022	74%	9,235	24%
District 4	56,139	21%	45,004	80%	11,135	20%	38,552	69%	29,149	76%

**2010 Total Population** 262,382

**Target Population** 52,476

H = Hispanic Population

# DRAFT Map #7



---

Attachment 2.

## PROPOSALS SUBMITTED BY THE SCOTTS VALLEY CITY COUNCIL

---

Staff Report from Scotts Valley City Attorney  
Executed Resolution  
Maps and Tables

AGENDA ITEM NO. 1

DATE: 9-7-11

**City of Scotts Valley**  
**INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM**

**DATE:** September 7, 2011  
**TO:** Honorable Mayor and City Council  
**FROM:** Kirsten Powell, City Attorney  
**SUBJECT:** Consideration of Alternatives to the Proposed Redistricting Plan for Santa Cruz County Supervisorial Districts

**SUMMARY OF ISSUE**

Pursuant to state law, Santa Cruz County ("County") is required to adjust, or redistrict, supervisorial district boundaries every ten years following the U.S. Census. The U.S. Census was completed in 2010 and the County is currently working on the new boundaries. The County had committed to preserving the current boundaries to the extent possible and preserving communities of interest to the extent possible. However, at its August 23, 2011, meeting, the County unveiled its proposal, which proposes to move the Granite Creek and Green Hills neighborhoods from Supervisorial District Five to Supervisorial District One, thereby dividing a community of interest.

When creating new boundaries several factors must be considered. The new boundaries must be drawn to balance the population, while taking into account the guidelines provided in the State Elections Code and the Federal Voting Rights Act. The Board may also give consideration to the following factors: (a) topography, (b) geography, (c) cohesiveness, contiguity, integrity, and compactness of territory, and (d) community of interests of the districts. The County's proposal does not comply with these factors.

The current redistricting plan results in dividing a community of interest by disenfranchising the Granite Creek and Green Hills neighborhoods of Scotts Valley. This proposal would isolate those neighborhoods that are an integral part of the community. The children of those neighborhoods attend Scotts Valley schools, recreate at Scotts Valley parks, visit the Scotts Valley library and participate in the Scotts Valley recreational programs. Those neighborhoods are served by the Scotts Valley Police Department, Scotts Valley Water District and the Scotts Valley Fire District.

In 1991, when considering the supervisorial boundaries at that time, the County Board of Supervisors determined it was appropriate for all of Scotts Valley to be located in one supervisorial district, and adjusted the District Five boundary to include these neighborhoods. Copies of letters from Mayor Joe Miller and Chamber President Henry Reilly, which were sent to the County at that time, are attached to this report. The circumstances that existed in 1991 still exist today and do not justify dividing a community.



The City is proposing two (2) scenarios which would meet the requirements of State and Federal law and maintain current communities of interest.

**FISCAL IMPACT**

None.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

Consider the alternatives proposed for District Five and approve the Resolution of the City Council of the City of Scotts Valley Proposing a Revised Redistricting Plan to the Santa Cruz County Board of Supervisors

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**PAGE**

Resolution No. 1871.....	4
Correspondences from Joe Miller and Henry Reilly.....	10



## CITY OF SCOTTS VALLEY

ONE CIVIC CENTER DRIVE • SCOTTS VALLEY, CALIFORNIA 95066 • (408) 438-2324

August 8, 1991

Fred Keeley, Chair  
Santa Cruz County  
Board of Supervisors  
701 Ocean Street  
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

**SUBJECT: Redistricting**

Dear Chairperson Keeley:

The City of Scotts Valley has been admirably served by both yourself and Supervisor Beautz. With Scotts Valley's current growth projections and its related problems, there will be a continual need for County assistance and cooperation. A single Supervisor for Scotts Valley will be most effective in solving future issues.

We urge you to consider, during your redistricting process, that supervisorial districts be drawn so that Scotts Valley has one Supervisor, which will guarantee one solid vote on the Board.

This request is being made on behalf the Scotts Valley City Council, the community at large and the Chamber of Commerce.

Very truly yours,

  
Joe Miller  
Mayor

c: Board of Supervisors,  
County of Santa Cruz  
Scotts Valley City Council



Fax: 425-3481  
August 9, 1991

Fred Keeley, Chair  
Board of Supervisors  
701 Ocean Street  
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

Dear Fred:

Scotts Valley is presently divided between two supervisorial districts, the 1st and 5th. We strongly believe the city would best be served by having one representative on the Board of Supervisors and, therefore, should be in one supervisorial district.

When Santa Cruz County's five supervisor districts are redefined this year, after the 1990 federal census, the Chamber recommends the Board of Supervisors place Scotts Valley in only one district.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

SCOTTS VALLEY CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

*Henry J. Reilly*  
Henry J. Reilly  
President

cc: Board of Directors

P.O. Box 66928  
10 Camp Evans Lane  
Scotts Valley, CA 95067  
408 438 1010  
Fax  
408 438 0544

**RESOLUTION NO. 1871**

**A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL  
OF THE CITY OF SCOTTS VALLEY  
PROPOSING A REVISED REDISTRICTING PLAN TO  
THE SANTA CRUZ COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

**WHEREAS**, Santa Cruz County ("County") is required to adjust, or redistrict, supervisorial district boundaries every ten years following the U.S. Census; and

**WHEREAS**, the U.S. Census was completed in 2010 and the County is currently working on the new boundaries; and

**WHEREAS**, the new boundaries must be drawn to balance the population while taking into account the guidelines provided in the State Elections Code and the Federal Voting Rights Act; and

**WHEREAS**, in establishing the boundaries of the districts, the County Board of Supervisors ("Board") may give consideration to the following factors: (a) topography, (b) geography, (c) cohesiveness, contiguity, integrity, and compactness of territory, and (d) community of interests of the districts; and

**WHEREAS**, community of interests could include common media, common transportation, common heritage, culture, or history; support for a school or community center; or desire to address a problem; and

**WHEREAS**, the current redistricting plan results in dividing a community of interest by disenfranchising the Granite Creek and Green Hills neighborhoods of Scotts Valley (collectively referred to as "Granite Creek") and reassigning it to the First District; and

**WHEREAS**, such redistricting would isolate Granite Creek; and

**WHEREAS**, Granite Creek is a part of the community of interest known as Scotts Valley; and

**WHEREAS**, the children of Granite Creek attend Scotts Valley schools, recreate at Scotts Valley parks, visit the Scotts Valley library and participate in the Scotts Valley recreational programs; and

**WHEREAS**, Granite Creek is served by the Scotts Valley Police Department, Scotts Valley Water District and the Scotts Valley Fire District;

**WHEREAS**, in 1991, the County Board of Supervisors determined it was appropriate for all of Scotts Valley to be located in one supervisorial district and adjusted the District Five boundary to include Granite Creek; and



**WHEREAS**, the circumstances that existed in 1991 still exist today and do not justify dividing a community; and

**WHEREAS**, the Scotts Valley City Council has prepared two scenarios for redistricting, which would maintain the community of interest in the Scotts Valley area and comply with State and Federal law.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** by the City Council of the City of Scotts Valley that it is recommending that the Board approve the redistricting plan 2.5 attached hereto as Exhibit A.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** by the City Council of the City of Scotts Valley that if the Board does not approve Redistricting Plan 2.5, it is recommending that the Board approve the redistricting plan 2.51 attached hereto as Exhibit B.

**THE ABOVE AND FOREGOING RESOLUTION** was duly and regularly passed by the City Council of the City of Scotts Valley at a meeting held on the 7<sup>th</sup> day of September 2011, by the following vote:

AYES: AGUILAR, BUSTICHI, JOHNSON, LIND, REED

NOES: NONE

ABSTAIN: NONE

ABSENT: NONE

  
Dene Bustichi, Mayor  
Tracy Ferrara, City Clerk

[illegible]

Plan Scotts Valley Plan  
Page Type  
Administrator  
User

## Population Summary Report

Tuesday, August 30, 2011


10:45 AM

DISTRICT	POPULATION	DEVIATION	% DEVN.	White	Black	AmIndian	Asian	Hawaiian	Other	[Hispanic Origin]	[Not Hispanic]	[18+_Pop]	[18+_Pop]
District 1	51,116	-1,260	-2.59	40,846	563	416	1,806	77	4,560	10,425	40,691	41,212	7,040
District 2	53,679	1,403	2.62	40,335	480	435	1,886	48	8,371	17,019	36,890	41,878	11,230
District 3	53,942	1,487	2.80	40,673	899	354	4,110	110	4,704	10,018	43,925	46,860	7,760
District 4	52,186	-290	-0.55	29,812	366	664	1,656	36	23,336	41,046	11,100	35,936	28,615
District 5	51,258	-1,218	-2.32	44,442	478	334	1,648	78	1,915	5,544	45,714	41,078	3,873

Total Population	262,382
Total District Population	52,476
<b>Summary Statistics</b>	
Population Range	51,116 to 53,942
Mean Range	1.98
Absolute Range	-1,260 to 1,487
Absolute Over/Under Range	2,627.00%
Relative Range	-2.80% to 2.62%
Relative Over/Under Range	4.88%
Absolute Mean Deviation	1,412.00
Relative Mean Deviation	2.19%
Standard Deviation	1,072.78

ATTACHMENT A  
Population Page 2.5





**ATTACHMENT B**  
**Redistricting Map 2.51**

Plan: Schuylkill Valley Plan\_modified  
 Plan Title:  
 Administrator:  
 Date:

## Population Summary Report

Thursday, August 30, 2012

11:03 AM

DISTRICT	POPULATION	DEVIATION	% DEVN	White	Black	AmIndian	Asian	Hawaiian	Other	[Hispanic Origin]	[Not Hispanic]	[18+_Pop]	[H18+_Pop]
District 1	51,036	-1,440	-2.74	40,021	893	416	1,805	77	4,957	10,425	40,616	41,149	7,038
District 2	53,879	1,483	2.87	43,385	460	435	1,695	48	8,371	17,315	36,066	41,878	11,200
District 3	51,943	1,467	2.80	40,573	895	384	4,115	110	4,794	10,015	41,925	48,860	7,780
District 4	52,186	+290	+0.66	23,812	356	684	1,556	35	25,336	11,088	41,100	35,936	26,515
District 5	51,338	-1,138	-2.17	44,517	478	334	1,648	78	1,918	5,540	45,799	41,144	3,677

Total Population	262,572
Total District Population	52,476
<u>Summary Statistics</u>	
Population Range	51,036 to 53,879
Ratio Range	1.06
Absolute Range	-1,440 to 1,483
Absolute Overall Range	2,923
Relative Range	-2.74% to 2.87%
Relative Overall Range	5.61%
Absolute Mean Deviation	1,147.65
Relative Mean Deviation	2.19%
Standard Deviation	1,373.08

ATTACHMENT B  
 Revising Map 2.01

## SCOTTS VALLEY PROPOSAL COUNTY MAPS

---

Staff Summary  
GIS Maps of Scotts Valley Proposal  
Map of Scotts Valley Special Districts Boundaries

## Proposed Plan for Scotts Valley: Staff Summary

The City of Scotts Valley is proposing two alternatives for the boundary of the First and Fifth Districts. In the first proposal, illustrated by the map attached to the staff report from the Scotts Valley City attorney and executed resolution adopted by the City Council on Wednesday, September 7, 2011, the City proposes to draw the boundary between the First and Fifth Districts consistent with the Scotts Valley city limits boundary. In the second alternative, also illustrated by a map, the City proposes to include seven additional Census Blocks (some with a population count of 0) to be moved to the Fifth District – Blocks 3008, 3009, 3010, 3011, 2026, and 2010 and 2011, encompassing the Granite Creek and Green Hills areas. The City Council has not suggested other changes to the Task Force Plan, which establishes Highway 17 as the boundary between the First and Fifth Districts north and south of the Scotts Valley city limits.

As your Board may recall, the boundary between the First and Fifth Districts was Highway 17 through the 1980's, and during at least the decade after 1981, Scotts Valley was represented by the First and Fifth District Supervisors. After the 1990 Census, a number of changes to the First and Fifth District boundaries were made, including placing all of Scotts Valley in the Fifth District.

Attached for your information is a map produced by County staff demonstrating the boundaries of various Special Districts, including the Scotts Valley Unified School District, Fire District, and Water District and portions of all of these are currently shared by the First and Fifth Districts. Neither of the City's proposals will change the shared representation.

In addition to consideration of the legal guidelines, the Task Force's Plan includes dual representation by two Supervisors for all four incorporated cities. Members believe that there is a significant advantage to advocacy by two Supervisors, and to enhanced representation for the Cities on boards, agencies, committee, special districts and commissions that members of the Board of Supervisors serve on.

A table representing the population characteristics (the City chose to use Plan 2 for the baseline) for the City's proposals follows:

Proposal 1	Population	% of Total	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Non-Hispanic	% Non-Hispanic	18+	%18+	H18+	%H18+
District 1	51,116	19%	10,425	20%	40,691	80%	41,212	81%	7,040	17%
District 5	51,258	20%	5,544	11%	45,714	89%	41,078	80%	3,873	9%
Proposal 2	Population	% of Total	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Non-Hispanic	% Non-Hispanic	18+	%18+	H18+	%H18+
District 1	51,036	19%	10,420	20%	40,616	80%	41,149	81%	7,036	17%
District 5	51,338	20%	5,549	11%	45,789	89%	41,141	80%	3,877	9%

H = Hispanic Population

The Redistricting Task Force members have reviewed and discussed the City's proposals, and have concluded that the Task Force's Plan previously submitted best address the requirements for redistricting.



# DRAFT Map #8

District 5

District 1

Scotts Valley

Area Proposed to  
Remain in 5th District  
Population = 2,028

Plan 4 - Maintain Scotts Valley in 5th District  
Modification of Plan 2



Existing County Supervisorial Districts



Area to Remain in 5th District



City Limits



Scale



**DRAFT Map #8A**

**District 5**

**District 1**

**District 17**

**Scotts Valley**

**Area Proposed to Remain in 5th District**  
Population = 2,028

**Area Proposed to be Moved from 1st to 5th District**  
Population = 80

**Plan 4 Modified - Maintain Scotts Valley in 5th District**  
**Additional Blocks**

Existing County Supervisorial Districts

City Limits

Area to Remain in 5th District

Area Being Transferred


018-11 MXD Map8\_SV\_D5\_D1\_Hwy17 DRAFT 8/31/11

### Plan 4 Modified - Maintain Scotts Valley in 5th District Additional Blocks

-  Existing County Supervisorial Districts
  Area to Remain in 5th District
-  City Limits
  Area Being Transferred



# Map of Scotts Valley



## PLAN 1 - MAPS

---


Map A1 – First District Boundaries  
Map A2 – Second District Boundaries  
Map A3 – Third District Boundaries  
Map A4 – Fourth District Boundaries  
Map A5 – Fifth District Boundaries

---




# Plan 1 : Map A1

## First District Boundary




# Plan 1 : Map A2

## Second District Boundary



# Plan 1 : Map A3


## Third District Boundary





# Plan 1 : Map A4

## Fourth District Boundary



0 100 200 Feet

# Plan 1 : Map A5 Fifth District Boundary

**District 5**

CITY OF  
SCOTT'S  
VALLEY

CITY OF SANTA CRUZ

CITY OF CAPITOLA



---

## PLAN 2 - MAPS


---

Map B1 – First District Boundaries  
Map B2 – Second District Boundaries  
Map B3 – Third District Boundaries  
Map B4 – Fourth District Boundaries  
Map B5 – Fifth District Boundaries

---

# Plan 2 : Map B1


## First District Boundary






## Plan 2 : Map B2

### Second District Boundary




## Plan 2 : Map B3 Third District Boundary



# Plan 2 : Map B4

## Fourth District Boundary



0 100 200 300 400 500 600 700 800 900 1000

## Plan 2 : Map B5 Fifth District Boundary

**District 5**

CITY OF  
SCOTT'S  
VALLEY

CITY OF SANTA CRUZ

CITY OF CAPITOLA





---

POPULATION DATA

PLAN 1 AND PLAN 2

---

Attachment: Population Data and Maps 1-6 with Detail

**Census 2010 Baseline Data - Existing Boundaries**

	Population	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Non-Hispanic	% Non-Hispanic	18+	%18+	H18+	%H18+
District 1	49,049	9,441	19%	39,608	81%	39,572	81%	6,394	16%
District 2	50,173	12,022	24%	38,151	76%	39,785	79%	7,969	20%
District 3	54,427	10,200	19%	44,227	81%	47,157	87%	7,876	17%
District 4	58,954	46,948	80%	12,006	20%	40,509	69%	30,415	75%
District 5	49,779	5,481	11%	44,298	89%	39,941	80%	3,854	10%

**Census 2010 Population Data - Plan 1**

	Population	% of Total	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Non-Hispanic	% Non-Hispanic	18+	%18+	H18+	%H18+
District 1	53,144	20%	10,571	20%	42,573	80%	42,706	80%	7,149	17%
District 2	53,879	21%	17,019	32%	36,860	68%	41,878	78%	11,200	27%
District 3	52,627	20%	9,796	19%	42,831	81%	45,549	87%	7,560	17%
District 4	52,186	20%	41,086	79%	11,100	21%	35,936	69%	26,615	74%
District 5	50,546	19%	5,620	11%	44,926	89%	40,895	81%	3,984	10%

**Census 2010 Population Data - Plan 2**

	Population	% of Total	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Non-Hispanic	% Non-Hispanic	18+	%18+	H18+	%H18+
District 1	53,144	20%	10,571	20%	42,573	80%	42,706	80%	7,149	17%
District 2	53,879	21%	17,019	32%	36,860	68%	41,878	78%	11,200	27%
District 3	53,943	21%	10,018	19%	43,925	81%	46,860	87%	7,780	17%
District 4	52,186	20%	41,086	79%	11,100	21%	35,936	69%	26,615	74%
District 5	49,230	19%	5,398	11%	43,832	89%	39,584	80%	3,764	10%

**2010 Total Population** 262,382

**Target Population** 52,476

H = Hispanic Population

## MAYOR OF CAPITOLA PROPOSAL WITH COUNTY MAP

---

Letter of Mayor Dennis Norton of September 7<sup>th</sup>  
Staff Narrative  
GIS Staff Map



420 Capitola Avenue  
Capitola, California 95010  
Telephone: (831) 475-7300  
FAX: (831) 479-8879  
Website: [www.ci.capitola.ca.us](http://www.ci.capitola.ca.us)

September 7, 2011

County of Santa Cruz  
Board of Supervisors  
ATTENTION: Chairperson Mark Stone  
701 Ocean Street, Room 525  
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

RE: ***Redistricting of Supervisorial Boundaries***

Dear Chairperson Stone:

The City of Capitola appreciates the challenging and complex task of redistricting supervisorial boundaries in Santa Cruz County. According to the California Department of Finance, 10,198 people lived in Capitola in 2000 and the U.S. Census shows a 2010 population of 9,770. Trends suggest that Capitola's population will continue to be stable during the next decade. Over the next 20 years, projections indicate relative low rates of population growth in Capitola. By 2030, it is estimated that Capitola's population will approximately 11,090 people.

As you are aware, California law allows the Board of Supervisors to consider the following factors: (a) topography, (b) geography, (c) cohesiveness, contiguity, integrity, and compactness of territory, and (d) community of interests of the districts in redistricting supervisorial boundaries. Modest changes in Capitola's population suggests that any changes to supervisorial boundaries should be minimal and use the factors as outlined in Section 21500 of the California Elections Code.

The City of Capitola respectfully requests that the Board of Supervisors consider Soquel Creek as the proposed boundary between the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> District. The area between 41<sup>st</sup> Avenue and Soquel Creek are a community of interest that share mutual concerns about local issues. Soquel Creek provides a logical topographic and geologic boundary that the public could readily identify as a boundary between supervisorial districts.

The City respectfully requests that the Board of Supervisors consider this proposal in the deliberations leading up to a decision on redistricting.

Sincerely yours,

CITY OF CAPITOLA

Dennis Norton  
Mayor

cc: Council Members  
Jamie Goldstein, City Manager  
Derek Johnson, Community Development Director



## Request for consideration from Mayor Dennis Norton: Staff Summary

This map represents what staff believes is intended by the Mayor's request to consider Soquel Creek as the proposed boundary between the First and Second District. The County has not received a map from the Mayor Norton that illustrates his request. As you may recall, Plan 1 proposed new boundaries between the First and Second District in the Jewel Box area of Capitola, by continuing the boundary along Soquel Creek south to Capitola Road, west on Capitola Road to 45<sup>th</sup> Avenue, then along 45<sup>th</sup> Avenue to Jade Street to the existing boundary at 41<sup>st</sup> Avenue. The population in the Census blocks west of that boundary and the existing boundary would be moved from the Second to the First District. The Mayor requests that the Board of Supervisors consider a boundary that would extend southeast along the creekbed to the mouth of Soquel Creek to meet up with the existing boundary. Population in Census Blocks included in the darker hatched area is proposed to be moved from the Second to the First District.

A table representing the population characteristics (based on the Task Force's recommended plan) for the Mayor's request follows:

### Capitola Plan

	Population	% of Total	Hispanic	% Hispanic	Non-Hispanic	% Non-Hispanic	18+	%18+	H18+	%H18+
District 1	53,990	21%	10,623	20%	43,337	80%	43,467	81%	7,211	17%
District 2	53,033	20%	16,937	32%	36,096	68%	41,117	78%	11,138	27%
District 3	52,627	20%	9,796	19%	42,831	81%	45,549	87%	7,560	17%
District 4	52,186	20%	41,086	79%	11,100	21%	35,936	69%	26,615	74%
District 5	50,546	19%	5,620	11%	44,926	89%	40,895	81%	3,984	10%

H = Hispanic Population

**2010 Total Population** 262,382

**Target Population** 52,476



# DRAFT Map #9

Area moved  
from 2nd  
to 1st District.  
Population 2,666

District 2

City of Capitola

Jade St  
Park

Area Proposed to be  
moved from 2nd  
to 1st District  
Population 846

## Modification to Plan 1 (Capitola)

Proposed change to Boundary of Supervisorial Districts 2 and 1

- Proposed Supervisorial District 2
- Proposed Supervisorial District 1
- Existing County Supervisorial Districts

Area Being Transferred

City of Capitola Proposal

City Limits



0 115 230 460 Feet